arXiv:math/0506484v1 [math.DG] 23 Jun 2005

Stability and Invariants of Hilsum-Skandalis Maps

Stabiliteit en
Invarianten van Hilsum-Skandalis-Afbeeldingen
(met een samenvatting in het Nederlands)

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Utrecht op
gezag van de Rector Magnificus, Prof. dr. J. A. van Ginkel ingevolge
het besluit van het College van Decanen in het openbaar te verdedigen
op maandag 10 juni 1996 des middags te 16.15 uur

door

JANEZ MRČUN

geboren op 13 april 1966, te Ljubljana.

promotoren:

Prof. dr. I. Moerdijk,	Faculteit der Wiskunde en Informatica, Universiteit Utrecht
Prof. dr. D. Siersma,	Faculteit der Wiskunde en Informatica, Universiteit Utrecht

---

Mathematics Subject Classifications (1991): 58H05, 22A22, 57R30, 57S25

CIP-DATA KONINKLIJKE BIBLIOTHEEK, DEN HAAG

Mrčun, Janez

Stability and invariants of Hilsum-Skandalis maps / Janez Mrčun. -
Utrecht : Universiteit Utrecht, Faculteit Wiskunde en Informatica
Thesis Universiteit Utrecht. - With ref. - With summary in Dutch.
ISBN 90-393-1314-8
Subject headings: foliations / topological groupoids / Reeb stability.

© 1996 Janez Mrčun
Printed and bound by Drukkerij Elinkwijk bv, Utrecht

Preface

For the last four years, my work and life in Holland have been influenced by several people; here I can mention only few of them. First, I am indebted to my supervisor professor Ieke Moerdijk: he guided my work carefully, by pointing out interesting problems during many discussions, and by broadening my mathematical knowledge in general. I would like to express my gratitude to professor P. Molino, professor D. Siersma and in particular to professor W. T. van Est for their interest in my research. Also, I would like to thank the Mathematical Department of Utrecht University for the nice and peaceful working environment, and Dennis Hesseling for correcting my Dutch in the *Samenvatting.* I am grateful to the Mathematical Institute of Ljubljana University for their support, especially to professor A. Suhadolc and professor P. Šemrl: without it I would not have been able to start my studies in Utrecht.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents, my brother and my sister for their care. A lot I owe to Andreja and her encouragements all along. And it would be hard to imagine my life for the past few years without a friend as our dog Tim is.

Contents

Introduction **7**

I Background **11**
- I.1 Foliations 11
- I.2 Topological Groupoids 15
- I.3 Haefliger Structures 23
- I.4 Fundamental Group of a Topological Groupoid 28

II Hilsum-Skandalis Maps **33**
- II.1 Bibundles 34
- II.2 Leaves and Holonomy of Transitive Bibundles 43
- II.3 Holonomy of Principal Bibundles 46

III Stability **53**
- III.1 Reeb Stability for a Transitive Bibundle 53
- III.2 Differential Categories 57
  - III.2.1 Definition of a Differential Category 58
  - III.2.2 Representations of Groups in Differential Categories 61
  - III.2.3 Differential Categories Associated to Affine Manifolds 64
- III.3 Reeb-Thurston Stability for Bibundles 68

IV Equivariant Foliations **73**
- IV.1 Equivariant Holonomy 73
- IV.2 Equivariant Stability Theorems 80

V Invariants of Hilsum-Skandalis Maps **87**
V.1 Homotopy Groups of Topological Groupoids 88
V.1.1 Amalgamations of Principal Bibundles 88
V.1.2 Definition of Homotopy Groups 91
V.1.3 Elementary Properties of Homotopy Groups 95
V.2 Singular Homology of Topological Groupoids 98
V.2.1 Definition of Singular Homology 99
V.2.2 Singular Homology of Étale Groupoids 102
V.3 Bimodule Associated to a Principal Bibundle 108

References **119**

Samenvatting **123**

Curriculum Vitae **125**

Introduction

In order to understand foliations, it is of great importance to investigate the structures obtained by transporting a foliation along a map that need not be transversal to the leaves. If not before, this became evident after the Haefliger's proof of non-existence of analytic foliations of codimension one on S^3. A. Haefliger was the first who considered a foliation on M not solely as a partition of M, but as a cohomology class of cocycles on M with values in the pseudogroup of diffeomorphisms of $\mathbf{R}^q$ – an instance of what is nowadays known as a Haefliger structure on a topological space X with values in an étale groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ [16]. Such structures are not only fully functorial in X, but can also be seen as generalized foliations on X. Indeed, a Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure on X induces a partition of X into leaves equipped with their holonomy groups, and this partition is locally given by the fibers of a continuous map.

This is not the only direction from which topological groupoids enter foliation theory. The holonomy groupoid of a foliation is a good choice to represent the transversal structure of the foliation [8, 40], in contrast with the space of leaves which is often useless. By reducing this groupoid to a complete transversal one obtains a Morita equivalent étale groupoid. Moreover, a foliation on M can be canonically represented by a Haefliger structure on M with values in the reduced holonomy groupoid of the foliation – the structure which plays the role of the quotient projection from M to the transversal structure of the foliation. Indeed, a Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure on X should be seen as a map from X to $\boldsymbol{G}$, as a special case of a Hilsum-Skandalis map between topological groupoids [17, 19, 30]. These maps were introduced in [19] as the maps between the transversal structures of foliations. A Hilsum-Skandalis map between $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an isomorphism class of principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundles. These maps can be composed: they form a category in which two topological groupoids are isomorphic if and only if they are Morita equivalent. Furthermore, the category of topological spaces is a full subcategory of the category of Hilsum-Skandalis maps, and the Hilsum-Skandalis maps from a topological space X to an étale groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ are precisely the Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structures on X. The Hilsum-Skandalis maps arose independently in topos theory: in [24] I. Moerdijk showed that the Hilsum-Skandalis maps between suitable groupoids $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ are in a natural bijective correspondence with the geometric morphisms between the classifying toposes of $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ (see also [5]).

The first goal of this thesis is to show that any Hilsum-Skandalis map from $\boldsymbol{H}$ to $\boldsymbol{G}$ can be seen as a generalized foliation on the topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{H}$: it induces a partition of the space of objects $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ of $\boldsymbol{H}$ into $\boldsymbol{H}$-invariant subsets – the leaves. A leaf comes equipped with its leaf topology, a right $\boldsymbol{H}$-action and its holonomy group. We show that if $\boldsymbol{G}$ is étale, then the holonomy group of a leaf L is the image of a homomorphism (the holonomy homomorphism) from the fundamental group $\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(L))$ of the groupoid $\boldsymbol{H}(L)$ associated to the $\boldsymbol{H}$-action on L.

In Chapter III we study the stability of the leaves of Hilsum-Skandalis maps with compact orbit spaces. In its simplest form, the Reeb stability theorem [32] says that a compact leaf of a foliation on a manifold with finite holonomy group has a neighbourhood of compact leaves. In particular, any compact leaf with finite fundamental group has a neighbourhood of compact leaves. The Haefliger-Reeb-Ehresmann stability theorem [16] extends this result to Haefliger structures on topological spaces. On the other hand, the Reeb stability theorem was generalized by W. P. Thurston in [39]. We generalize the Haefliger-Reeb-Ehresmann stability theorem as well as the Reeb-Thurston stability theorem to Hilsum-Skandalis maps. The proofs are eventually reduced to the simple case of a continuous map between topological spaces. To generalize the Reeb-Thurston stability theorem, we need to introduce the linear holonomy homomorphism $d\mathcal{H}_L$ of a leaf L of a Hilsum-Skandalis map E from $\boldsymbol{H}$ to an étale C^1-groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ modeled on a Banach space. We define $d\mathcal{H}_L$ as a representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(L))$ on the tangent space of $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ at a suitable point. If the map E satisfies some mild conditions, we prove the following: Let L be a leaf of E such that the orbit space of L is compact. Assume that

(i) $\operatorname{Ker} d\mathcal{H}_L$ is finitely generated,

(ii) $\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Ker} d\mathcal{H}_L, \mathbf{R}) = \{0\}$, and

(iii) the image of $d\mathcal{H}_L$ is finite.

Then the holonomy group of L is finite, and there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$-invariant neighbourhood of L in $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ which is a union of leaves of E with compact orbit spaces.

This generalizes the Reeb-Thurston stability theorem. To facilitate the proof, we introduce the notion of a differential category. We show that these categories naturally arise from the affine manifolds.

In Chapter IV we illustrate these results in some special cases. We show that an equivariant foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a manifold M equipped with an action of a group G can be represented by a Hilsum-Skandalis map from the groupoid $G(M)$ associated to the action, to the groupoid of germs of diffeomorphisms of $\mathbf{R}^q$. We establish the relation between the holonomy groups of the leaves of this Hilsum-Skandalis map and the equivariant holonomy groups of the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. As a consequence of the stability theorem for Hilsum-Skandalis maps and under some mild conditions, we prove that a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ with finite equivariant holonomy group and compact orbit space has a G-saturated neighbourhood of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ with compact orbit spaces. If in addition the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is of codimension one, we obtain the following generalization of the Reeb-Thurston stability theorem: Assume that L is a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ with finitely generated equivariant fundamental group such that

(i) the foliation is equivariantly transversely orientable around L,

(ii) the orbit space of L is compact, and

(iii) the first equivariant cohomology group of L with coefficients in $\mathbf{R}$ is trivial.

Then the equivariant holonomy group of L is trivial, and there exists a G-saturated neighbourhood of L which is a union of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ with compact orbit spaces.

In Chapter V we introduce some algebraic invariants of Hilsum-Skandalis maps. First, we use the category of Hilsum-Skandalis maps to define higher homotopy groups of topological groupoids. Since these groups are functorial with respect to the (pointed) Hilsum-Skandalis maps, they are invariant under Morita equivalence. For a suitable groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$, these homotopy groups coincide with the higher homotopy groups of the classifying space and of the classifying topos of $\boldsymbol{G}$ [25]. In particular, the first homotopy group of a suitable effective groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ is exactly the fundamental group of $\boldsymbol{G}$ as described by W. T. van Est [13].

Next, we introduce singular homology groups of topological groupoids. These groups are much simpler than the homology groups of the classifying spaces associated to topological groupoids. The singular homology groups are functorial with respect to the Hilsum-Skandalis maps and hence invariant under Morita equivalence. In particular, we can define the n-th singular homology group $H_n((M,\mathcal{F});\mathbf{Z})$ of the transverse structure of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a manifold M, and obtain a homomorphism

$$H_n(M;\mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H_n((M,\mathcal{F});\mathbf{Z}) \ .$$

For an étale groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ we give a particularly simple description of the singular homology groups of $\boldsymbol{G}$ in terms of singular simplexes in the space of objects and in the space of morphisms of $\boldsymbol{G}$. Moreover, we prove that the effect-functor induces isomorphisms between the singular homology groups of $\boldsymbol{G}$ and of its effect $\mathrm{Eff}(\boldsymbol{G})$.

Finally, we consider the algebra $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$ of C^r_c-functions on an object-separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$, introduced by A. Connes [8]. To a Hilsum-Skandalis map E between such groupoids $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ we associate a $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$-$C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})$-bimodule $C^r_c(E)$, and prove that C^r_c becomes a functor from the category of Hilsum-Skandalis maps between separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids to the category of locally unital bimodules over algebras with local units. In particular, this yields that C^r-Morita equivalent separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids have Morita equivalent algebras – a more general version of this result was announced in [3].

Chapter I

Background

To start with, we recall definitions and some well-known facts about foliations [6, 12, 32, 39], topological groupoids [4, 8, 13, 25, 26, 40] and Haefliger structures [2, 16].

I.1 Foliations

Let M be a C^1-manifold of dimension n (without boundary). A *foliation* $\mathcal{F}$ on M of codimension q $(0 \leq q \leq n)$ is a maximal C^1-atlas $(\varphi_i : U_i \to \mathbf{R}^n)$ on M for which the change of coordinates diffeomorphisms $\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_i \circ \varphi_j^{-1}|_{\varphi_j(U_i \cap U_j)}$ are locally of the form

$$\varphi_{ij}(x,y) = (\varphi_{ij}^{(1)}(x,y), \varphi_{ij}^{(2)}(y))$$

with respect to the decomposition $\mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R}^{n-q} \times \mathbf{R}^q$. The connected components of $\varphi_i^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^{n-q} \times \{y\})$, $y \in \mathbf{R}^q$, are called the *plaques* of $\mathcal{F}$ in U_i. They decompose U_i into $(n-q)$-dimensional submanifolds, and a change of coordinates preserves this decomposition. Therefore the plaques globally amalgamate into connected C^1-manifolds of dimension $n-q$, called the *leaves* of $\mathcal{F}$, which decompose M. Each leaf is injectively immersed into M, but it may not be embedded.

The *space of leaves* $M/\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is obtained as the quotient of M by identifying two points if they lie on the same leaf.

Let L be a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ and let σ be a path in L. Let T and T' be transversal sections of $\mathcal{F}$ (i.e. C^1-immersions $\mathbf{R}^q \to M$ which are transversal to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$) such that $T(0) = \sigma(0)$ and $T'(0) = \sigma(1)$. The foliation on a small neighbourhood of $\sigma([0,1])$) provides a C^1-diffeomorphism between small neighbourhoods of the origin in $\mathbf{R}^q$ which fixes the origin, and the germ at 0 of this diffeomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hol}_{T',T}(\sigma) \in \mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbf{R}^q)$$

is called the *holonomy* of σ with respect to the transversal sections T and T'. If two paths in L are homotopic in L with fixed end-points, they have the same holonomy, hence we can regard $\mathrm{Hol}_{T',T}$ as a function defined on the homotopy classes of the paths in L from $T(0)$ to $T'(0)$. If σ' is another path in L with $\sigma'(0) = \sigma(1)$ and T'' a transversal section of $\mathcal{F}$ with $T''(0) = \sigma'(1)$, then

$$\mathrm{Hol}_{T'',T'}(\sigma') \circ \mathrm{Hol}_{T',T}(\sigma) = \mathrm{Hol}_{T'',T}(\sigma'\sigma) \ .$$

In particular, we have a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hol}_T = \mathrm{Hol}_{T,T} : \pi_1(L, T(0)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbf{R}^q) \ ,$$

called the *holonomy homomorphism* of L (with respect to T). The image of Hol_T is called the *holonomy group* of L. The holonomy homomorphism and the holonomy group are determined uniquely with the leaf L up to a conjugation in the group $\mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbf{R}^q)$. If holonomy group of L is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}_0^+(\mathbf{R}^q)$, i.e. if all the germs in $\mathrm{Hol}_T(\pi_1(L, T(0)))$ preserve the orientation of $\mathbf{R}^q$, we say that $\mathcal{F}$ is *transversely orientable around* L.

By composing the holonomy homomorphism of L with the homomorphism

$$d : \mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbf{R}^q) \longrightarrow GL_q(\mathbf{R}) \ ,$$

which sends a germ of a diffeomorphism to its differential at the origin, one gets the *linear holonomy homomorphism*

$$d\,\mathrm{Hol}_T : \pi_1(L, T(0)) \longrightarrow GL_q(\mathbf{R})$$

of L with respect to T. The image of $d\,\mathrm{Hol}_T$ is called the *linear holonomy group* of L, and is determined up to a conjugation by the leaf L.

The simplest form of the (local) Reeb stability theorem, proved by G. Reeb in 1957 [32], is the following:

Theorem I.1.1 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on a finite-dimensional Hausdorff C^1-manifold M. If L is a compact leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ with finite holonomy group, then L has an arbitrary small neighbourhood of compact leaves.*

In particular, if L is a compact leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ with finite fundamental group, then L has an arbitrary small neighbourhood of compact leaves.

The second part of this theorem was generalized by W. P. Thurston [39] as follows:

Theorem I.1.2 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on a finite-dimensional Hausdorff C^1-manifold M. If L is a compact leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ with trivial linear holonomy group, and if $H^1(L;\mathbf{R}) = 0$, then L has an arbitrary small neighbourhood of compact leaves.*

In particular, if $\mathcal{F}$ is of codimension one and L is a compact leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{F}$ is transversely orientable around L and $H^1(L;\mathbf{R}) = 0$, then L has an arbitrary small neighbourhood of compact leaves.

A foliation of codimension q on a C^1-manifold M of dimension n can be represented by a family of C^1-submersions $(s_i : V_i \to \mathbf{R}^q)$, where (V_i) is an open cover of M, which satisfy the following condition: For any $x \in V_i \cap V_j$ there exists an open neighbourhood $W \subset V_i \cap V_j$ of x and a C^1-diffeomorphism $s_{ij}^W : s_j(W) \to s_i(W)$ such that

$$s_i|_W = s_{ij}^W \circ s_j|_W \;.$$

The leaves of the foliation are now obtained as the amalgamations of the connected components of the fibers of the submersions.

With a fixed W, the diffeomorphism s_{ij}^W is clearly uniquely determined. In particular, for any $x \in V_i \cap V_j$ the germ of s_{ij}^W at $s_j(x)$ does not depend on the choice of W. We will denote this germ by $c_{ij}(x)$.

Denote by $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$ the set of all germs of (locally defined) C^1-diffeomorphisms of $\mathbf{R}^q$. If $\gamma = \mathrm{germ}_x f$ for a diffeomorphism $f : U \to V$, where U and V are open subsets of $\mathbf{R}^q$ and $x \in U$, we define the domain $\mathit{dom}\,\gamma$ of γ to be x and the codomain $\mathit{cod}\,\gamma$ to be $f(x)$. This gives the domain and the codomain functions

$$\mathit{dom}, \mathit{cod} : \Gamma^q_{C^1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^q \;.$$

For any $x \in \mathbf{R}^q$ there is a germ $\mathit{uni}\,x = 1_x$ of the identity diffeomorphism at x. This gives the function

$$\mathit{uni} : \mathbf{R}^q \longrightarrow \Gamma^q_{C^1} \;.$$

If $\gamma', \gamma \in \Gamma^q_{C^1}$ are such that $\mathit{dom}\,\gamma' = \mathit{cod}\,\gamma$, we define the composition $\mathit{com}(\gamma', \gamma) = \gamma' \circ \gamma$ to be the germ at $\mathit{dom}\,\gamma$ of the composition of diffeomorphisms representing γ' and γ. This gives a function

$$\mathit{com} : \Gamma^q_{C^1} \times_{\mathbf{R}^q} \Gamma^q_{C^1} \longrightarrow \Gamma^q_{C^1} \;.$$

Finally, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma^q_{C^1}$ there is the inverse $\mathit{inv}\,\gamma = \gamma^{-1}$, given as the germ at $\mathit{cod}\,\gamma$ of the inverse of a diffeomorphism representing γ. Hence we have a function

$$\mathit{inv} : \Gamma^q_{C^1} \longrightarrow \Gamma^q_{C^1} \;.$$

This gives $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$ a structure of a category in which all the morphisms are invertible.

There is a natural structure of a (non-Hausdorff) C^1-manifold of dimension q on $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$, which can be characterized as follows: For any C^1-diffeomorphism $f : U \to V$ between open subsets of $\mathbf{R}^q$, the map $\bar{f} : U \to \Gamma^q_{C^1}$, given by

$$\bar{f}(x) = \mathrm{germ}_x f \ ,$$

is a C^1-diffeomorphism onto its (open) image. With this structure, the maps *dom*, *cod*, *uni*, *com* and *inv* becomes local C^1-diffeomorphisms.

Now the germ $c_{ij}(x)$ defined above is an element of $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$, and this gives submersions

$$c_{ij} : V_i \cap V_j \longrightarrow \Gamma^q_{C^1} \ .$$

Moreover,

(i) $c_{ii}(U_i) \subset \mathit{uni}(\mathbf{R}^q)$,

(ii) $\mathit{dom} \circ c_{ij} = \mathit{dom} \circ c_{jj}|_{V_i \cap V_j}$, $\mathit{cod} \circ c_{ij} = \mathit{cod} \circ c_{ii}|_{V_i \cap V_j}$, and

(iii) $\mathit{com} \circ (c_{ij}, c_{jk})|_{V_i \cap V_j \cap V_k} = c_{ik}|_{V_i \cap V_j \cap V_k}$.

Note that the submersions (s_i) can be recovered from the family (c_{ij}) as $s_i = \mathit{dom} \circ c_{ii} = \mathit{cod} \circ c_{ii}$. A family of C^1-maps $(c_{ij} : V_i \cap V_j \longrightarrow \Gamma^q_{C^1})$, which satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) above, is called a $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-*cocycle of class* C^1 on the open cover (V_i) of M. A $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-cocycle of class C^1 on (V_i) represents a foliation on M precisely if the maps of the cocycle are submersions.

Example I.1.3 (1) Let M and N be finite-dimensional C^1-manifolds and $s : M \to N$ a submersion. Then s defines a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M. The codimension of $\mathcal{F}$ is the dimension of N. The leaves are the connected components of the fibers of s, they are all embedded, and they all have trivial holonomy groups.

(2) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on a C^1-manifold M of dimension n. A diffeomorphism of M preserves $\mathcal{F}$ if it maps leaves into leaves. Let G be a properly discontinuous group of C^1-diffeomorphisms of M which preserve $\mathcal{F}$. Then M/G is a C^1-manifold of dimension n such that the quotient projection

$$p : M \longrightarrow M/G$$

is a covering projection of class C^1. Moreover, there is the induced foliation $\mathcal{F}/G$ on M/G such that p maps leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ to leaves of $\mathcal{F}/G$.

(3) As a special case of (1) and (2), take $M = \mathbf{R}^2$, $N = \mathbf{R}$, $s = pr_2 : \mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}$, and let G be the infinite cyclic group generated by the diffeomorphism f of $\mathbf{R}^2$ given by

$$f(x, y) = (x + 1, -y) \ .$$

Clearly f (and hence also f^k) preserves the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M given by s, and G is a properly discontinuous group. The space M/G is the open Möbius strip and all the leaves of $\mathcal{F}/G$ are diffeomorphic to S^1. All the leaves of $\mathcal{F}/G$ have trivial holonomy groups with the exception of the central one, i.e. $s^{-1}(0)/G$, which has the holonomy group $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$.

(4) Take $M = \mathbf{R}^2$, let $a \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbf{Q}$ and define a submersion $s : \mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}$ by

$$s(x,y) = x - ay \ .$$

Let G be the group generated by diffeomorphisms f and f' of $\mathbf{R}^2$ given by $f(x,y) = (x+1,y)$ and $f'(x,y) = (x,y+1)$. The diffeomorphisms f and f' preserve the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ given by s, the group G is properly discontinuous, and $M/G \cong T^2$. Any leaf of $\mathcal{F}/G$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}$, and is dense in M/G with trivial holonomy group. The space of leaves of $\mathcal{F}/G$ has the trivial topology. The foliation $\mathcal{F}/G$ is called the Kronecker foliation of the torus.

(5) Let $M = \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be given by the submersion $s = pr_2 : M \to \mathbf{R}$. Let G be the properly discontinuous group generated by the diffeomorphism f of M given by

$$f(x,y) = (2x, 2y) \ .$$

The diffeomorphism f preserves $\mathcal{F}$. The induced foliation $\mathcal{F}/G$ on the orbit space $M/G \cong T^2$ has two compact leaves, both diffeomorphic to S^1 and with holonomy group $\mathbf{Z}$. Any other leaf of $\mathcal{F}/G$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}$, has trivial holonomy group, and has both the compact leaves in its closure.

I.2 Topological Groupoids

A *groupoid* $\boldsymbol{G}$ is a small category in which any morphism is invertible. We denote the set of objects of $\boldsymbol{G}$ by $\boldsymbol{G}_0$, and the set of all morphisms of $\boldsymbol{G}$ by $\boldsymbol{G}_1$. Since $\boldsymbol{G}$ is a category, we have the domain and the codomain map

$$dom, cod : \boldsymbol{G}_1 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}_0 \ ,$$

the unit map

$$uni : \boldsymbol{G}_0 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}_1$$

and the composition map

$$com : \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \boldsymbol{G}_1 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}_1 \ .$$

We write $1_a = uni(a)$ and $g' \circ g = com(g', g)$. In addition, we have the inverse map

$$inv : \boldsymbol{G}_1 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}_1$$

which maps a morphism g to its inverse g^{-1}. We write $\boldsymbol{G}(a, a')$ for the set of morphisms of $\boldsymbol{G}$ with domain a and codomain a'. The group $\boldsymbol{G}(a,a)$ is called the *vertex group* of $\boldsymbol{G}$ at a.

A *topological groupoid* [4, 16, 24] is a groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ such that $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{G}_1$ are topological spaces, the structure maps of $\boldsymbol{G}$ (i.e. the maps *dom*, *cod*, *uni*, *com* and *inv*) are continuous, and the domain map of $\boldsymbol{G}$ is open.

In a topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$, the codomain and the compositions map are also open, and the unit map is an embedding. In particular, we will often identify $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ with $uni(\boldsymbol{G}_0) \subset \boldsymbol{G}_1$.

Let $r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \infty\}$. A *$C^r$-groupoid* is a topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ such that both $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{G}_1$ are C^1-manifolds modeled on Banach spaces (over $\mathbf{R}$) [21], all the structure maps are of class C^r, and the domain map is a submersion. A C^r-groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ is finite-dimensional if both $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{G}_1$ are finite-dimensional C^r-manifolds. We will always assume that our C^r-manifolds are without boundary, but they may not be Hausdorff. Observe that the assumption that the domain map is a submersion implies that the pull-back (i.e. the fibered product) $\boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \boldsymbol{G}_1$ has a natural structure of a C^r-manifold. Moreover, the codomain and the composition map are also submersions, and the unit map is an immersion.

An *étale groupoid* is a topological groupoid with the domain map a local homeomorphism. All the structure maps of an étale groupoid are local homeomorphisms, and all the structure maps of an étale C^r-groupoid are local C^r-diffeomorphisms.

A *continuous functor* between topological groupoids $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ is a functor such that both $\phi_0 : \boldsymbol{H}_0 \to \boldsymbol{G}_0$ and $\phi = \phi_1 : \boldsymbol{H}_1 \to \boldsymbol{G}_1$ are continuous. Analogously, one has the notion of a *C^r-functor* between C^r-groupoids.

We will denote by $\mathbf{Gpd}$ (respectively $\mathbf{Gpd}_e$) the category of topological (respectively étale) groupoids and continuous functors. We denote by $\mathbf{Gpd}^r$ (respectively $\mathbf{Gpd}_e^r$) the category of C^r-groupoids (respectively étale C^r-groupoids) and C^r-functors.

Example I.2.1 (1) Let X be a topological space. Then X can be viewed as an étale groupoid, with $X_0 = X_1 = X$ and with all the structure maps the identities. The category $\mathbf{Top}$ of topological spaces is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Gpd}_e$. Any C^r-manifold is an étale C^r-groupoid.

(2) Let G be a topological group. Then G is also a topological groupoid with $G_1 = G$ and $G_0 = \{*\}$, i.e. an one-point space. It is étale if and only if the group is discrete. The category of (discrete) groups $\mathbf{Grp}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Gpd}_e$.

(3) Let X be a topological space and G a topological group acting continuously on X. Denote the action by

$$\nu : X \times G \longrightarrow X .$$

There is a topological groupoid $G(X, \nu)$ (denoted also by $G(X)$) associated to this action, with $G(X)_0 = X$ and $G(X)_1 = X \times G$. The domain map of $G(X)$ is ν, the codomain map is the second projection, and the composition is given by

$$(x', g') \circ (x, g) = (x', g'g) .$$

The groupoid $G(X)$ is étale if and only if G is discrete.

(4) In Section I.1 we saw that the C^1-manifold $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$ is the space of morphisms of an étale C^1-groupoid, denoted again by $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$. In particular, the space of objects of $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$ is $\mathbf{R}^q$. The groupoid $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$ is called the Haefliger groupoid of dimension q.

More generally, if X is a topological space, we define the étale groupoid $\Gamma(X)$ of X in an analogous way, so that the space of objects of $\Gamma(X)$ is X and the space of morphisms of $\Gamma(X)$ is the space of germs of locally defined homeomorphisms of X.

If M is a C^r-manifold, the germs of locally defined C^r-diffeomorphisms of M form an étale C^r-groupoid $\Gamma_{C^r}(M)$, which is an open subgroupoid of $\Gamma(M)$. In particular, $\Gamma^q_{C^1} = \Gamma_{C^1}(\mathbf{R}^q)$.

(5) Let M be a finite-dimensional C^1-manifold and $\mathcal{F}$ a foliation on M. Then there is a groupoid $\mathrm{Hol}(M, \mathcal{F})$, called the *holonomy groupoid* (or the *groupoid of leaves*) of $\mathcal{F}$, defined such that the space of objects of $\mathrm{Hol}(M, \mathcal{F})$ is M, and the morphisms of $\mathrm{Hol}(M, \mathcal{F})$ are the holonomy classes of paths inside the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. There is a natural structure of a C^1-manifold on $\mathrm{Hol}(M, \mathcal{F})_1$ which makes $\mathrm{Hol}(M, \mathcal{F})$ into a C^1-groupoid (for details, see [40]). The groupoid of leaves of a foliation reflects the transversal structure of the foliation, and is a good replacement for the space of leaves of the foliation which can behave very badly (see Example I.1.3 (4)).

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be a topological groupoid. The *space of orbits* $|\boldsymbol{G}|$ of $\boldsymbol{G}$ is the coequalizer

$$\boldsymbol{G}_1 \overset{cod}{\underset{dom}{\rightrightarrows}} \boldsymbol{G}_0 \longrightarrow |\boldsymbol{G}| .$$

Thus $|\boldsymbol{G}|$ is the quotient space obtained from $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ by identifying two points whenever there is a morphisms in $\boldsymbol{G}_1$ between them. Clearly, $|\text{-}|$ can be extended to a functor

$$|\text{-}| : \mathbf{Gpd} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Top} .$$

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid. There is a canonical continuous functor

$$\mathfrak{e} : \boldsymbol{G} \longrightarrow \Gamma(\boldsymbol{G}_0) ,$$

called the *effect-functor*, which is given by

$$\mathfrak{e}(g) = \mathrm{germ}_{dom\, g}(cod \circ (dom\, |_U)^{-1}) ,$$

where U is a small neighbourhood of $g \in \boldsymbol{G}_1$. In particular, $\mathfrak{e}_0$ is the identity on $\boldsymbol{G}_0$. The map $\mathfrak{e}$ is a local homeomorphism. The image of $\mathfrak{e}$ is an open étale subgroupoid $\mathrm{Eff}(\boldsymbol{G})$ of $\Gamma(\boldsymbol{G}_0)$, called the *effect* of $\boldsymbol{G}$. The étale groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ is called *effective* if $\mathfrak{e}$ is injective on morphisms: in this case $\mathfrak{e}$ provides an isomorphism $\boldsymbol{G} \cong \mathrm{Eff}(\boldsymbol{G})$. The effective groupoids are also referred to as S-atlases [13]. If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an étale C^r-groupoid, then $\mathrm{Eff}(\boldsymbol{G})$ is actually an open C^r-subgroupoid of $\Gamma_{C^r}(\boldsymbol{G}_0)$. Observe that Eff can be extended to a functor

$$\mathrm{Eff} : \mathbf{Gpd}_e \longrightarrow \mathbf{Gpd}_e \ ,$$

whose image is equivalent to the full subcategory of effective groupoids, and $\mathrm{Eff}^2 = \mathrm{Eff}$, i.e. Eff is a projector.

Example I.2.2 Let X be a topological space. Denote by $\mathcal{T}_X$ the set of all transitions of X, i.e. of all homeomorphisms between open subsets of X. A *pseudogroup* on X is a subset $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathcal{T}_X$ such that

(i) $id_U \in \mathcal{P}$ for any open subset U of X,

(ii) if $f, f' \in \mathcal{P}$, then $f' \circ f|_{f^{-1}(dom(f'))} \in \mathcal{P}$,

(iii) if $f \in \mathcal{P}$, then $f^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}$, and

(iv) if $f \in \mathcal{T}_X$ and (U_i) is an open cover of $dom(f)$ such that $(f|_{U_i}) \subset \mathcal{P}$, then $f \in \mathcal{P}$.

For example, $\mathcal{T}_X$ itself is a pseudogroup. If M is a C^r-manifold, then all C^r-diffeomorphisms between open subsets of M form a pseudogroup C^r_M on M.

For a pseudogroup $\mathcal{P}$ on X one can construct the associated étale groupoid $\Gamma_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ of germs of homeomorphisms in $\mathcal{P}$, in an analogous way as we constructed the groupoid $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$ in Section I.1. This groupoid is obviously effective. One has $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_X}(X) = \Gamma(X)$ and $\Gamma_{C^r_M}(M) = \Gamma_{C^r}(M)$.

Conversely, let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an effective groupoid. A subset U of $\boldsymbol{G}_1$ is called elementary if it is open and both $dom|_U$ and $cod|_U$ are injective. Define

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{G}) = \{\, cod \circ (dom|_U)^{-1} \,|\, U \text{ elementary subset of } \boldsymbol{G}_1 \,\} \ .$$

It is easy to see that $\Psi(\boldsymbol{G})$ is a pseudogroup on $\boldsymbol{G}_0$.

For any topological space X, these two constructions give a bijective correspondence between pseudogroups on X and isomorphism classes of effective groupoids with the space of objects X.

A continuous functor (respectively a C^r-functor) $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ between topological groupoids (respectively C^r-groupoids) is an *essential equivalence* (respectively a C^r*-essential equivalence*) if

(i) the map
$$cod \circ pr_1 : \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_0 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}_0$$
is an open surjection (respectively a surjective C^r-submersion), and

(ii) the square

$$\begin{tikzcd} \boldsymbol{H}_1 \arrow[r, "\phi_1"] \arrow[d, "(dom,cod)"'] & \boldsymbol{G}_1 \arrow[d, "(dom,cod)"] \\ \boldsymbol{H}_0 \times \boldsymbol{H}_0 \arrow[r, "\phi_0\times\phi_0"] & \boldsymbol{G}_0 \times \boldsymbol{G}_0 \end{tikzcd}$$

is a pull-back of topological spaces (respectively of C^r-manifolds).

In (i) above, the pull-back $\boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_0$ is the pull-back of the maps *dom* and ϕ_0. If $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ are étale and $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ is an essential equivalence, then both ϕ_0 are ϕ_1 are local homeomorphisms.

The *Morita equivalence* is an equivalence relation between topological groupoids, the smallest such that two topological groupoids are Morita equivalent whenever there exists an essential equivalence between them. Similarly, one has the notion of a C^r*-Morita equivalence.*

Remark. An essential equivalence as well as Morita equivalence are in some literature referred to as weak equivalence. It can be shown that two topological groupoids $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ are Morita equivalent if and only if there exists a topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{K}$ and essential equivalences $\phi : \boldsymbol{K} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ and $\psi : \boldsymbol{K} \to \boldsymbol{H}$ [23].

Example I.2.3 (1) Let X be topological space, and let (V_i) be an open cover of X. We will now define an étale groupoid $\boldsymbol{V}$ associated to the open cover (V_i) and an essential equivalence $\iota : \boldsymbol{V} \to X$.

Define the space of objects of $\boldsymbol{V}$ as the disjoint union $\boldsymbol{V}_0 = \coprod_i V_i$, and let $\iota_0 : \boldsymbol{V} \to X$ be the obvious local homeomorphism. Define now $\boldsymbol{V}_1$, ι_1 and the domain and the codomain map of $\boldsymbol{V}$ by the following pull-back:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \boldsymbol{V}_1 \arrow[r, "\iota_1"] \arrow[d, "(dom,cod)"'] & X \arrow[d, "(id,id)"] \\ \boldsymbol{V}_0 \times \boldsymbol{V}_0 \arrow[r, "\iota_0\times\iota_0"] & X \times X \end{tikzcd}$$

In fact, we can take $\boldsymbol{V}_1 = \coprod_{i,j} V_i \cap V_j$. There is a unique way to define the composition on $\boldsymbol{V}$, since there is at most one morphism between arbitrary two objects of $\boldsymbol{V}$. It is easy to see that $\boldsymbol{V}$ is indeed an étale groupoid, and it is obvious that ι is an essential equivalence.

If X is a C^r-manifold, then $\boldsymbol{V}$ is a C^r-groupoid and ι is a C^r-essential equivalence.

(2) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of codimension q on a C^1-manifold M of dimension n. Represent $\mathcal{F}$ by a $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-cocycle of submersions (c_{ij}) on M with respect to an open cover (V_i), as we explained in Section I.1. Let $\boldsymbol{V}$ be the étale C^1-groupoid associated to the cover (V_i) and let $\iota : \boldsymbol{V} \to M$ be the C^1-essential equivalence, as in (1).

Now the coproduct of the submersions (c_{ij}) defines a C^1-functor

$$\coprod_{ij} c_{ij} : \boldsymbol{V} \longrightarrow \Gamma^q_{C^1} ,$$

since we have $\boldsymbol{V}_1 = \coprod_{ij} V_i \cap V_j$. This functor is a submersion on morphisms and hence also on objects. Observe that in fact the C^1-functors $\boldsymbol{V} \to \Gamma^q_{C^1}$ are exactly the $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-cocycles of class C^1 on M with respect to the open cover (V_i), and that the functors which are submersions on morphisms correspond exactly to the cocycles of submersions, hence they represent foliations on M.

(3) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of codimension q on a C^1-manifold M of dimension n. A *complete transversal* of $\mathcal{F}$ is a C^1-immersion $T : N \to M$ of a C^1-manifold N of dimension q which is transversal to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ and such that $T(N)$ meets any leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ in at least one point. A complete transversal obviously always exists, and in fact one can assume that N is a disjoint union of copies of $\mathbf{R}^q$.

Choose a complete transversal $T : N \to M$. Now one can define the *holonomy groupoid* $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F})$ *reduced to* T such that the space of objects of $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F})$ is N and the space of morphisms, the domain and the codomain map of $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F})$ are given by the following pull-back:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F})_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "(dom,cod)"'] & \mathrm{Hol}(M,\mathcal{F})_1 \arrow[d, "(dom,cod)"] \\
N \times N \arrow[r, "T\times T"] & M \times M
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\mathrm{Hol}(M,\mathcal{F})$ is the (unreduced) holonomy groupoid (Example I.2.1 (5)). In other words, if y and y' are two points in N, then the morphisms of $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F})$ from y to y' are the holonomy classes of paths inside the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ from $T(y)$ to $T(y')$. In particular, there are no morphisms between y and y' if $T(y)$ and $T(y')$ do not lie on the same leaf. In fact, the holonomy class of a path σ from $T(y)$ to $T(y')$ in a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ can be viewed as the germ of a diffeomorphism between small neighbourhoods of y and y' in N, which is provided by the foliation on a small neighbourhood of $\sigma([0,1])$. Hence we can view $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F})$ as an open subgroupoid of $\Gamma_{C^1}(N)$. In particular, $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F})$ is an effective C^1-groupoid.

It is obvious that the functor $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F}) \to \mathrm{Hol}(M,\mathcal{F})$ is a C^1-essential equivalence. In particular, if $T' : N' \to M$ is another complete transversal of $\mathcal{F}$, then the groupoids $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathrm{Hol}_{T'}(M,\mathcal{F})$ are C^1-Morita equivalent. In fact, the C^1-map $T'' = T \amalg T' : N \amalg N' \to M$ is also a complete transversal, and the inclusions $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hol}_{T''}(M,\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathrm{Hol}_{T'}(M,\mathcal{F}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hol}_{T''}(M,\mathcal{F})$ are clearly essential equivalences of class C^1 between étale groupoids. In particular, the holonomy groupoid $\mathrm{Hol}(M,\mathcal{F})$ is C^1-Morita equivalent to an effective C^1-groupoid.

(4) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of codimension q on a C^1-manifold M of dimension n. Choose an atlas $\varphi = (\varphi_i : U_i \to \mathbf{R}^{n-q} \times \mathbf{R}^q)_{i\in I}$ of surjective charts for $\mathcal{F}$.

Put $s_i = pr_2 \circ \varphi_i$, let $N = \coprod_{i\in I} \mathbf{R}^q$, and let $T : N \to M$ be the complete transversal of $\mathcal{F}$ given by $T(y,i) = \varphi_i^{-1}(0,y)$. For any $i,j \in I$ choose an open cover $\mathcal{W}_{ij}$ of $U_i \cap U_j$ such that for any $W \in \mathcal{W}_{ij}$ there exists the C^1-diffeomorphism $s_{ij}^W : s_j(W) \to s_i(W)$ with $s_{ij}^W \circ s_j|_W = s_i|_W$. (see Section I.1). We have the C^1-transition $f_{ij}^W : s_j(W) \times \{j\} \to s_i(W) \times \{i\}$ of N defined by

$$f_{ij}^W(y,j) = (s_{ij}^W(y), i) \; .$$

Let $\Psi_\varphi(M,\mathcal{F})$ be the pseudogroup generated by $\{ f_{ij}^W \mid i,j \in I,\, W \in \mathcal{W}_{ij} \}$, i.e. the smallest subpseudogroup of C^1_N which includes (f_{ij}^W). Observe that $\Psi_\varphi(M,\mathcal{F})$ depends only on the choice of φ. Clearly there is a natural C^1-isomorphism

$$\Gamma_{\Psi_\varphi(M,\mathcal{F})}(N) \cong \mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F}) \; .$$

Conversely, let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pseudogroup on a C^1-manifold N of dimension q, generated by a countable set of C^1-transitions $(f_i)_{i=1}^\infty$. Let V be the open subset of $N \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ given by

$$V = (N \times \mathbf{R} \times (0,1)) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (dom(f_i) \times (i,i+1) \times (0,3)) \; .$$

There is a natural foliation on V of codimension q given by the first projection. Let M be the C^1-manifold of dimension $q+2$, obtained as the quotient of V by identifying (y,t,t') with $(f_i(y),t,t'-2)$ for any $i = 1,2,\ldots$, $y \in dom(f_i)$, $t \in (i,i+1)$ and $t' \in (2,3)$. Observe that the foliation on V induces a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M of codimension q. For any $y \in N$ denote by $T(y) \in M$ the equivalence class of the point $(y,0,1/2) \in V$. The C^1-map $T : N \to M$ thus defined is a complete transversal of $\mathcal{F}$. It is easy to check that the effective C^1-groupoid $\Gamma_{\mathcal{P}}(N)$ is C^1-isomorphic to the reduced holonomy groupoid $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}$. The idea for this construction was communicated to us by J. Pradines, who attributed it to G. Hector.

Let $\boldsymbol{H}$ be a topological groupoid and let E be a topological space. A *right* $\boldsymbol{H}$*-action* on E is a pair (w,ν), where $w : E \to \boldsymbol{H}_0$ and $\nu : E \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_1 \to E$ are continuous maps (we will write $e \cdot h = \nu(e,h)$) which satisfies

(i) $w(e \cdot h) = dom\, h$,

(ii) $e \cdot 1_{w(e)} = e$, and

(iii) $(e \cdot h) \cdot h' = e \cdot (h \circ h')$

for any $e \in E$ and $h,h' \in \boldsymbol{H}_1$ with $w(e) = cod\, h$ and $dom\, h = cod\, h'$. If we have a right $\boldsymbol{H}$-action (w,ν) on the space E, we say that $\boldsymbol{H}$ acts on E with respect to the map w. If $\boldsymbol{H}$ is a C^r-groupoid and M a C^r-manifold, then a right $\boldsymbol{H}$-action (w,ν) on M is of class C^r if both w and ν are C^r-maps.

A *right* $\boldsymbol{H}$*-space* is a triple (E, w, ν), where E is a topological space and (w, ν) a right $\boldsymbol{H}$-action on E. We will often write simply E or (E, w) for a right $\boldsymbol{H}$-space (E, w, ν).

The *orbit space* $E/\boldsymbol{H}$ of a right $\boldsymbol{H}$-space (E, w, ν) is the coequalizer

$$E \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_1 \underset{pr_1}{\overset{\nu}{\rightrightarrows}} E \xrightarrow{q_E} E/\boldsymbol{H} \ .$$

In other words, the orbit space is obtained as the quotient of E by identifying two points $e, e' \in E$ if there exists a morphism h of $\boldsymbol{H}$ such that $cod\, h = w(e)$ and $e \cdot h = e'$. The map q_E is open since the domain map of $\boldsymbol{H}$ is open. A subspace $Y \subset E$ is called $\boldsymbol{H}$*-invariant* if $q_E^{-1}(q_E(Y)) = Y$.

A right $\boldsymbol{H}$-space E is called $\boldsymbol{H}$*-compact* (respectively $\boldsymbol{H}$*-Hausdorff*, $\boldsymbol{H}$*-connected*) if the associated orbit space $E/\boldsymbol{H}$ is compact (respectively Hausdorff, connected). An $\boldsymbol{H}$*-connected component* of E is the inverse image $q_E^{-1}(Z)$ of a connected component Z of $E/\boldsymbol{H}$.

Any topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{H}$ acts canonically on the right on its space of objects $\boldsymbol{H}_0$, and $\boldsymbol{H}_0/\boldsymbol{H} = |\boldsymbol{H}|$. Conversely, if (E, w, ν) is a right $\boldsymbol{H}$-space, there is the associated topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{H}(E)$ with $\boldsymbol{H}(E)_0 = E$, $\boldsymbol{H}(E)_1 = E \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_1$, $dom = \nu$, $cod = pr_1$ and with the obvious composition. If $\boldsymbol{H}$ is étale, $\boldsymbol{H}(E)$ is also étale. If $\boldsymbol{H}$ is a C^r-groupoid, M a C^r-manifold and (w, ν) a right $\boldsymbol{H}$-action of class C^r on M, then $\boldsymbol{H}(E)$ is a C^r-groupoid. Analogously one can consider left actions.

Assume that $\boldsymbol{G}$ is a topological groupoid and X is a topological space. A $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over X is a topological space E, equipped with a left $\boldsymbol{G}$-action (p, μ) (which we write by $g \cdot e = \mu(g, e)$) and a continuous map $w : E \to X$ such that $\boldsymbol{G}$ acts along the fibers of w, i.e.

$$w(g \cdot e) = w(e)$$

for any $g \in \boldsymbol{G}_1$ and $e \in E$ with $dom\, g = p(e)$. We denote such a $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle by (E, p, w, μ) or (E, p, w), or simply by E. If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is a C^r-groupoid and M a C^r-manifold, a $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle (E, p, w, μ) over M is of class C^r if E is a C^r-manifold, w a C^r-map and (p, μ) a $\boldsymbol{G}$-action of class C^r.

A $\boldsymbol{G}$-equivariant map between $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundles (E, p, w) and (E', p', w') over X is a map $\alpha : E \to E'$ satisfying $w' \circ \alpha = w$, $p' \circ \alpha = p$ and

$$\alpha(g \cdot e) = g \cdot \alpha(e)$$

for all $g \in \boldsymbol{G}_1$ and $e \in E$ with $dom\, g = p(e)$. Two $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundles over X are isomorphic if there exists a $\boldsymbol{G}$-equivariant homeomorphism between them. If E is a $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over X, we will often write the isomorphism class of E again by E.

A $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle (E, p, w, μ) over X is called *transitive* if the map w is an open surjection, and the map

$$(\mu, pr_2) : \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} E \longrightarrow E \times_X E$$

is a surjection, i.e. if $\boldsymbol{G}$ acts transitively along the fibers of w. A $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle (E, p, w, μ) over X is called *principal* if the map w is an open surjection, and the map (μ, pr_2) is a homeomorphism (hence the action of $\boldsymbol{G}$ is free and transitive along the fibers of w). If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an étale groupoid and (E, p, w) a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over X, then the map w is a local homeomorphism. If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is a C^r-groupoid and M a C^r-manifold, a $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle (E, p, w, μ) over M of class C^r is *C^r-principal* if w is a surjective submersion and the map (μ, pr_2) is a C^r-diffeomorphism. If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an étale C^r-groupoid and (E, p, w) a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over M, then the map w is a local C^r-diffeomorphism.

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be a topological groupoid. Then any $\boldsymbol{G}$-equivariant map between principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundles is a homeomorphism. If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is a C^r-groupoid, any $\boldsymbol{G}$-equivariant map of class C^r between C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundles is a diffeomorphism of class C^r.

Example I.2.4 Let X and Y be topological spaces. An action of Y on X is just a continuous map $X \to Y$. If $p : X \to Y$ is continuous map, then (X, p, id_X) is a principal Y-bundle over X.

Conversely, let (E, p, w) be a principal Y-bundle over X. Then w is a homeomorphism. In particular, w is a Y-equivariant map between (E, p, w) and $(X, p \circ w^{-1}, id_X)$. We can thus identify the isomorphism classes of principal Y-bundles over X with the continuous maps from X to Y.

I.3 Haefliger Structures

In Section I.1 we defined the notion of a $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-cocycle of class C^1 on an open cover of a C^1-manifold M. This notion can be easily generalized by replacing $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$ by an étale groupoid and M by a topological space [16].

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid and X a topological space. Let $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of X. A *$\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle* on $\mathcal{U}$ is a family of continuous maps

$$c = (c_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}_1)_{i,j \in I}$$

such that

(i) $c_{ii}(x) \in \boldsymbol{G}_0 \subset \boldsymbol{G}_1$ for any $x \in U_i$,

(ii) $dom\, c_{ij}(x) = c_{jj}(x)$, $cod\, c_{ij}(x) = c_{ii}(x)$ for any $x \in U_i \cap U_j$, and

(iii) $c_{ij}(x) \circ c_{jk}(x) = c_{ik}(x)$ for any $x \in U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$.

In particular, this implies that $c_{ij}(x) = c_{ji}(x)^{-1}$ for $x \in U_i \cap U_j$. In [16], a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle on $\mathcal{U}$ is referred to as 1-cocycle on $\mathcal{U}$ with values in $\boldsymbol{G}$. Denote by $Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{G})$ the set of all $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycles on $\mathcal{U}$.

Two $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycles $c, c' \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{G})$ are *cohomologious* if there exists a family of continuous maps

$$b = (b_i : U_i \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}_1)_{i \in I}$$

which intertwines c and c', i.e. $dom \circ b_i = c_{ii}$, $cod \circ b_i = c'_{ii}$ and

$$c'_{ij}(x) \circ b_j(x) = b_i(x) \circ c_{ij}(x) \qquad x \in U_i \cap U_j \ .$$

This is an equivalence relation on $Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{G})$, and the set of equivalence classes (called the cohomology classes) of $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycles on $\mathcal{U}$ is denoted by

$$H^1(\mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{G}) \ .$$

Now assume that $\mathcal{V} = (V_k)_{k\in K}$ is another open cover of X, finer than $\mathcal{U}$. Hence we can choose a function $\tau : K \to I$ such that $V_k \subset U_{\tau(k)}$ for any $k \in K$. This function induces a function

$$\tau^{\#} : Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{G}) \longrightarrow Z^1(\mathcal{V}, \boldsymbol{G})$$

which is given by restriction, i.e.

$$\tau^{\#}(c) = (c_{\tau(k)\tau(l)}|_{V_k \cap V_l})_{k,l\in K} \ .$$

This function maps cohomologious cocycles into cohomologious cocycles, hence induces a function

$$\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{U} : H^1(\mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{G}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{V}, \boldsymbol{G}) \ .$$

This function does not depend on the choice of τ. Indeed, if $\upsilon : K \to I$ is another function with $V_k \subset U_{\upsilon(k)}$, and if $c \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{G})$, then the family

$$(c_{\upsilon(k)\tau(k)}|_{V_k} : V_k \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}_1)_{k\in K}$$

obviously intertwines $\tau^{\#}(c)$ and $\upsilon^{\#}(c)$.

Observe that we have $\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{U} = id$ and $\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{W}/\mathcal{U}$ for a refinement $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{V}$. The set of all open covers of X is partially ordered, in the sense that $\mathcal{V} \le \mathcal{U}$ if $\mathcal{V}$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{U}$. This order is filtered. Hence we can define the filtered colimit

$$H^1(X, \boldsymbol{G}) = \lim_{\rightarrow \mathcal{U}} H^1(\mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{G}) \ .$$

In other words, the elements of $H^1(X, \boldsymbol{G})$ are the equivalence classes of $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycles on open covers of X, where two cocycles $c \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{G})$ and $c' \in Z^1(\mathcal{V}, \boldsymbol{G})$ are equivalent if there exists a common refinement $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ such that the restrictions of c and c' on $\mathcal{W}$ are cohomologious. The elements of $H^1(X, \boldsymbol{G})$ are called the *Haefliger* $\boldsymbol{G}$*-structures* on X.

Let c be a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle on an open cover $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i\in I}$ of X. We will define a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle $(\Sigma(c), p, w)$ over X associated to the cocycle c. Put

$$\bar{\Sigma}(c) = \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \coprod_{i\in I} U_i = \{\, (g, x, i) \mid g \in \boldsymbol{G}_1,\ i \in I,\ x \in U_i,\ dom\, g = c_{ii}(x) \,\} \ .$$

Now define $\Sigma(c)$ to be the quotient space of the space $\bar{\Sigma}(c)$ by identifying (g, x, i) with $(g \circ c_{ij}(x), x, j)$ for all $x \in U_i \cap U_j$ and $g \in \boldsymbol{G}_1$ with $dom\, g = c_{ii}(x)$.

This is clearly an equivalence relation on $\bar{\Sigma}(c)$, and one can easily see that the quotient projection $\bar{\Sigma}(c) \to \Sigma(c)$ is a local homeomorphism. We denote by $[g,x,i] \in \Sigma(c)$ the equivalence class of $(g,x,i) \in \bar{\Sigma}(c)$. The maps $w : \Sigma(c) \to X$ and $p : \Sigma(c) \to \boldsymbol{G}_0$ are given by

$$w([g,x,i]) = x \quad \text{and} \quad p([g,x,i]) = \mathit{cod}\, g \ .$$

The action of $\boldsymbol{G}$ on $\Sigma(c)$ with respect to w and along the fibers of p is given by the composition in $\boldsymbol{G}$, i.e.

$$g' \cdot [g,x,i] = [g' \circ g, x, i] \ .$$

It is easy to check that $(\Sigma(c), p, w)$ is indeed a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over X. Thus we get a map Σ which assigns the principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle $\Sigma(c)$ over X to a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle c on an open cover of X.

Proposition I.3.1 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid and X a topological space. The map Σ induces a bijective correspondence between the Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structures on X and the isomorphism classes of principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundles over X.*

Proof. First we have to prove that $\Sigma(c)$ depends up to an isomorphism only on the Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure represented by c.

To see this, assume first that c is a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle on an open cover $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ of X, and $\mathcal{V} = (V_k)_{k \in K}$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{U}$. Choose $\tau : K \to I$ with $V_k \subset U_{\tau(k)}$. Then we define $\alpha : \Sigma(\tau^{\#}(c)) \to \Sigma(c)$ by

$$\alpha([g,x,k]) = [g,x,\tau(k)] \ .$$

This is clearly a well-defined continuous map, and since it is also $\boldsymbol{G}$-equivariant, it is a homeomorphism.

Next, assume that $c, c' \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{G})$ are cohomologious. Let b be a family of maps which intertwines c and c'. Then we define $\beta : \Sigma(c') \to \Sigma(c)$ by

$$\beta([g,x,i]) = [g \circ b_i(x), x, i] \ .$$

Again, this is a $\boldsymbol{G}$-equivariant homeomorphism. This proves that Σ induces a map from $H^1(X, \boldsymbol{G})$ to the set of isomorphism classes of principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundles over X. We will denote the induced map again by Σ.

To prove that the correspondence is indeed bijective, we will construct the inverse for Σ. Let (E, p, w, μ) be a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over X. Since w is a local homeomorphism, we can choose an open cover $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ of X and continuous sections $t_i : U_i \to E$ of w. Since E is principal, the map $(\mu, pr_2) : \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} E \to E \times_X E$ is a homeomorphism, with the inverse of the form (θ, pr_2). Now we define

$$c = (c_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}_1)_{i,j \in I}$$

by

$$c_{ij}(x) = \theta(t_i(x), t_j(x)) \; .$$

It is clear that the family c is a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle on $\mathcal{U}$, and that this construction provides an inverse for Σ.

∎

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale C^r-groupoid and M a C^r-manifold. Then a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle on an open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of M is of class C^r if all the maps in the cocycle are of class C^r. A Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure is of class C^r if it can be represented by a cocycle of class C^r. Observe that the families which intertwine $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycles of class C^r are necessarily of class C^r. In particular, any cocycle which represents a Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure of class C^r is itself of class C^r. If c is a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle of class C^r, then $\Sigma(c)$ is clearly a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over M.

Corollary I.3.2 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale C^r-groupoid and let M be a C^r-manifold. Then the map Σ induces a bijective correspondence between the Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structures of class C^r on M and the isomorphism classes of C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundles over M.*

Proof. The proof goes exactly the same as the proof of Proposition I.3.1, since all the constructions in that proof have a natural C^r-structure.

∎

Example I.3.3 (1) Let M be a finite-dimensional C^1-manifold. As we have seen in Section I.1, a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M can be represented by a $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-cocycle of C^1-submersions on an open cover of M. It is not difficult to verify that two such cocycles of submersions determine the same foliation precisely if they determine the same Haefliger $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-structure on M. In other words, the foliations on M are in a natural bijective correspondence with the Haefliger $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-structures of C^1-submersions on M, i.e. with those Haefliger $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-structures on M which can be represented by cocycles of C^1-submersions. Note that again any cocycle which represents a Haefliger $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-structure of C^1-submersions is itself a cocycle of C^1-submersions.

We say that a C^1-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle (E, p, w) over M is *submersive* if the map p is a submersion. One can easily see from the construction that $\Sigma(c)$ is a submersive $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle precisely if c is a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle of C^1-submersions on an open cover of M.

With this, Corollary I.3.2 implies that the foliations on M are in a natural bijective correspondence with the isomorphism classes of the C^1-principal submersive $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-bundles over M.

(2) Let M be a C^1-manifold of dimension n and $\mathcal{F}$ a foliation on M of codimension q. We can choose a C^1-atlas $(\varphi_i : U_i \to \mathbf{R}^{n-q} \times \mathbf{R}^q)_{i \in I}$ representing

$\mathcal{F}$ such that each φ_i is surjective. Put $N = \coprod_{i\in I} \mathbf{R}^q$ and define $T : N \to M$ by

$$T(y,i) = \varphi_i^{-1}(0,y) \ .$$

Clearly T is a complete transversal. Recall that $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F})$ is an effective C^1-groupoid, i.e. it can be viewed as an open subgroupoid of $\Gamma_{C^1}(N)$. Let $s_i : U_i \to N$ be the submersion given by $s_i(x) = (pr_2(\varphi_i(x)), i)$. For any $x \in U_i \cap U_j$ there exists an open neighbourhood $W \subset U_i \cap U_j$ of x and a diffeomorphism $s_{ij}^W : s_j(W) \to s_i(W)$ with $s_i|_W = s_{ij}^W \circ s_j|_W$. Just like in Section I.1 we obtain now a $\Gamma_{C^1}(N)$-cocycle (c_{ij}) on (U_i) given by $c_{ij}(x) = \mathrm{germ}_{s_j(x)}\, s_{ij}^W$. But observe that $c_{ij}(x)$ in fact belongs to $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F}) \subset \Gamma_{C^1}(N)$. In other words, we obtain a $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F})$-cocycle on (U_i), hence the corresponding Haefliger $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F})$-structure of C^1-submersions on M, or equivalently, the corresponding submersive C^1-principal $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M,\mathcal{F})$-bundle on M.

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid and let $\mathfrak{c}$ be a Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure over a topological space X. Motivated by Example I.3.3 (1), one can define the leaves and the holonomy groups of the leaves of $\mathfrak{c}$, and view $\mathfrak{c}$ as a generalized kind of a foliation on the topological space X.

Let $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i\in I}$ be an open cover of X and $c \in Z^1(\mathcal{U},\boldsymbol{G})$ such that c represents $\mathfrak{c}$. Then we define the plaques of c in U_i to be the connected components of the fibers of c_{ii}. Since $\boldsymbol{G}$ is étale, the maps c_{ij} guarantee that the plaques globally amalgamate into connected subsets of X, called the leaves of c, which forms a partition of X. More precisely, the sets of the form

$$c_{ii}^{-1}(a) \cap U \ ,$$

where $a \in \boldsymbol{G}_0$, $i \in I$ and U is an open subset of X, form a basis for a (finer) topology on X, called the leaf topology associated to c. The connected components of X equipped with the leaf topology are the leaves of c. It is easy to see that the partition of X on leaves depends only on the Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure $\mathfrak{c}$.

In [16], A. Haefliger defined the holonomy group of a leaf of a Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure on X. Then he proved the Haefliger-Reeb-Ehresmann stability theorem for such a structure, which says that under some conditions, a compact leaf with finite holonomy group of a Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure has an arbitrary small neighbourhood of compact leaves. This theorem generalizes the Reeb stability theorem I.1.1, in the sense of Example I.3.3 (1). We shall discuss this in more detail in Chapters II and III, where we will generalize the Haefliger-Reeb-Ehresmann stability theorem, as well as the Thurston-Reeb stability theorem (Theorem I.1.2), to Hilsum-Skandalis maps between topological groupoids. As we will show, these maps can be viewed as generalized foliations on topological groupoids.

I.4 Fundamental Group of a Topological Groupoid

Definition I.4.1 Let $\boldsymbol{H}$ be a topological groupoid and (E, w) a right $\boldsymbol{H}$-space. An *$\boldsymbol{H}$-path* in (E, w) from a point $e \in E$ to a point $e' \in E$ consists of a sequence $(\sigma_i)_{i=0}^n$ of paths in E and a sequence $(h_i)_{i=1}^n$ of elements of $\boldsymbol{H}_1$ such that $\sigma_0(0) = e$, $h_i \in \boldsymbol{H}(w(\sigma_{i-1}(1)), w(\sigma_i(0)))$, $\sigma_i(0) \cdot h_i = \sigma_{i-1}(1)$ for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots n$, and $\sigma_n(1) = e'$. We will denote such an $\boldsymbol{H}$-path as

$$\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0 \; .$$

An *$\boldsymbol{H}$-loop* in (E, w) with a base-point $e_0 \in E$ is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-path in (E, w) from e_0 to e_0.

Remark. Observe that the $\boldsymbol{H}$-paths can be concatenated in the obvious way. Analogously, one can consider $\boldsymbol{G}$-paths in a left $\boldsymbol{G}$-space, for a topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$.

Proposition I.4.2 *Let $\boldsymbol{H}$ be a topological groupoid and (E, w) a locally path-connected right $\boldsymbol{H}$-space. Then $e, e' \in E$ lie in the same $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected component of E if and only if there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$-path from e to e'.*

Proof. Denote by $q = q_E : E \to E/\boldsymbol{H}$ the quotient map on the orbit space of E. Any $\boldsymbol{H}$-path in E from e to e' clearly induces a path in $E/\boldsymbol{H}$ from $q(e)$ to $q(e')$.

Conversely, assume that e and e' lie in the same $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected component of E. Hence $q(e)$ and $q(e')$ lie in the same connected component of $E/\boldsymbol{H}$. Since E is locally path-connected, so is $E/\boldsymbol{H}$ and thus there exists a path $\sigma : [0, 1] \to E/\boldsymbol{H}$ from $q(e)$ to $q(e')$. Moreover, we can choose an open cover $(U_j)_{j \in J}$ of E, consisting of the path-connected sets.

Now $(q(U_j))_{j \in J}$ is an open cover of $E/\boldsymbol{H}$, and by compactness of $\sigma([0, 1])$ we can choose a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n < t_{n+1} = 1$ and $j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_n \in J$ such that

$$\sigma([t_i, t_{i+1}]) \subset q(U_{j_i})$$

for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, with $e \in U_{j_0}$ and $e' \in U_{j_n}$. We can find $e_i, e'_i \in U_{j_i}$ with $q(e_i) = \sigma(t_i)$ and $q(e'_i) = \sigma(t_{i+1})$, for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. In particular, we can take $e_0 = e$ and $e'_n = e'$. Since U_{j_i} is path-connected, there exists a path σ_i in U_{j_i} from e_i to e'_i $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, n)$, and since $q(e_i) = q(e'_{i-1})$, there exists $h_i \in \boldsymbol{H}(w(e'_{i-1}), w(e_i))$ with $e_i \cdot h_i = e'_{i-1}$, for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. This gives an $\boldsymbol{H}$-path from e to e'.

■

Let $\boldsymbol{H}$ be a topological groupoid such that $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ is locally path-connected. Since $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ is a right $\boldsymbol{H}$-space, we can speak about $\boldsymbol{H}$-paths in $\boldsymbol{H}_0$. Let $b_0 \in \boldsymbol{H}_0$. An *$\boldsymbol{H}$-loop* in $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ with the base-point b_0 thus consists of a sequence $(\sigma_i)_{i=0}^n$ of paths in $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ and a sequence $(h_i)_{i=1}^n$ of elements of $\boldsymbol{H}_1$ with $\sigma_0(0) = \sigma_n(1) = b_0$ and $h_i \in \boldsymbol{H}(\sigma_{i-1}(1), \sigma_i(0))$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. We denote this $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop by

$$\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0 \; .$$

Denote by $\Omega(\boldsymbol{H}, b_0)$ the set of all $\boldsymbol{H}$-loops in $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ with the base-point b_0.

We will now define an equivalence relation on $\Omega(\boldsymbol{H}, b_0)$, which we will call simply *equivalence*. It is the smallest equivalence relation such that

(i) an $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop

$$\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0$$

is equivalent to the $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop

$$\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot (h_{i+1} \circ h_i) \cdot \sigma_{i-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0 \; ,$$

if σ_i is a constant path for some $0 < i < n$, and

(ii) an $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop

$$\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0$$

is equivalent to the $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop

$$\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_{i+1} \cdot (\sigma_i \sigma_{i-1}) \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0 \; ,$$

if $h_i \in \boldsymbol{H}_0$ for some $1 \le i \le n$.

Here $\sigma_i \sigma_{i-1}$ denotes the usual concatenation of σ_{i-1} and σ_i.

A *deformation* of an $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop $\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0$ to an $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop $\sigma'_n \cdot h'_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h'_1 \cdot \sigma'_0$ consists of homotopies

$$D_i : [0,1]^2 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{H}_0$$

from $D_i(0, \text{-}\,) = \sigma_i$ to $D_i(1, \text{-}\,) = \sigma'_i$ $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, n)$ and paths

$$d_i : [0,1] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{H}_1$$

from h_i to h'_i $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ which satisfy

(a) $\mathit{dom} \circ d_i = D_{i-1}(\text{-}\,, 1)$ and $\mathit{cod} \circ d_i = D_i(\text{-}\,, 0)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and

(b) $D_0([0,1], 0) = D_n([0,1], 1) = \{b_0\}$.

Two $\boldsymbol{H}$-loops in $\Omega(\boldsymbol{H}, b_0)$ are *homotopic* if one can pass from one to another in a sequence of deformations and equivalences. With the multiplication induced by the concatenation, the homotopy classes of $\boldsymbol{H}$-loops in $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ with the base-point b_0 form a group

$$\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b_0) \; ,$$

called the *fundamental group* of the topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{H}$ with the base-point b_0.

Remark. Proposition I.4.2 implies that the group $\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b_0)$ depends only on the $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected component Z of $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ with $b_0 \in Z$. In particular, if $|\boldsymbol{H}|$ is connected, the fundamental group of $\boldsymbol{H}$ does not depend (up to an isomorphism) on the choice of the base-point, and hence we shall denote its isomorphism class by $\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H})$.

If $\boldsymbol{H}$ is a locally path-connected topological space X, this group is exactly the classical fundamental group of X. Moreover, if $\boldsymbol{H}$ is a discrete group then $\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}) = \boldsymbol{H}$.

If $\boldsymbol{H}$ is a suitable étale groupoid, $\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H})$ coincide with the fundamental group of the classifying space and of the classifying topos of $\boldsymbol{H}$ (see [25, 37]). If the groupoid $\boldsymbol{H}$ is effective, a similar (but obviously equivalent) definition was given in [26]. If in addition $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ is simply-connected (but not necessarily connected), it is not difficult to see that $\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H})$ is exactly the fundamental group of $\boldsymbol{H}$ as described by W. T. van Est [13]. The construction of the fundamental group in [13] is extremely natural: it is the vertex group of the discrete groupoid $\pi_0(\boldsymbol{H})$. Recall that $\pi_0(\boldsymbol{H})_0 = \pi_0(\boldsymbol{H}_0)$ and that the morphisms of $\pi_0(\boldsymbol{H})$ are generated by $\pi_0(\boldsymbol{H}_1)$ with respect to the partially defined composition induced by $\pi_0(com) : \pi_0(\boldsymbol{H}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_1) \to \pi_0(\boldsymbol{H}_1)$.

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be another topological groupoid with $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ locally path-connected, and let $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ be a continuous functor. Denote $a_0 = \phi_0(b_0)$. The functor ϕ gives a function

$$\phi_{\#} : \Omega(\boldsymbol{H}, b_0) \longrightarrow \Omega(\boldsymbol{G}, a_0)$$

defined by

$$\phi_{\#}(\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0) = (\phi_0 \circ \sigma_n) \cdot \phi(h_n) \cdot \ldots \cdot \phi(h_1) \cdot (\phi_0 \circ \sigma_0) \ .$$

This function maps homotopic $\boldsymbol{H}$-loops to homotopic $\boldsymbol{G}$-loops, hence it induces a map

$$\phi_* : \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b_0) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\boldsymbol{G}, a_0) \ ,$$

which is clearly a homomorphism of groups.

If $\boldsymbol{H}$ is a topological groupoid and (E, w) is a locally path-connected right $\boldsymbol{H}$-space, we define the *fundamental group* of (E, w) with a base point $e_0 \in E$ to be the fundamental group $\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(E), e_0)$ of the associated topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{H}(E)$. If (E', w') is another locally path-connected right $\boldsymbol{H}$-space and $\alpha : E \to E'$ an $\boldsymbol{H}$-equivariant map (i.e. $w' \circ \alpha = w$ and $\alpha(e \cdot h) = \alpha(e) \cdot h$), then α induces a continuous functor

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\alpha) : \boldsymbol{H}(E) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{H}(E')$$

in the obvious way. Hence we get the homomorphism of groups

$$\alpha_* = \boldsymbol{H}(\alpha)_* : \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(E), e_0) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(E'), \alpha(e_0)) \ .$$

Note that the $\boldsymbol{H}$-loops in $\boldsymbol{H}(E)_0$ are precisely the $\boldsymbol{H}$-loops in the right $\boldsymbol{H}$-space E.

If α is a covering projection, the unique path lifting property for α clearly implies the unique $\boldsymbol{H}$-path lifting property. Moreover, homotopic $\boldsymbol{H}$-paths clearly lift to homotopic $\boldsymbol{H}$-paths. In particular, α_* is in this case injective.

Notation. Let X be a topological space. For $x, x' \in X$ we will denote by $\boldsymbol{\pi}_1(X)(x, x')$ the set of homotopy classes (with fixed end-points) of paths in X from x to x'. This defines a groupoid $\boldsymbol{\pi}_1(X)$ with $\boldsymbol{\pi}_1(X)_0 = X$ and with the composition induced by the concatenation of paths. For example, we have $\boldsymbol{\pi}_1(X)(x, x) = \pi_1(X, x)$.

Any continuous map $f : X \to Y$ between topological spaces induces a functor

$$f_* = \boldsymbol{\pi}_1(f) : \boldsymbol{\pi}_1(X) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\pi}_1(Y) ,$$

which is given by the composition of paths with f.

Let X be a locally path-connected topological space, equipped with a continuous right action of a discrete group G. For any $g \in G$ we denote by $\hat{g}$ the homeomorphism $- \cdot g : X \to X$. The groupoid $G(X)$ associated to this action is étale.

The following proposition gives a description of the fundamental group of $G(X)$ in terms of G and $\boldsymbol{\pi}_1(X)$:

Proposition I.4.3 *Let X be a locally path-connected topological space, let $x_0 \in X$, and assume that X is equipped with a continuous right action of a discrete group G. Then*

$$\pi_1(G(X), x_0) \cong \{\, (g, \varsigma) \mid g \in G,\ \varsigma \in \boldsymbol{\pi}_1(X)(x_0, x_0 \cdot g) \,\} ,$$

with the multiplication given by

$$(g', \varsigma')(g, \varsigma) = (g'g, \hat{g}_*(\varsigma')\, \varsigma) .$$

In particular, there is a short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(X, x_0) \longrightarrow \pi_1(G(X), x_0) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1 .$$

Remark. The group $\pi_1(G(X), x_0)$ is thus isomorphic to the fundamental group of the space $X \times_G \boldsymbol{E}G$, where $\boldsymbol{E}G$ is the universal G-bundle (see for example [1]).

Proof. Denote $\Upsilon = \{\, (g, \varsigma) \mid g \in G,\ \varsigma \in \boldsymbol{\pi}_1(X)(x_0, x_0 \cdot g) \,\}$. It is easy to check that Υ is indeed a group. We define a function

$$f : \Omega(G(X), x_0) \longrightarrow \Upsilon$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\sigma_n \cdot g_n \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma_2 \cdot g_2 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot g_1 \cdot \sigma_0) \\ & \qquad = \quad (g_n \ldots g_2 g_1, (\hat{g}_1 \circ \hat{g}_2 \circ \ldots \circ \hat{g}_n)_*(\varsigma_n) \ldots (\hat{g}_1 \circ \hat{g}_2)_*(\varsigma_2)\, (\hat{g}_1)_*(\varsigma_1)\, \varsigma_0) , \end{aligned}$$

where ς_i denotes the homotopy class of σ_i. It is easy to see that f identifies homotopic G-loops in X, hence induces a function

$$\bar{f} : \pi_1(G(X), x_0) \longrightarrow \Upsilon$$

which is clearly a surjective homomorphism.

Next, observe that there is an obvious deformation between the G-loops

$$\sigma_n \cdot g_n \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma_i \cdot g_i \cdot \sigma_{i-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot g_1 \cdot \sigma_0$$

and

$$\sigma_n \cdot g_n \cdot \ldots \cdot \varpi(\sigma_i(1)) \cdot g_i \cdot (\hat{g}_i \circ \sigma_i)\, \sigma_{i-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot g_1 \cdot \sigma_0 \;,$$

where $\varpi(\sigma_i(1))$ denotes the constant path with the image point $\sigma_i(1)$. This implies that the G-loops

$$\sigma_n \cdot g_n \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma_2 \cdot g_2 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot g_1 \cdot \sigma_0$$

and

$$\varpi(x_0) \cdot (g_n \ldots g_2 g_1) \cdot (\hat{g}_1 \circ \hat{g}_2 \circ \ldots \circ \hat{g}_n \circ \sigma_n) \ldots (\hat{g}_1 \circ \hat{g}_2 \circ \sigma_2)\, (\hat{g}_1 \circ \sigma_1)\, \sigma_0$$

are homotopic, where $\varpi(x_0)$ denotes the constant path with the image point x_0. In particular, if $f(\sigma_n \cdot g_n \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma_2 \cdot g_2 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot g_1 \cdot \sigma_0)$ is the unit in Υ, then $g_n \ldots g_2 g_1 = 1$ and $(\hat{g}_1 \circ \hat{g}_2 \circ \ldots \circ \hat{g}_n \circ \sigma_n) \ldots (\hat{g}_1 \circ \hat{g}_2 \circ \sigma_2)\, (\hat{g}_1 \circ \sigma_1)\, \sigma_0$ is homotopic to the constant loop in X. In other words, the homomorphism $\bar{f}$ is injective, hence an isomorphism.

■

Chapter II

Hilsum-Skandalis Maps

In Section I.3 we saw that the Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structures on a space X correspond exactly to the isomorphism classes of principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundles over X, for any étale groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ and topological space X. In particular, if $\boldsymbol{G}$ is just a topological space Y, the Haefliger Y-structures over X are precisely the continuous maps from X to Y. In this Chapter we show, among other things, that in fact any Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure can be viewed as a map from X to $\boldsymbol{G}$.

In 1987 [19] M. Hilsum and G. Skandalis introduced the notion of a C^1-map between the holonomy groupoids $\mathrm{Hol}(M,\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathrm{Hol}(M',\mathcal{F}')$ associated to foliations $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ on finite-dimensional C^1-manifolds. By replacing the holonomy groupoids with arbitrary topological groupoids, one gets the notion of a Hilsum-Skandalis map between topological groupoids [17, 19, 30]. Such a map between topological groupoids $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an isomorphism class of principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundles. The Hilsum-Skandalis maps arose independently in topos theory [5, 25].

The Hilsum-Skandalis maps form a category $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}$, with the topological groupoids as objects. We will construct a functor from the category **Gpd** of continuous functors between topological groupoids to the category $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}$, which is the identity on objects and sends essential equivalences to isomorphisms.

If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an étale groupoid and X a topological space, the principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-X-bibundles are exactly the principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundles over X. In particular, a Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure on X is a Hilsum-Skandalis map from X to $\boldsymbol{G}$. We will show that just as a Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure on X can be seen as a generalized foliation on X, a Hilsum-Skandalis map $\boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ between topological groupoids can be seen as a generalized foliation on the topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{H}$.

II.1 Bibundles

Definition II.1.1 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ be topological groupoids. A $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle is a topological space E, equipped with a left $\boldsymbol{G}$-action (p,μ) and with a right $\boldsymbol{H}$-action (w,ν) such that the two actions commute with each other, i.e.*

(i) $w(g\cdot e)=w(e)$,

(ii) $p(e\cdot h)=p(e)$ *and*

(iii) $(g\cdot e)\cdot h=g\cdot(e\cdot h)$,

for any $g\in\boldsymbol{G}_1$, $e\in E$, $h\in\boldsymbol{H}_1$ with $dom\, g=p(e)$ and $w(e)=cod\, h$.

Remark. We will denote such a $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle by (E,p,w,μ,ν) or (E,p,w), or simply by E. A special kind of $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundles was introduced in [19] for the case where $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ are the holonomy groupoids of foliations. In [24], $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundles are referred to as $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bispaces.

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ be topological groupoids and let (E,p,w,μ,ν) be a $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. Observe that, in particular, (E,p,w,μ) is a $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over $\boldsymbol{H}_0$, called the *underlying* $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle of the $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle E. We call (E,p,w,μ,ν) *left transitive* (or simply *transitive*) if the underlying $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle (E,p,w,μ) is transitive. We say that (E,p,w,μ,ν) is *left principal* (or simply *principal*) if the underlying $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle (E,p,w,μ) is principal.

Let $\psi:\boldsymbol{G}\to\boldsymbol{G}'$ and $\phi:\boldsymbol{H}\to\boldsymbol{H}'$ be continuous functors between topological groupoids, (E,p,w) a $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle and (E',p',w') a $\boldsymbol{G}'$-$\boldsymbol{H}'$-bibundle. A continuous map $\alpha:E\to E'$ is called *ψ-ϕ-equivariant* if $\psi_0\circ p=p'\circ\alpha$, $\phi_0\circ w=w'\circ\alpha$ and

$$\alpha(g\cdot e\cdot h)=\psi(g)\cdot\alpha(e)\cdot\phi(h)\ ,$$

for all $g\in\boldsymbol{G}_1$, $e\in E$, $h\in\boldsymbol{H}_1$ with $dom\, g=p(e)$, $w(e)=cod\, h$.

If E and E' are two $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundles, a continuous map $\alpha:E\to E'$ is called *$\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-equivariant* (or simply *equivariant*) if it is $id_{\boldsymbol{G}}$-$id_{\boldsymbol{H}}$-equivariant. Two $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundles are called *isomorphic* if there exists an equivariant homeomorphism between them. We will often denote the isomorphism class of a $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle E again by E. Any equivariant map between principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundles is a homeomorphism. An isomorphism class of principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundles is called a *Hilsum-Skandalis map* from $\boldsymbol{H}$ to $\boldsymbol{G}$.

If $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ are C^r-groupoids, a $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle of class C^r is a $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle E such that E is a C^r-manifold and both actions are of class C^r. Such a bundle is C^r-principal if the underlying $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ is C^r-principal. Two $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundles of class C^r are *isomorphic* if there exists an equivariant C^r-diffeomorphism between them. A *Hilsum-Skandalis C^r-map* from $\boldsymbol{H}$ to $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an isomorphism class of C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundles.

Example II.1.2 If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is a topological groupoid and X a topological space, the (principal) $\boldsymbol{G}$-X-bibundles are exactly the (principal) $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundles over X. In particular, if $\boldsymbol{G}$ is étale, the Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structures on X are in a natural bijective correspondence with the Hilsum-Skandalis maps from X to $\boldsymbol{G}$ (Proposition I.3.1). If X and Y are topological spaces, then the Hilsum-Skandalis maps from X to Y are precisely the continuous maps from X to Y (Example I.2.4). If M and N are C^r-manifolds, the Hilsum-Skandalis maps of class C^r from M to N are precisely the C^r-maps from M to N.

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ be topological groupoids and (E, p, w) a $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. Denote by $q_E : E \to E/\boldsymbol{H}$ the quotient projection on the space of orbits $E/\boldsymbol{H}$ for the action of $\boldsymbol{H}$ on E. Then w induces a map

$$w/\boldsymbol{H} : E/\boldsymbol{H} \longrightarrow |\boldsymbol{H}| ,$$

and p factors through q_E as

$$p = p/\boldsymbol{H} \circ q_E .$$

Since the left action of $\boldsymbol{G}$ commutes with the action of $\boldsymbol{H}$, it induces a left action of $\boldsymbol{G}$ on $E/\boldsymbol{H}$ with respect to $p/\boldsymbol{H}$ and along the fibers of $w/\boldsymbol{H}$. Therefore $(E/\boldsymbol{H}, p/\boldsymbol{H}, w/\boldsymbol{H})$ is a $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over $|\boldsymbol{H}|$, called the *associated* $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle to the $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle (E, p, w). The map q_E is $id_{\boldsymbol{G}}$-$\mathfrak{r}$-equivariant, where $\mathfrak{r} : \boldsymbol{H} \to |\boldsymbol{H}|$ is the canonical continuous functor. If (E, p, w) is transitive, then $(E/\boldsymbol{H}, p/\boldsymbol{H}, w/\boldsymbol{H})$ is also transitive. However, if (E, p, w) is principal, the bundle $(E/\boldsymbol{H}, p/\boldsymbol{H}, w/\boldsymbol{H})$ may not be principal.

Let (E, p, w, μ, ν) be a $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle and let (E', p', w', μ', ν') be an $\boldsymbol{H}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle. Then we can define a $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle

$$(E \otimes E', p \otimes p', w \otimes w', \mu \otimes \mu', \nu \otimes \nu') ,$$

called the *tensor product* of E and E', as follows: Define a right $\boldsymbol{H}$-action on the space

$$E \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} E' = \{ (e, e') \,|\, w(e) = p'(e') \} \subset E \times E'$$

with respect to the map $w \circ pr_1 = p' \circ pr_2$ by

$$(e, e') \cdot h = (e \cdot h, h^{-1} \cdot e') ,$$

for any $e \in E$, $e' \in E'$, $h \in \boldsymbol{H}_1$ with $w(e) = p'(e') = \mathit{cod}\, h$. Now we take

$$E \otimes E' = (E \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} E')/\boldsymbol{H} .$$

We denote by $e \otimes e' \in E \otimes E'$ the equivalence class of $(e, e') \in E \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} E'$. The maps $p \otimes p'$ and $w \otimes w'$ are given by

$$(p \otimes p')(e \otimes e') = p(e)$$

and

$$(w \otimes w')(e \otimes e') = w'(e') \ .$$

The left action of $\boldsymbol{G}$ is given by

$$g \cdot (e \otimes e') = g \cdot e \otimes e' \ ,$$

and the right action of $\boldsymbol{K}$ is given by

$$(e \otimes e') \cdot h = e \otimes e' \cdot h \ .$$

It is obvious that $(E \otimes E', p \otimes p', w \otimes w')$ is indeed a $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle. But we claim:

Proposition II.1.3 *Let E be a $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle and E' a $\boldsymbol{H}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle. If E and E' are principal (respectively transitive), then the $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle $E \otimes E'$ is also principal (respectively transitive).*

Proof. Let $E = (E, p, w, \mu, \nu)$ and $E' = (E', p', w', \mu', \nu')$. Denote by $q : E \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} E' \to E \otimes E'$ the open quotient projection. Since w is an open surjection, the projection $pr_2 : E \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} E' \to E'$ is an open surjection. Since q is open and $w \otimes w'$ is the factorization of $w' \circ pr_2$ though q, this implies that $w \otimes w'$ is an open surjection.

Assume that the bibundles E and E' are principal (in the transitive case the proof is analogous). The map $(\mu, pr_2) : \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} E \to E \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} E$ is a homeomorphism, with the inverse of the form (θ, pr_2). Also, the map $(\mu', pr_2) : \boldsymbol{H}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} E' \to E' \times_{\boldsymbol{K}_0} E'$ is a homeomorphism, with the inverse of the form (θ', pr_2). We have to prove that the map

$$(\mu \otimes \mu', pr_2) : \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} E \otimes E' \longrightarrow E \otimes E' \times_{\boldsymbol{K}_0} E \otimes E'$$

is a homeomorphism. But we can define the inverse κ of $(\mu \otimes \mu', pr_2)$ by

$$\kappa(e \otimes e', e_1 \otimes e_1') = (\theta(e \cdot \theta'(e', e_1'), e_1), e_1 \otimes e_1') \ .$$

It is easy to verify that this is indeed a well-defined inverse.

■

Definition II.1.4 *The category $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$ of Hilsum-Skandalis maps is given by:*

(i) the objects of $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$ are the topological groupoids,

(ii) the morphisms in $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{G})$ are the Hilsum-Skandalis maps from $\boldsymbol{H}$ to $\boldsymbol{G}$, and

(iii) the composition in $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$ is induced by the tensor product of principal bibundles.

Remark. Let us emphasize again that in notation we will not make any distinction between the principal bibundles and their isomorphism classes, i.e. the associated Hilsum-Skandalis maps. In particular, we will denote the composition in $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$ induced by the tensor product $\otimes$ of bibundles again by $\otimes$.

It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$ is indeed a category. For example, the tensor product of bibundles is associative up to a canonical isomorphism, and the identity in $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$ is (the isomorphism class of) the principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{G}$-bibundle

$$(\boldsymbol{G}_1, cod, dom)$$

with the obvious actions given by the composition in $\boldsymbol{G}$.

We will denote by $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}_e$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$ with objects all étale groupoids. The category **Top** of topological spaces is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}_e$ (Example II.1.2).

There is a close relation between the category $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$ and the category of Grothendieck toposes and geometric morphisms between them [24].

Let $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ be a continuous functor between topological groupoids. We define

$$\langle\phi\rangle = \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_0 = \{\, (g,b) \,|\, dom\, g = \phi_0(b) \,\} \subset \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times \boldsymbol{H}_0 \; .$$

There are natural actions of $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ on $\langle\phi\rangle$ such that

$$(\langle\phi\rangle, cod \circ pr_1, pr_2)$$

is a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. Indeed, we have a left $\boldsymbol{G}$-action μ on $\langle\phi\rangle$ with respect to $cod \circ pr_1$ given by

$$g' \cdot (g,b) = (g' \circ g, b)$$

and a right $\boldsymbol{H}$-action ν on $\langle\phi\rangle$ with respect to pr_2 given by

$$(g,b) \cdot h = (g \cdot \phi(h), dom\, h) \; .$$

With this, $\langle\phi\rangle$ is clearly a $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. Observe that pr_2 is an open surjection since the domain map of $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an open surjection. Moreover, the map

$$(\mu, pr_2) : \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \langle\phi\rangle \longrightarrow \langle\phi\rangle \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} \langle\phi\rangle$$

has a continuous inverse κ given by $\kappa((g_1,b),(g,b)) = (g_1 \circ g^{-1}, (g,b))$. Thus $\langle\phi\rangle$ is indeed a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle.

If $\psi : \boldsymbol{K} \to \boldsymbol{H}$ is another continuous functor between open groupoids, there is a continuous $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-equivariant map

$$\alpha : \langle\phi \circ \psi\rangle \longrightarrow \langle\phi\rangle \otimes \langle\psi\rangle$$

given by $\alpha(g,c) = (g, \psi_0(c)) \otimes (1_{\psi_0(c)}, c)$. As any equivariant map between principal bibundles, the map α is a homeomorphism. Furthermore, there is an isomorphism $\langle id_{\boldsymbol{G}} \rangle \cong (\boldsymbol{G}_1, cod, dom)$. This proves that

$$\langle \text{-} \rangle : \mathbf{Gpd} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}$$

is a functor. On objects, the functor $\langle \text{-} \rangle$ is the identity.

Proposition II.1.5 *Let (E, p, w) be a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. Then $E \cong \langle \phi \rangle$ for some continuous functor $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ if and only if the map w has a continuous section.*

Proof. If s is a continuous section of w, i.e. a right inverse of w, then define ϕ with $\phi_0 = p \circ s$ such that

$$\phi(h) \cdot s(dom\, h) = s(cod\, h) \cdot h \ .$$

Since E is principal, this equation defines ϕ uniquely.

■

Proposition II.1.6 *Let $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ be a continuous functor between topological groupoids. Then $\langle \phi \rangle$ is an isomorphism in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}$ if and only if ϕ is an essential equivalence.*

Proof. (a) First assume that ϕ is an essential equivalence. Write the structure maps of the associated principal bundle of ϕ by $(\langle \phi \rangle, p, w, \mu, \nu)$. The left $\boldsymbol{G}$-action (p, μ) induces the corresponding right $\boldsymbol{G}$-action $(p, \bar{\mu})$ on $\langle \phi \rangle$ by

$$\bar{\mu}(e, g) = \mu(g^{-1}, e) \ .$$

Similarly, the right $\boldsymbol{H}$-action (w, ν) induces the corresponding left $\boldsymbol{H}$-action $(w, \bar{\nu})$ on $\langle \phi \rangle$. Clearly, $(\langle \phi \rangle, w, p, \bar{\nu}, \bar{\mu})$ is a $\boldsymbol{H}$-$\boldsymbol{G}$-bibundle. We will show that this bundle is principal and provides the inverse for $(\langle \phi \rangle, p, w, \mu, \nu)$ in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}$.

Since ϕ is an essential equivalence, the map p is an open surjection and $(\phi, dom, cod) : \boldsymbol{H}_1 \to \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{(\boldsymbol{G}_0 \times \boldsymbol{G}_0)} (\boldsymbol{H}_0 \times \boldsymbol{H}_0)$ is a homeomorphism. Denote by ϑ the inverse of (ϕ, dom, cod). To prove that $(\langle \phi \rangle, w, p, \bar{\nu}, \bar{\mu})$ is principal we still have to prove that the map

$$(\bar{\nu}, pr_2) : \boldsymbol{H}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} \langle \phi \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \phi \rangle \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \langle \phi \rangle$$

is a homeomorphism. In fact, we can define the inverse κ of $(\bar{\nu}, pr_2)$ explicitly (by using $\langle \phi \rangle = \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_0$) by

$$\kappa((g', b'), (g, b)) = (\vartheta(g'^{-1} \circ g, (b, b')), (g, b)) \ .$$

Hence the bibundle $(\langle\phi\rangle, w, p, \bar{\nu}, \bar{\mu})$ is principal, and it is straightforward to check that it provides the inverse for $(\langle\phi\rangle, p, w, \mu, \nu)$ in $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$.

(b) Assume that $\langle\phi\rangle$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$. Hence there exists a principal $\boldsymbol{H}$-$\boldsymbol{G}$-bibundle (E, p, w) and equivariant homeomorphisms $\alpha : \boldsymbol{H}_1 \to E \otimes \langle\phi\rangle$ and $\beta : \langle\phi\rangle \otimes E \to \boldsymbol{G}_1$.

First observe that $E \otimes \langle\phi\rangle \cong E \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_0$. By composing the homeomorphism α with the first projection one gets a continuous map

$$\delta : \boldsymbol{H}_1 \longrightarrow E$$

which satisfies $\delta(h \circ h') = h \cdot \delta(h') = \delta(h) \cdot \phi(h')$. We now define a continuous map $u : \langle\phi\rangle \to E$ (recall that $\langle\phi\rangle = \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_0$) by

$$u(g, b) = \delta(1_b) \cdot g^{-1} \ .$$

Clearly we have $u(g' \cdot (g, b) \cdot h) = h^{-1} \cdot u(g, b) \cdot g'^{-1}$.

Next we define a continuous map $v : E \to \langle\phi\rangle$ by

$$v(e) = (\beta((1_{\phi(p(e))}, p(e)) \otimes e)^{-1}, p(e)) \ .$$

Since β is equivariant, we have $v(h \cdot e \cdot g) = g^{-1} \cdot v(e) \cdot h^{-1}$.

Now $u \circ v$ is an equivariant map of the principal bibundle E into itself, hence a homeomorphism. Similarly, $v \circ u$ is a homeomorphism. Therefore both u and v are homeomorphisms. Since w is an open surjection and $w \circ u = \mathit{cod} \circ \mathit{pr}_1$, this implies that $\mathit{cod} \circ \mathit{pr}_1 : \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_0 \to \boldsymbol{G}_0$ is an open surjection. Finally, the composition of the homeomorphisms $\mathit{inv} : \boldsymbol{H}_1 \to \boldsymbol{H}_1$, $\alpha : \boldsymbol{H}_1 \to E \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_0$ and

$$u^{-1} \times \mathit{id}_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} : E \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_0 \longrightarrow (\boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_0) \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_0$$

is exactly of the form $(\phi, \mathit{dom}, \mathit{cod})$. Thus ϕ is indeed an essential equivalence.

■

Corollary II.1.7 *Two topological groupoids are Morita equivalent if and only if they are isomorphic in the category* $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$.

Proof. One direction is clear. For the other, assume that (E, p, w) is a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle which is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$. Let $\boldsymbol{K} = \boldsymbol{G} \times \boldsymbol{H}$, i.e. the product of $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ given by $\boldsymbol{K}_0 = \boldsymbol{G}_0 \times \boldsymbol{H}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{K}_1 = \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times \boldsymbol{H}_1$ and with the obvious structure maps. This groupoid acts on E with respect to (p, w) from the right by

$$e \cdot (g, h) = g^{-1} \cdot e \cdot h \ .$$

Let $\boldsymbol{K}(E)$ be the topological groupoid associated to this action on E. Observe that there is a continuous functor

$$\phi : \boldsymbol{K}(E) \longrightarrow H \ ,$$

given by $\phi_0 = w$ and $\phi_1(e,(g,h)) = h$, which is clearly an essential equivalence. Moreover, there is a continuous functor

$$\psi : \boldsymbol{K}(E) \longrightarrow G$$

given by $\psi_0 = p$ and $\psi_1(e,(g,h)) = g$. It is easy to check that

$$E \otimes \langle \phi \rangle = \langle \psi \rangle \ .$$

Now Proposition II.1.6 implies first that $\langle \psi \rangle$ is an isomorphism, and second that ψ is an essential equivalence.

■

Notation. Let (E, p, w) be a $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. If $\phi : \boldsymbol{H}' \to \boldsymbol{H}$ is a continuous functor between topological groupoids, we denote the structure maps of the tensor product $\phi^* E = E \otimes \langle \phi \rangle$ as

$$(\phi^* E, \phi^* p, \phi^* w) \ .$$

Observe that they fit into the pull-back

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi^* E \arrow[r, "\phi^E"] \arrow[d, "\phi^* w"'] & E \arrow[r, "p"] \arrow[d, "w"] & \boldsymbol{G}_0 \\
\boldsymbol{H}'_0 \arrow[r, "\phi_0"] & \boldsymbol{H}_0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

with $\phi^* p = p \circ \phi^E$. The map ϕ^E, which is given by $\phi^E(e \otimes (h, b')) = e \cdot h$, is $id_{\boldsymbol{G}}$-ϕ-equivariant.

Let $\psi : \boldsymbol{G} \to \boldsymbol{G}'$ be a continuous functor. We will write the structure maps of $\langle \psi \rangle \otimes E$ as

$$(\langle \psi \rangle \otimes E, \langle \psi \rangle \otimes p, \langle \psi \rangle \otimes w) \ .$$

Note that $\langle \psi \rangle \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} E \cong \boldsymbol{G}'_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}'_0} E$, so we will denote an element $(g', p(e)) \otimes e$ of $\langle \psi \rangle \otimes E$ simply by $g' \otimes e$.

Observe that the map $\psi_E : E \to \langle \psi \rangle \otimes E$, given by

$$\psi_E(e) = 1_{\psi_0(p(e))} \otimes e \ ,$$

is ψ-$id_{\boldsymbol{H}}$-equivariant.

Remark. Let $\boldsymbol{G}$, $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{K}$ be étale C^r-groupoids, let E be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle, and let E' be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{H}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle. The quotient map

$$q : E \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} E' \longrightarrow E \otimes E'$$

is a local homeomorphism, and since this is a quotient for an action of class C^r, there is a natural structure of a C^r-manifold on $E \otimes E'$ such that the map q is a C^r-diffeomorphism. With this, $E \otimes E'$ is clearly a $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle of class C^r. The same argument as in the proof of Proposition II.1.3 now implies that $E \otimes E'$ is in fact a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle. Therefore a composition of Hilsum-Skandalis C^r-maps is a Hilsum-Skandalis C^r-map.

Thus we can define the category $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}^r_e$ of étale C^r-groupoids and Hilsum-Skandalis C^r-maps between them, with the composition given by the tensor product.

If $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ is a C^r-functor, then $\langle \phi \rangle$ is clearly a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. Thus we have a functor

$$\langle \text{-} \rangle : \mathbf{Gpd}^r_e \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}^r_e \ .$$

The same arguments as in the proof of Proposition II.1.6 and Corollary II.1.7 now show that $\langle \phi \rangle$ is an isomorphism in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}^r_e$ if and only if ϕ is a C^r-essential equivalence, and that $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ are C^r-Morita equivalent if and only if they are isomorphic in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}^r_e$. The category of C^r-manifolds and C^r-maps between them is a full subcategory of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}^r_e$.

Example II.1.8 (1) Let X be a topological space and $\boldsymbol{G}$ an étale groupoid. Proposition I.3.1 gives a natural bijective correspondence

$$H^1(X, \boldsymbol{G}) \cong \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}(X, \boldsymbol{G}) \ .$$

If $f : X' \to X$ is a continuous function, one gets a map

$$f^* : H^1(X, \boldsymbol{G}) \longrightarrow H^1(X', \boldsymbol{G})$$

by composition with f in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}$. If $\mathfrak{c} \in H^1(X, \boldsymbol{G})$ is represented by $(c_{ij}) \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{G})$ for some open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X, then the $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle

$$(c_{ij} \circ f|_{f^{-1}(U_i) \cap f^{-1}(U_j)})$$

represents $f^*(\mathfrak{c})$. Further, if $\psi : \boldsymbol{G} \to \boldsymbol{G}'$ is a continuous functor, there is a map

$$\psi_* : H^1(X, \boldsymbol{G}) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \boldsymbol{G}')$$

given by the composition with $\langle \psi \rangle$ is $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}$. The Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}'$-structure $\psi_*(\mathfrak{c})$ is now represented by $(\psi \circ c_{ij})$.

(2) Let M be a C^1-manifold of dimension n and $\mathcal{F}$ a foliation on M of codimension q. Let $(\Sigma(\mathcal{F}), p, w)$ be the C^1-principal $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-bundle over M associated to $\mathcal{F}$ (Example I.3.3 (1)). This bundle gives a Hilsum-Skandalis C^1-map

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{F}) \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}^1_e(M, \Gamma^q_{C^1}) \ .$$

Now let M' be another C^1-manifold of dimension n' and $f : M' \to M$ a C^1-map. The C^1-principal $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-bundle

$$(f^*\Sigma(\mathcal{F}), f^*p, f^*w)$$

over M' does not represent a classical foliation on N, since the map f^*p may not be a submersion. We say that $f^*\Sigma(\mathcal{F})$ represents a *foliation with modular singularities* if f^*p has locally path-connected fibers. It is easy to see that this is the case if and only if for any foliation chart $\varphi : U \to \mathbf{R}^n$ for $\mathcal{F}$, the inverse images along f of the plaques of $\mathcal{F}$ in U are locally path-connected. The Haefliger-Reeb-Ehresmann stability theorem for Haefliger structures gives a generalization of the Reeb stability theorem to the foliations with modular singularities (see Chapter III).

(3) Let M be a C^1-manifold of dimension n, $\mathcal{F}$ a foliation on M of codimension q, and let

$$\nu : M \times G \longrightarrow M$$

be a C^1-action of a discrete group G on M which preserves $\mathcal{F}$. More precisely, for any $g \in G$, the C^1-diffeomorphism $\hat{g} = \nu(\text{-}\,, g) : M \to M$ maps leaves into leaves. We will refer to such a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ as G-equivariant, or simply equivariant.

Let $(\varphi_i : U_i \to \mathbf{R}^{n-q} \times \mathbf{R}^q)_{i \in I}$ be the maximal atlas for $\mathcal{F}$. If $i \in I$ and $g \in G$, then

$$\varphi_i \circ \hat{g}^{-1}|_{U_i \cdot g} : U_i \cdot g \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-q} \times \mathbf{R}^q$$

is also a chart for $\mathcal{F}$ and hence equal to the chart $\varphi_{i \cdot g}$ for a uniquely determined $i \cdot g \in I$.

Now take $s_i = pr_2 \circ \varphi_i$, and let $c = (c_{ij})$ be the corresponding $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-cocycle on (U_i), as described in Section I.1. Let $(\Sigma(c), p, w)$ be the C^1-principal $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-bundle over M associated to this cocycle. Recall that an element of $\Sigma(c)$ is of the form $[\gamma, x, i]$, where $\gamma \in \Gamma^q_{C^1}$, $i \in I$ and $x \in U_i$ with $dom\,\gamma = s_i(x)$.

The group G acts on $\Sigma(c)$ by

$$[\gamma, x, i] \cdot g = [\gamma, x \cdot g, i \cdot g] \ .$$

This action clearly induces an action of the étale groupoid $G(M)$ on $\Sigma(c)$, and $\Sigma(c)$ becomes a C^1-principal $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-$G(M)$-bibundle. Thus we obtain a Hilsum-Skandalis C^1-map

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{F}, \nu) \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}^1_e(G(M), \Gamma^q_{C^1}) \ .$$

(4) Let M be a C^1-manifold of dimension n, and let r, s, t be natural numbers such that $r + s + t = n$. A *nested foliation* on M of type (r, s, t) is a

(maximal) atlas $(\varphi_i : U_i \to \mathbf{R}^n)_{i\in I}$ on M such that the change of coordinates diffeomorphisms $\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_i \circ \varphi_j^{-1}|_{\varphi_j(U_i\cap U_j)}$ are locally of the form

$$\varphi_{ij}(x,y,z) = (\varphi_{ij}^{(1)}(x,y,z), \varphi_{ij}^{(2)}(y,z), \varphi_{ij}^{(3)}(z))$$

with respect to the decomposition $\mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R}^r \times \mathbf{R}^s \times \mathbf{R}^t$. In particular, a nested foliation determines two foliations $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ on M, the first of codimension t and the second of codimension $s+t$. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}_2$ restricts on each leaf of $\mathcal{F}_1$ to a foliation of codimension s.

We can assume without loss of generality that each φ_i is surjective. Put $N_1 = \coprod_{i\in I} \mathbf{R}^t$ and $N_2 = \coprod_{i\in I} \mathbf{R}^{s+t}$, and define $T_1 : N_1 \to M$ and $T_2 : N_2 \to M$ by $T_1(z,i) = \varphi_i^{-1}(0,0,z)$ and $T_2((y,z),i) = \varphi_i^{-1}(0,y,z)$. Clearly T_1 is a complete transversal for $\mathcal{F}_1$, and T_2 is a complete transversal for $\mathcal{F}_2$.

We have the canonical projection $\psi_0 : N_2 \to N_1$. It is easy to check that with ψ_0 one can project each germ of a diffeomorphism in $\mathrm{Hol}_{T_2}(M,\mathcal{F}_2)$ to a germ of a diffeomorphism in $\mathrm{Hol}_{T_1}(M,\mathcal{F}_1)$, obtaining a C^1-functor

$$\psi : \mathrm{Hol}_{T_2}(M,\mathcal{F}_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hol}_{T_1}(M,\mathcal{F}_1)\ ,$$

which is a submersion. Hence we get a Hilsum-Skandalis C^1-map

$$\langle\psi\rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}_e^1(\mathrm{Hol}_{T_2}(M,\mathcal{F}_2), \mathrm{Hol}_{T_1}(M,\mathcal{F}_1))\ .$$

Moreover, if E_1 is the C^1-principal $\mathrm{Hol}_{T_1}(M,\mathcal{F}_1)$-bundle over M associated to $\mathcal{F}_1$ and E_2 the C^1-principal $\mathrm{Hol}_{T_2}(M,\mathcal{F}_2)$-bundle over M associated to $\mathcal{F}_2$, (Example I.3.3 (2)), then

$$\langle\psi\rangle \otimes E_2 = E_1\ .$$

(5) The category **Grp** of (discrete) groups is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Gpd}_e$. If G and H are groups and $f, f' : H \to G$ homomorphisms, then $\langle f\rangle = \langle f'\rangle$ if and only if f and f' differ by the conjugation by an element of G.

II.2 Leaves and Holonomy of Transitive Bibundles

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ be topological groupoids and let (E,p,w) be a transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. Let $a \in \boldsymbol{G}_0$. The *fiber* of E over a is the space $E_a = p^{-1}(a) \subset E$, i.e. the fiber of the map p over a. The fiber E_a is clearly $\boldsymbol{H}$-invariant and also $\boldsymbol{G}(a,a)$-invariant. So the right action of $\boldsymbol{H}$ restricts to E_a, and we have the quotient projection

$$q_{E_a} : E_a \longrightarrow E_a/\boldsymbol{H}$$

on the orbit space $E_a/\boldsymbol{H}$.

Let $\tilde{L}$ be an $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected component of E_a, i.e. the inverse image $q_{E_a}^{-1}(Z)$ of a connected component Z of $E_a/\boldsymbol{H}$. Again, $\tilde{L}$ is $\boldsymbol{H}$-invariant, and also

$w(\tilde{L})$ is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-invariant subspace of $\boldsymbol{H}_0$. Let $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ be the subgroup of those elements of $\boldsymbol{G}(a,a)$ which leave $\tilde{L}$ invariant, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}) = \{\, g \,|\, g \cdot \tilde{L} \subset \tilde{L} \,\} \subset \boldsymbol{G}(a,a) \ .$$

The group $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ is called the *holonomy group* of the $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected component $\tilde{L}$ of the fiber of E over a. Since the action of $\boldsymbol{G}(a,a)$ on E_a induces an action on $E_a/\boldsymbol{H}$, it follows that an element $g \in \boldsymbol{G}(a,a)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ if and only if $g \cdot e \in \tilde{L}$ for some element $e \in \tilde{L}$. Since E is transitive, this implies that $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ acts on $\tilde{L}$ transitively along the fibers of

$$w|_{\tilde{L}} : \tilde{L} \longrightarrow w(\tilde{L}) \subset \boldsymbol{H}_0 \ .$$

Therefore $w|_{\tilde{L}}$ factors through the quotient projection $\tilde{L} \to \tilde{L}/\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ as a continuous bijection

$$i(\tilde{L}) : \tilde{L}/\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}) \longrightarrow w(\tilde{L}) \subset \boldsymbol{H}_0 \ .$$

In particular, $i(\tilde{L})$ induces a new topology on $w(\tilde{L})$, called the *leaf topology*, which is finer that the one inherited from the space $\boldsymbol{H}_0$.

The action of $\boldsymbol{H}$ on $\tilde{L}$ induces an action on $\tilde{L}/\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ and $i(\tilde{L})$ is equivariant under this action. The set $w(\tilde{L})$ with the leaf topology and with the inherited action of $\boldsymbol{H}$ from $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ is thus an $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected right $\boldsymbol{H}$-space, isomorphic to $\tilde{L}/\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$.

Definition II.2.1 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ be topological groupoids and let (E,p,w) be a transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. A leaf L of E associated to an $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected component $\tilde{L}$ of a fiber of E is the right $\boldsymbol{H}$-space $w(\tilde{L})$ with the corresponding leaf topology and with the inherited action of $\boldsymbol{H}$ from $\boldsymbol{H}_0$. A leaf L is embedded if the leaf topology of L coincide with the topology inherited from the space $\boldsymbol{H}_0$.*

Lemma II.2.2 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ be topological groupoids and let (E,p,w) be a transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. Let $\tilde{L}$ be an $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected component of a fiber E_a and $\tilde{L}'$ an $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected component of a fiber $E_{a'}$, such that $w(\tilde{L}) \cap w(\tilde{L}') \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists an element $g \in \boldsymbol{G}(a,a')$ such that $g \cdot \tilde{L} = \tilde{L}'$ and $g \circ \mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}) \circ g^{-1} = \mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}')$. In particular, $w(\tilde{L}) = w(\tilde{L}')$ and the leaf topologies of $w(\tilde{L})$ and $w(\tilde{L}')$ coincide.*

Proof. Let $b \in w(\tilde{L}) \cap w(\tilde{L}')$, and choose $e \in \tilde{L}$ and $e' \in \tilde{L}'$ such that $w(e) = w(e') = b$. Since E is transitive, there exists an element $g \in \boldsymbol{G}(a,a')$ with $g \cdot e = e'$. Since the action of g maps E_a into $E_{a'}$ and the $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected components of E_a into the $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected components of $E_{a'}$, it follows that $g \cdot \tilde{L} \subset \tilde{L}'$. Symmetrically, $\tilde{L} \supset g^{-1} \cdot \tilde{L}'$, and hence $g \cdot \tilde{L} = \tilde{L}'$.

Let $g_1 \in \mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$, i.e. $g_1 \cdot \tilde{L} \subset \tilde{L}$. It follows that

$$(g \circ g_1 \circ g^{-1}) \cdot \tilde{L}' = (g \circ g_1 \circ g^{-1}) \cdot (g \cdot \tilde{L}) = (g \circ g_1) \cdot \tilde{L} \subset g \cdot \tilde{L} = \tilde{L}' \ ,$$

so $g \circ \mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}) \circ g^{-1} \subset \mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}')$. Again by symmetry we get $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}) \supset g^{-1} \circ \mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}') \circ g$, and hence $g \circ \mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}) \circ g^{-1} = \mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}')$. Thus the $\boldsymbol{H}$-equivariant homeomorphism $(g \cdot \text{-}) : \tilde{L} \to \tilde{L}'$ induces an $\boldsymbol{H}$-equivariant homeomorphism

$$\alpha : \tilde{L}/\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}) \longrightarrow \tilde{L}'/\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}')$$

satisfying $i(\tilde{L}) = i(\tilde{L}') \circ \alpha$.

■

Remark. Lemma II.2.2 and surjectivity of w imply that for a given $b \in \boldsymbol{H}_0$ there exists a unique leaf L of E such that $b \in L$, with a unique leaf topology. The leaves of E thus determine a partition of $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ into $\boldsymbol{H}$-invariant subsets. A leaf L of E may be associated to different $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected components of different fibers, but the holonomy groups of these $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected components are isomorphic. This partition clearly depends only on the isomorphism class of a transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle.

In this way, a transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle can be seen as a generalized kind of foliation on the topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{H}$.

Definition II.2.3 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ be topological groupoids, and let (E,p,w) be a transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. The holonomy group $\mathcal{H}(L)$ of a leaf L of E is (up to a conjugation) the holonomy group $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ of an $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected component $\tilde{L}$ of a fiber of E such that L is associated to $\tilde{L}$.*

Example II.2.4 (1) Let $p : X \to Y$ be a continuous map between topological spaces. Viewing p as a principal Y-X-bibundle, the leaves of p are the connected components of the fibers of p, and they are all embedded, with trivial holonomy groups.

(2) Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid and X a topological space. Let $\mathfrak{c}$ be a Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure on X, and let (E,p,w) be the corresponding (isomorphism class of a) principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over X. Note that, according to our definition, the fibers of E (which is a $\boldsymbol{G}$-X-bibundle) are the fibers of p and not the fibers of w. Now the definition of leaves and holonomy of E above exactly matches the definition of leaves and holonomy of the $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure $\mathfrak{c}$ in [16]. In particular, in the special case of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a finite-dimensional C^1-manifold M (Example I.3.3) this definition gives the classical leaves and holonomy of $\mathcal{F}$.

Proposition II.2.5 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ be topological groupoids and (E,p,w) a transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. If L is a leaf of E, then $L/\boldsymbol{H}$ is a leaf of the associated $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle $E/\boldsymbol{H}$ over $|\boldsymbol{H}|$, and $\mathcal{H}(L) \cong \mathcal{H}(L/\boldsymbol{H})$.*

Proof. Directly by definition, $q_E : E \to E/\boldsymbol{H}$ maps the fibers of E into the fibers of $E/\boldsymbol{H}$ and the $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected components of the fibers of E into the connected components of the fibers of $E/\boldsymbol{H}$. Since q_E is also $\boldsymbol{G}$-equivariant, the holonomy groups are preserved as well.

■

Proposition II.2.6 *Let* $\psi : \boldsymbol{G} \to \boldsymbol{G}'$ *and* $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{H}'$ *be continuous functors between topological groupoids. Let* (E, p, w) *be a transitive* $\boldsymbol{G}$*-*$\boldsymbol{H}$*-bibundle,* (E', p', w') *a transitive* $\boldsymbol{G}'$*-*$\boldsymbol{H}'$*-bibundle, and* $\alpha : E \to E'$ *a continuous* ψ*-*ϕ*-equivariant map. If* $\tilde{L}$ *is an* $\boldsymbol{H}$*-connected component of a fiber of* E*, then* $\alpha(\tilde{L})$ *lies in an* $\boldsymbol{H}'$*-connected component* $\tilde{L}'$ *of a fiber of* E'*, and*

$$\psi(\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})) \subset \mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}') \ .$$

In particular, if L *is a leaf of* E*, then* $\phi_0(L)$ *lies in a leaf* L' *of* E' *and* $\phi_0|_L : L \to L'$ *is continuous with respect to the leaf topologies.*

Proof. Let $a \in \boldsymbol{G}_0$. Since $\psi_0 \circ p = p' \circ \alpha$, we have $\alpha(E_a) \subset E'_{\psi_0(a)}$. Since α is equivariant, it induces a map $E_a/\boldsymbol{H} \to E'_{\psi_0(a)}/\boldsymbol{H}'$ and hence maps an $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected component $\tilde{L}$ of E_a into an $\boldsymbol{H}'$-connected component $\tilde{L}'$ of $E'_{\psi_0(a)}$. Now if $g \in \mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$, we have $g \cdot \tilde{L} \subset \tilde{L}$ and thus

$$\psi(g) \cdot \alpha(\tilde{L}) = \alpha(g \cdot \tilde{L}) \subset \alpha(\tilde{L}) \ .$$

This implies that $\psi(g) \cdot \tilde{L}' \subset \tilde{L}'$, and therefore $\psi(\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})) \subset \mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}')$. Thus α induces a continuous equivariant map

$$\bar{\alpha} : \tilde{L}/\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}) \longrightarrow \tilde{L}'/\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}') \ ,$$

which clearly satisfies $i(\tilde{L}') \circ \bar{\alpha} = \phi_0 \circ i(\tilde{L})$.

■

II.3 Holonomy of Principal Bibundles

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid and $\boldsymbol{H}$ a topological groupoid, and assume that E is a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle with locally path-connected fibers E_a, $a \in \boldsymbol{G}_0$. In this section we demonstrate that in this case the holonomy group of a leaf L of E is the image of a homomorphism from the fundamental group of the groupoid $\boldsymbol{H}(L)$. The analogy with foliations on manifolds is hence complete.

Proposition II.3.1 *Let* $\boldsymbol{G}$ *be an étale groupoid,* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *a topological groupoid and* (E, p, w) *a principal* $\boldsymbol{G}$*-*$\boldsymbol{H}$*-bibundle. If* $\tilde{L}$ *is an* $\boldsymbol{H}$*-connected component of a fiber of* E*, then the holonomy group* $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ *is discrete, and acts properly discontinuously on* $\tilde{L}$*.*

Proof. Let $a \in \boldsymbol{G}_0$ such that $\tilde{L} \subset E_a$. Since $\boldsymbol{G}$ is étale, $\boldsymbol{G}(a, a)$ is discrete and hence so is $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$. Take any $e \in \tilde{L}$. Since E is principal, the map w is a local homeomorphism. Therefore we can find an open neighbourhood V of e in E such that $w|_V$ is injective. Put $U = V \cap \tilde{L}$. Let $g \in \mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ and $e' \in U$ such that $g \cdot e' \in U$. Now $w(g \cdot e') = w(e')$, and by injectivity of w on $V \supset U$ it follows that $g \cdot e' = e'$. But the action of $\boldsymbol{G}$ is free, therefore $g = 1_a$. Thus $g \cdot U \cap U = \emptyset$ for any $g \in \mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}) \setminus \{1_a\}$.

■

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid, $\boldsymbol{H}$ a topological groupoid and (E,p,w) a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle with locally path-connected fibers. Let $e \in E$. Now e lies in a unique $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected component $\tilde{L}$ of the fiber $E_{p(e)}$ of E. Let L be the associated leaf of $\tilde{L}$, i.e. the unique leaf of E with $w(e) \in L$.

Since $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ acts properly discontinuously on $\tilde{L}$, the $\boldsymbol{H}$-equivariant map

$$\zeta : \tilde{L} \longrightarrow \tilde{L}/\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}) \cong L$$

is a covering projection. In particular, L is locally path-connected. By the unique $\boldsymbol{H}$-path lifting property for ζ we obtain a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}_e : \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(L), w(e)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\tilde{L}) \subset \boldsymbol{G}(p(e), p(e)) ,$$

called the *holonomy homomorphism* of the leaf L with respect to the base point e. If $\ell = \sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0$ is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop in L with the base-point $w(e)$ and

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \tilde{\sigma}_0$$

is the unique lift of ℓ in $\tilde{L}$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}_0(0) = e$, then $\mathcal{H}_e([\ell])$ is the unique element of $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_e([\ell])^{-1} \cdot e = \tilde{\sigma}_n(1) .$$

Here $[\ell]$ denotes the homotopy class of ℓ.

If e' is another point in E with $w(e') = w(e)$, the homomorphisms $\mathcal{H}_e$ and $\mathcal{H}_{e'}$ differ by the conjugation by the uniquely determined $g \in \boldsymbol{G}_1$ such that $g \cdot e = e'$. If e'' is a point in E such that $w(e'') \in L$, the homomorphisms $\mathcal{H}_e$ and $\mathcal{H}_{e''}$ are again isomorphic, but now the isomorphism depends on the choice of an $\boldsymbol{H}$-path in L from $w(e)$ to $w(e'')$. In other words, each leaf L of E determines (up to an isomorphism) the *holonomy homomorphism* $\mathcal{H}_L$ of L,

$$\mathcal{H}_L : \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(L)) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{G} .$$

Theorem II.3.2 *Let $\psi : \boldsymbol{G} \to \boldsymbol{G}'$ be a continuous functor between étale groupoids, and let $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{H}'$ be a continuous functor between topological groupoids. Let (E,p,w) be a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle, (E',p',w') a principal $\boldsymbol{G}'$-$\boldsymbol{H}'$-bibundle and $\alpha : E \to E'$ a continuous ψ-ϕ-equivariant map. Assume that both (E,p,w) and (E',p',w') have locally path-connected fibers. Let $e \in E$, let L be the leaf of (E,p,w) with $w(e) \in L$ and let L' the leaf of (E',p',w') with $w'(\alpha(e)) \in L'$. Then ϕ restricts to a continuous functor $\phi|_L : \boldsymbol{H}(L) \to \boldsymbol{H}'(L')$ and*

$$\psi \circ \mathcal{H}_e = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha(e)} \circ (\phi|_L)_* .$$

Hence $\psi \circ \mathcal{H}_L \cong \mathcal{H}_{L'} \circ (\phi|_L)_*$.

Proof. Put $e' = \alpha(e)$. Let $\tilde{L}$ be the $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected component of $E_{p(e)}$ with $e \in \tilde{L}$ and $\tilde{L}'$ the $\boldsymbol{H}'$-connected component of $E'_{p'(e')}$ with $e' \in \tilde{L}'$. Proposition

II.2.6 implies that $\alpha(\tilde{L}) \subset \tilde{L}'$ and $\phi_0(L) \subset L'$. If $\ell = \sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0$ is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop in L with the base point $w(e)$, there is the unique lift

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \tilde{\sigma}_0$$

of ℓ in $\tilde{L}$ with $\tilde{\sigma}_0(0) = e$. Now

$$(\alpha \circ \tilde{\sigma}_n) \cdot \phi(h_n) \cdot \ldots \cdot \phi(h_1) \cdot (\alpha \circ \tilde{\sigma}_0)$$

is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-path in $\tilde{L}'$ with the initial point e', and is exactly the lift of the $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop

$$(\phi|_L)_{\#}(\ell) = (\phi_0 \circ \sigma_n) \cdot \phi(h_n) \cdot \ldots \cdot \phi(h_1) \cdot (\phi_0 \circ \sigma_0)$$

in L' with the base point $w'(e')$. Therefore

$$\psi(\mathcal{H}_e[\ell])^{-1} \cdot e' = \alpha(\mathcal{H}_e[\ell]^{-1} \cdot e) = \alpha(\tilde{\sigma}_n(1)) = \mathcal{H}_{e'}((\phi|_L)_*[\ell])^{-1} \cdot e' \,.$$

■

Theorem II.3.3 *Let $\psi : \boldsymbol{G} \to \boldsymbol{G}'$ be a continuous functor between étale groupoids such that ψ_0 is a local homeomorphism. Let $\boldsymbol{H}$ be a topological groupoid and let (E, p, w) be a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle with locally path-connected fibers. Then the tensor product $(\langle\psi\rangle \otimes E, \langle\psi\rangle \otimes p, \langle\psi\rangle \otimes w)$ is a principal $\boldsymbol{G}'$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle with locally path-connected fibers, and the leaves of E are precisely the leaves of $\langle\psi\rangle \otimes E$, with the same leaf topology.*

Proof. Recall that $\langle\psi\rangle \otimes E$ is the orbit space of $\langle\psi\rangle \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} E \cong \boldsymbol{G}'_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}'_0} E$ with a right action of $\boldsymbol{G}$. Observe that the quotient projection $\boldsymbol{G}'_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}'_0} E \to \langle\psi\rangle \otimes E$ is a local homeomorphism. Since w and $\langle\psi\rangle \otimes w$ are local homeomorphisms and $w = (\langle\psi\rangle \otimes w) \circ \psi_E$, the map ψ_E is also a local homeomorphism.

Let $a' \in \boldsymbol{G}'_0$. The fiber $(\langle\psi\rangle \otimes E)_{a'}$ is the orbit space of the $\boldsymbol{G}$-invariant subspace

$$cod^{-1}(a') \times_{\boldsymbol{G}'_0} E \subset \boldsymbol{G}'_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}'_0} E \,.$$

The space $\boldsymbol{G}'^{a'}_1 = cod^{-1}(a')$ is discrete, and for any fixed $g' \in \boldsymbol{G}'^{a'}_1$ we have $\{g'\} \times_{\boldsymbol{G}'_0} E \cong \bigcup_{a \in \psi_0^{-1}(dom\, g')} E_a \subset E$. Since $\psi_0^{-1}(dom\, g')$ is also discrete, E_a is open in $\bigcup_{a \in \psi_0^{-1}(dom\, g')} E_a$, for any $a \in \psi_0^{-1}(dom\, g')$. Since each fiber of E is locally path-connected, this yields that $\boldsymbol{G}'^{a'}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}'_0} E$ is locally path-connected, and hence also $(\langle\psi\rangle \otimes E)_{a'}$ is locally path-connected. Moreover, for any $a \in \psi_0^{-1}(a')$, the restriction

$$\psi_E|_{E_a} : E_a \longrightarrow (\langle\psi\rangle \otimes E)_{a'}$$

is a local homeomorphism. Let $a \in \psi_0^{-1}(a')$, let $\tilde{L}$ be an $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected component of E_a, and let $\tilde{L}'$ be the $\boldsymbol{H}$-connected component of $(\langle\psi\rangle \otimes E)_{a'}$ with $\psi_E(\tilde{L}) \subset \tilde{L}'$. Since $\tilde{L}$ is open in E_a and $\tilde{L}'$ is open in $(\langle\psi\rangle \otimes E)_{a'}$, the restriction

$$\psi_E|_{\tilde{L}} : \tilde{L} \longrightarrow \tilde{L}'$$

is also a local homeomorphism.

Take $e \in \tilde{L}$ and assume that $g' \otimes e'$ is a point of $\tilde{L}'$ which lies in the same path-connected component of $\tilde{L}'$ as $1_{\psi_0(p(e))} \otimes e$. Since $(\langle\psi\rangle \otimes E)_{a'}$ is the orbit space of $\boldsymbol{G}'^{a'}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}'_0} E$, Proposition I.4.2 implies that there exists a $\boldsymbol{G}$-path

$$\sigma_n \cdot g_n \cdot \ldots \cdot g_1 \cdot \sigma_0$$

from $(1_{\psi_0(p(e))}, e)$ to (g', e') in $\boldsymbol{G}'^{a'}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}'_0} E$. Since $\boldsymbol{G}'^{a'}_1$ is discrete, it follows that for any $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ we have

$$\sigma_i(t) = (g'_i, \rho_i(t)) \qquad t \in [0,1] \;,$$

for an element $g'_i \in \boldsymbol{G}'^{a'}_1$ and a path ρ_i in E. In particular, $\psi_0(p(\rho_i(t))) = \mathit{dom}\, g'_i$ for any $t \in [0,1]$, and since ψ_0 is a local homeomorphism, $p \circ \rho_i$ is a constant function as well, for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. Further, $g_i^{-1} \cdot \rho_i(0) = \rho_{i-1}(1)$ and

$$g'_i = \psi(g_i \circ \ldots \circ g_1)^{-1}$$

for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Now the paths $((g_i \circ \ldots \circ g_1)^{-1} \cdot \text{-}) \circ \rho_i$ concatenate in a path from e to $(g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1)^{-1} \cdot e'$ in $\tilde{L}$, and

$$\psi_E((g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1)^{-1} \cdot e') = g' \otimes e' \;.$$

This proves that any path-connected component of $\tilde{L}'$ which intersects $\psi_E(\tilde{L})$ lies in $\psi_E(\tilde{L})$. Since ψ_E is $\boldsymbol{H}$-equivariant, this implies that $\psi_E(\tilde{L}) = \tilde{L}'$. Now since $\psi_E|_{\tilde{L}} : \tilde{L} \to \tilde{L}'$ is an open surjection, it induces a homeomorphism between the corresponding leaves.

■

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid, $\boldsymbol{H}$ a topological groupoid and E a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle with locally path-connected fibers. Theorem II.3.3 shows that the decomposition of $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ into the leaves of E is invariant under the left composition of E with $\langle\psi\rangle$ if ψ_0 is a local homeomorphism. In particular, the effect-functor

$$\mathfrak{e} : \boldsymbol{G} \longrightarrow \Gamma(\boldsymbol{G}_0) \;,$$

described in Section I.2, is the identity on objects. Thus $\langle\mathfrak{e}\rangle \otimes E$ has the same leaves as E. However, the holonomy groups may change.

To be more precise, take $e \in E$, and let L be the leaf of E with $w(e) \in L$. We define the *geometric holonomy homomorphism* of L with respect to the base point e to be the composition

$$\mathfrak{e}\mathcal{H}_e = \mathfrak{e} \circ \mathcal{H}_e : \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(L), w(e)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\boldsymbol{G}_0)(p(e), p(e)) \;.$$

As the holonomy homomorphism, the geometric holonomy homomorphism depends up to an isomorphism only on the leaf L. Thus we can define (up to an isomorphism) the *geometric holonomy homomorphism* $\mathfrak{e}\mathcal{H}_L : \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(L)) \to \Gamma(\boldsymbol{G}_0)$ of L. The *geometric holonomy group* $\mathfrak{e}\mathcal{H}(L)$ of L is the image of $\mathfrak{e}\mathcal{H}_L$ in $\Gamma(\boldsymbol{G}_0)$.

Now Theorem II.3.2, applied to the $\mathfrak{e}$-$id_{\boldsymbol{H}}$-equivariant map $\mathfrak{e}_E$ implies that the holonomy group of L regarded as a leaf of $\langle\mathfrak{e}\rangle \otimes E$ is exactly the geometric holonomy group of L.

Example II.3.4 (1) Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid and X a topological space. Let $\mathfrak{c}$ be a Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure on X represented by a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle $c = (c_{ij})$ on an open cover $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ of X, and let $(\Sigma(c), p, w)$ be the corresponding principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over X.

Recall that $\Sigma(c)$ is a quotient of the space $\bar{\Sigma}(c) = \boldsymbol{G}_1 \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \coprod_{i \in I} U_i$. The quotient projection $q : \bar{\Sigma}(c) \to \Sigma(c)$ is a local diffeomorphism. Indeed, observe that if $V \subset \boldsymbol{G}_1$ is such that both $dom\,|_V$ and $cod\,|_V$ are injective, then both $q|_{V \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} U_i}$ and $w|_{q(V \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} U_i)}$ are injective, for any $i \in I$. Therefore, it is clear that the principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle $\Sigma(c)$ has locally path-connected fibres if and only if the maps c_{ii} have locally path-connected fibres.

Assume now that the maps c_{ii} have locally path-connected fibres. We will describe the leaves and holonomy of $\Sigma(c)$ in terms of c. Choose $x \in X$ and let L be the leaf of $\Sigma(c)$ with $x \in L$. Using the fact that the open subsets of the form $q(V \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} U_i)$ as above cover $\Sigma(c)$, it is obvious that a point $x' \in X$ belongs to L if and only if there exists a path $\sigma : [0,1] \to X$ from x to x', with a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_{n-1} < t_n = 1$ such that

$$\sigma[t_{k-1}, t_k] \subset c_{i_k i_k}^{-1}(a_k)$$

for some $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in I$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \boldsymbol{G}_0$. Any path in L is of this form.

Now assume that we have a loop σ in L with the base-point x. Choose $i_0 \in I$ with $x \in U_{i_0}$, and put $e = [1_{c_{i_0 i_0}(x)}, x, i_0] \in \Sigma(c)$. Let $\tilde{L}$ be the connected component of $\Sigma(c)_{p(e)}$ with $e \in \tilde{L}$. We will compute $\mathcal{H}_e([\sigma])$.

First, choose a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_{n-1} < t_n = 1$, $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in I$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \boldsymbol{G}_0$ such that

$$\sigma[t_{k-1}, t_k] \subset c_{i_k i_k}^{-1}(a_k)\ .$$

Take $g_k = c_{i_{k-1}, i_k}(\sigma(t_{k-1}))$ and $\varrho_k = g_1 \circ g_2 \circ \ldots \circ g_k$, for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Define $\sigma_k : [t_{k-1}, t_k] \to \bar{\Sigma}(c)$ by

$$\sigma_k(t) = (\varrho_k, \sigma(t), i_k) \qquad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\ .$$

The paths $q \circ \sigma_k$ amalgamate in a path $\tilde{\sigma} : [0,1] \to \tilde{L}$. Clearly $w \circ \tilde{\sigma} = \sigma$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(0) = e$. Since

$$\tilde{\sigma}(1) = [\varrho_n, x, i_n] = [\varrho_n \circ c_{i_n, i_0}(x), x, i_0]\ ,$$

this yields that $\mathcal{H}_e([\sigma]) = c_{i_0,i_n}(x) \circ \varrho_n^{-1}$, that is

$$\mathcal{H}_e([\sigma]) = c_{i_0,i_n}(\sigma(t_n)) \circ c_{i_n,i_{n-1}}(\sigma(t_{n-1})) \circ \ldots \circ c_{i_1,i_0}(\sigma(t_0)) \; .$$

(2) Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid, $\boldsymbol{H}$ a topological groupoid and $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ a continuous functor. A fiber of $\langle\phi\rangle$ over $a \in \boldsymbol{G}_0$ is $dom^{-1}(a) \times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} \boldsymbol{H}_0 \subset \langle\phi\rangle$. Since $dom^{-1}(a)$ is discrete, the fibers of $\langle\phi\rangle$ are locally path-connected if and only if the fibers of ϕ_0 are locally path-connected.

Assume that the fibers of ϕ_0 are locally path-connected. Then a leaf of $\langle\phi\rangle$ is a minimal union of connected components of the fibers of ϕ_0 which is $\boldsymbol{H}$-invariant. Each connected component of a fiber of ϕ_0 is open in the corresponding leaf with respect to the leaf topology, and embedded in $\boldsymbol{H}_0$.

The holonomy of an $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop $\ell = \sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0$ in a leaf L of $\langle\phi\rangle$ with the base-point $x \in L$ is clearly

$$\mathcal{H}_e([\ell]) = \phi(h_n) \circ \phi(h_{n-1}) \circ \ldots \circ \phi(h_1) \; ,$$

where $e = (1_{\phi_0(x)}, x)$.

(3) Let G be a discrete group acting continuously on a topological space Y. Let $\boldsymbol{H}$ be a topological groupoid with $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ locally path-connected, and assume that $|\boldsymbol{H}|$ is connected. Let (E, p, w) be a principal $G(Y)$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. Observe that there is a canonical continuous functor between étale groupoids

$$\mathfrak{p} : G(Y) \longrightarrow G \; .$$

Therefore $\langle\mathfrak{p}\rangle \otimes E$ is a principal G-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. Note however that as a space, $\langle\mathfrak{p}\rangle \otimes E$ is homeomorphic to E and this homeomorphism is $\mathfrak{p}$-$id_{\boldsymbol{H}}$-equivariant. The underlying G-bundle over $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ of the bibundle $\langle\mathfrak{p}\rangle \otimes E$ is principal, therefore w is a covering projection.

Now since G_0 is a one-point space and $|\boldsymbol{H}|$ is connected, it is clear that E has only one leaf, i.e. $\boldsymbol{H}_0$. We have the holonomy homomorphism of this leaf

$$\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} : \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}) \longrightarrow G \; .$$

As we know, with a base-point in $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ fixed, this homomorphism is determined up to a conjugation in G. This is closely related to the notion of development. In fact, if $\boldsymbol{H}$ is just a space X and if $\tilde{X}$ is an universal covering space of X, the development map can be defined as $p \circ \zeta : \tilde{X} \to Y$, where $\zeta : \tilde{X} \to E$ is a covering projection.

Chapter III

Stability

A Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure on a topological space X can be seen as a generalized foliation on X. Moreover, the Haefliger-Reeb-Ehresmann stability theorem [16] generalizes the Reeb stability theorem for foliations on manifolds (Theorem I.1.1). In Chapter II we showed that in fact any transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle – and hence any Hilsum-Skandalis map between topological groupoids $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ – can be seen as a generalized foliation on $\boldsymbol{H}$.

In this Chapter we prove a stability theorem for a transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle, which in particular generalizes the Haefliger-Reeb-Ehresmann stability theorem. Furthermore, we show that the Reeb-Thurston stability theorem (Theorem I.1.2) can be extended to transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundles in the case where $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an étale C^1-groupoid. In particular, we give a version of the Reeb-Thurston stability theorem for Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structures. In Chapter IV we shall apply these results to the C^1-principal $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-$G(M)$-bibundle associated to a G-equivariant foliation on a C^1-manifold M (Example II.1.8 (3)).

III.1 Reeb Stability for a Transitive Bibundle

To prove the Reeb stability theorem for a transitive bibundle, we first deal with a special case of a transitive Y-X-bibundle, i.e. a continuous map $p : X \to Y$, where X and Y are topological spaces. Recall that a leaf of a continuous map $p : X \to Y$ is a connected component of a fiber of p with the inherited topology from X, and the holonomy group of a leaf of p is trivial.

Lemma III.1.1 *Let $p : X \to Y$ be a continuous map between topological spaces, K a compact subset of X and U an open subset of X with $U \subset K$. If L is a closed Hausdorff leaf of p such that $L \cap K = L \cap U \neq \emptyset$, then $L \subset U$.*

Proof. Since L is closed, $L \cap K$ is closed in the compact K and hence compact. Since L is Hausdorff, $L \cap K$ is closed in L. But $L \cap K = L \cap U$ is also open in L and non-empty, and therefore $L \cap U = L$ by connectedness of L.

■

Lemma III.1.2 *Let X be a locally compact space and K a closed compact subset of X. Then X/K is locally compact and the restriction of the quotient projection $q : X \to X/K$ to the open subset $X \setminus K$ of X is an open embedding.*

Proof. If U is an open neighbourhood of $\{K\}$ in X/K, then $q^{-1}(U)$ is an open neighbourhood of K in X. Since X is locally compact and K is compact, there exists a compact neighbourhood $W \subset q^{-1}(U)$ of K. Now $K \subset \mathrm{Int}(W)$, so $\mathrm{Int}(W)$ is saturated and open. Therefore $q(\mathrm{Int}(W))$ is open in X/K, $q(W)$ is a compact neighbourhood of $\{K\}$ in X/K and $q(W) \subset U$. The rest of the lemma is a trivial consequence of the fact that K is closed.

■

Theorem III.1.3 (Reeb stability for a continuous function) *Let X be a locally compact space, Y a locally Hausdorff space and $p : X \to Y$ a continuous map with Hausdorff leaves. Let L be a compact leaf of p which is open in the fiber $p^{-1}(p(L))$. Then for any open neighbourhood V of L there exists an open neighbourhood $U \subset V$ of L which is a union of compact leaves of p.*

Proof. Clearly we can assume without loss of generality that Y is Hausdorff. Since the points of Y are closed, the fibers of p are closed and therefore the leaves of p are also closed.

(a) Assume first that $L = \{x\}$ for some point $x \in X$, and denote $y = p(x)$. Since L is open in $p^{-1}(y)$, there exists an open neighbourhood W of x in X such that $W \cap p^{-1}(y) = \{x\}$. Since X is locally compact there is a compact neighbourhood K of x with $K \subset V \cap W$. Put $R = K \setminus \mathrm{Int}(K)$. Now $\mathrm{Int}(K)$ is open in X and hence also in K, so R is closed in the compact K and therefore compact. Hence $p(R)$ is compact in Y, and since Y is assumed to be Hausdorff, this yields that $p(R)$ is closed in Y. Since $W \cap p^{-1}(y) = \{x\}$ and $x \in \mathrm{Int}(K)$, we have $R \cap p^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$ and hence $y \notin p(R)$. Take

$$U = \mathrm{Int}(K) \cap p^{-1}(Y \setminus p(R)) \ .$$

The set U is clearly an open neighbourhood of x and $U \subset K \subset V$. Let L' be a leaf of p such that $L' \cap U \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $p(L') \cap p(R) = \emptyset$ and hence

$L' \cap R = \emptyset$. This yields that $L' \cap K = L' \cap U \neq \emptyset$, so Lemma III.1.1 implies that $L' \subset U$. Moreover, L' is a closed subset of the compact K and therefore compact. It follows that U is a union of compact leaves of p.

(b) For the general case, denote by $q : X \to X/L$ the quotient projection. Now $p = p' \circ q$ for a unique continuous function $p' : X/L \to Y$. By Lemma III.1.2, X/L is locally compact and $q|_{X \setminus L}$ is an open embedding. Now $\{L\}$ is a leaf of p'. For any leaf L' of p', $q^{-1}(L')$ is a leaf of p, and if $L' \neq \{L\}$ then $q^{-1}(L')$ is homeomorphic to L'. The set V is saturated and hence $q(V)$ is an open neighbourhood of $\{L\}$ in X/L. Clearly all leaves of p' are Hausdorff and $\{L\}$ is open in $p'^{-1}(p(L))$, so we can use the part (a) to find an open neighbourhood $U' \subset q(V)$ of $\{L\}$ which is a union of compact leaves of p'. Then we take

$$U = q^{-1}(U')$$

and the proof is complete.

■

Corollary III.1.4 *Let X be a locally compact space, Y a locally Hausdorff space and $p : X \to Y$ a continuous map with locally connected Hausdorff fibers. Let L be a compact leaf of p. Then for any open neighbourhood V of L there exists an open neighbourhood $U \subset V$ of L which is a union of compact leaves of p.*

Proof. Since the fibers of p are locally connected, the leaves are open in the corresponding Hausdorff fibers, and hence also Hausdorff.

■

Theorem III.1.5 (Reeb stability for a transitive bundle) *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be a topological groupoid and (E, p, w) a transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over a topological space X. Assume that E is locally compact, $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ locally Hausdorff and (E, p, w) with locally connected Hausdorff fibers. Let L be a compact leaf of (E, p, w) with compact holonomy group. Then for any open neighbourhood V of L in X there exists an open neighbourhood $U \subset V$ of L in X which is a union of compact leaves of (E, p, w).*

Proof. Choose $a \in \boldsymbol{G}_0$ and a connected component $\tilde{L}$ of the fiber $E_a = p^{-1}(a)$ such that L is associated to $\tilde{L}$. Since $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ is compact and $L \cong \tilde{L}/\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ is compact, $\tilde{L}$ is also compact. Applying Corollary III.1.4 to the map $p : E \to \boldsymbol{G}_0$ we can find an open neighbourhood

$$\tilde{U} \subset w^{-1}(V)$$

of $\tilde{L}$ in E which is a union of compact leaves of p, i.e. of compact connected components of the fibers of (E, p, w). Since w is open,

$$U = w(\tilde{U}) \subset V$$

is an open neighbourhood of L in X which is a union of compact leaves of (E, p, w).

■

Theorem III.1.6 (Reeb stability for a transitive bibundle) *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ be topological groupoids and (E, p, w) a transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. Assume that E is locally compact, $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ locally Hausdorff and (E, p, w) with locally connected $\boldsymbol{H}$-Hausdorff fibers. Let L be an $\boldsymbol{H}$-compact leaf of (E, p, w) with compact holonomy group. Then for any $\boldsymbol{H}$-invariant open neighbourhood V of L in $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$-invariant open neighbourhood $U \subset V$ of L in $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ which is a union of $\boldsymbol{H}$-compact leaves of (E, p, w).*

Proof. Note that the associated $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle $E/\boldsymbol{H}$ over $|\boldsymbol{H}|$ is locally compact with locally connected Hausdorff fibres. By Proposition II.2.5, $L/\boldsymbol{H}$ is a compact leaf of $(E/\boldsymbol{H}, p/\boldsymbol{H}, w/\boldsymbol{H})$ with compact holonomy group, and $V/\boldsymbol{H}$ is an open neighbourhood of $L/\boldsymbol{H}$ in $|\boldsymbol{H}|$. The result now follows from Theorem III.1.5 and Proposition II.2.5.

■

Remark. In particular, Theorem III.1.6 extends the Reeb stability theorem to principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundles, i.e. to the Hilsum-Skandalis maps from $\boldsymbol{H}$ to $\boldsymbol{G}$. In the special cases, it gives a version of the Reeb stability theorem for nested foliations (Example II.1.8 (4)) and for foliations invariant under a group action (Example II.1.8 (3)), which we will discuss extensively in Chapter IV.

Theorem III.1.7 (Reeb stability for a principal bundle) *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid with $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ locally Hausdorff, and let (E, p, w) be a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over a locally compact space X with locally path-connected Hausdorff fibers. Let L be a compact leaf of (E, p, w) with finite holonomy (respectively geometric holonomy) group. Then for any open neighbourhood V of L in X there exists an open neighbourhood $U \subset V$ of L in X which is a union of compact leaves of (E, p, w) with finite holonomy (respectively geometric holonomy) groups.*

Remark. Observe that if X is Hausdorff, the assumption that the fibers of E are Hausdorff is superfluous.

Proof. (a) Assume first that the holonomy of L is finite. Since X is locally compact and w is a local homeomorphism, E is also locally compact. Choose

$e \in E$ with $w(e) \in L$, and let $\tilde{L}$ be the connected component of the fiber $E_{p(e)}$ with $e \in \tilde{L}$. Since $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ is finite and $L \cong \tilde{L}/\mathcal{H}(\tilde{L})$ is compact, $\tilde{L}$ is also compact. Applying Corollary III.1.4 to the map p we can find an open neighbourhood

$$\tilde{U} \subset w^{-1}(V)$$

of $\tilde{L}$ in E which is a union of compact leaves of p, i.e. of compact connected components of the fibers of (E, p, w). Proposition II.3.1 implies that the holonomy group of a compact connected component of a fiber of (E, p, w) is finite. Thus

$$U = w(\tilde{U}) \subset V$$

is an open neighbourhood of L in X which is a union of compact leaves of (E, p, w) with finite holonomy groups.

(b) Assume that the geometric holonomy of L is finite. Recall from Section I.2 that we have the effect-functor

$$\mathfrak{e} : \boldsymbol{G} \longrightarrow \Gamma(\boldsymbol{G}_0) ,$$

which is the identity on objects. By Theorem II.3.2 and Theorem II.3.3, the tensor product $\langle \mathfrak{e} \rangle \otimes E$ has locally path-connected fibers and exactly the same leaves as E. Moreover, the geometric holonomy group of a leaf of E is exactly the holonomy group of the same leaf regarded as a leaf of $\langle \mathfrak{e} \rangle \otimes E$. Since E has Hausdorff fibers, the leaves of E are Hausdorff, and therefore the fibers of $\langle \mathfrak{e} \rangle \otimes E$ are also Hausdorff. The theorem thus follows from the part (a).

■

Remark. In the case where $\boldsymbol{G}$ is effective, Theorem III.1.7 is precisely the Haefliger-Reeb-Ehresmann theorem for Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structures [16]. In particular, it generalizes the classical Reeb stability theorem for foliations on manifolds, and gives a version of the Reeb stability theorem for foliations with modular singularities (Example II.1.8 (2)).

III.2 Differential Categories

In Section III.3 we will generalize the results of Section III.1 in a similar way Thurston generalized the Reeb stability theorem [39] (see Theorem I.1.2). The proof of the Thurston generalization can be essentially reduced to a theorem concerning groups of germs of diffeomorphisms of $\mathbf{R}^q$ with differential 1, which was simplified in [33, 36]. In Subsection III.2.2 we prove the infinite-dimensional version of that theorem, in order to prove in Section III.3 the Reeb-Thurston stability for transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundles, where $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an étale C^1-groupoid. To facilitate the proof, we first introduce differential categories and investigate some of their properties.

Notation. In this section, $\mathbf{F}$ stands for the field $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, and all the vector spaces are over $\mathbf{F}$ unless we specify the field explicitly.

III.2.1 Definition of a Differential Category

Definition III.2.1 *A right linear category over* $\mathbf{F}$ *is a category* $\mathfrak{C}$ *which satisfies*

1. $\mathfrak{C}(a,a')$ *is a vector space over* $\mathbf{F}$*, for any* $a, a' \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{C}$ *(we denote by* $0_{a',a}$ *the neutral element of* $\mathfrak{C}(a,a')$*),*
2. $\text{-} \circ c : \mathfrak{C}(a',a'') \to \mathfrak{C}(a,a'')$ *is linear, for any* $a, a', a'' \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{C}$ *and any* $c \in \mathfrak{C}(a,a')$*,*
3. $\mathfrak{C}(a',a'') \circ 0_{a',a} = 0_{a'',a}$ *for any* $a, a', a'' \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{C}$*.*

Remark. A linear category is a right linear category in which the obvious duals of the conditions 2. and 3. are satisfied as well.

Notation. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a right linear category. A morphism $A \in \mathfrak{C}(a',a'')$ is linear if $A \circ \text{-} : \mathfrak{C}(a,a') \to \mathfrak{C}(a,a'')$ is linear for any $a \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{C}$. For example, $0_{a'',a'}$ and the identity morphism $1_{a'} \in \mathfrak{C}(a',a')$ are linear. The linear morphisms of $\mathfrak{C}$ clearly form a linear subcategory of $\mathfrak{C}$, which will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{C}$.

Definition III.2.2 *Let* $\mathfrak{C}$ *and* $\mathfrak{D}$ *be right linear categories. A functor* $F : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{D}$ *is linear if*

$$F|_{\mathfrak{C}(a,a')} : \mathfrak{C}(a,a') \to \mathfrak{D}(Fa, Fa')$$

is linear, for any $a, a' \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{C}$*.*

Definition III.2.3 *Let* $\mathfrak{C}$ *be a right linear category. A (semi)norm on* $\mathfrak{C}$ *is a function*

$$\|\text{-}\| : \coprod_{a,a' \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(a,a') \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$$

such that $\|\text{-}\|\,|_{\mathfrak{C}(a,a')}$ *is a (semi)norm on* $\mathfrak{C}(a,a')$ *for any* $a, a' \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{C}$*. A (semi)norm* $\|\text{-}\|$ *on* $\mathfrak{C}$ *is submultiplicative if* $\|1_a\| = 1$ *and*

$$\|c' \circ c\| \leq \|c'\|\|c\|$$

for any $c \in \mathfrak{C}(a,a')$*,* $c' \in \mathfrak{C}(a',a'')$*,* $a, a', a'' \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{C}$*. A (semi)normed (right) linear category is a pair* $(\mathfrak{C}, \|\text{-}\|)$*, where* $\mathfrak{C}$ *is a (right) linear category and* $\|\text{-}\|$ *is a submultiplicative (semi)norm on* $\mathfrak{C}$*. A (right) Banach category is a normed (right) linear category* $(\mathfrak{B}, \|\text{-}\|)$ *such that the normed space*

$$(\mathfrak{B}(a,a'), \|\text{-}\|\,|_{\mathfrak{B}(a,a')})$$

is complete, for any $a, a' \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{B}$*.*

Remark. Banach categories need not be additive.

Example III.2.4 (1) A Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with identity is a Banach category, with $\operatorname{Ob}\mathcal{A}$ an one-point set.

(2) The category $\mathbf{Ban} = \mathbf{Ban}_{\mathbf{F}}$ of Banach spaces over $\mathbf{F}$ and bounded linear operators is a Banach category over $\mathbf{F}$.

(3) Let $\operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{C} = \operatorname{Ob}\mathbf{Ban}$ and let $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y})$ be the vector space of continuous functions $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$, for any pair of Banach spaces $\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}$. Then $\mathfrak{C}$ is a right linear category. We can define a function $\| \text{-} \|_\infty : \coprod_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}\in\operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}) \to [0,\infty]$ by

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x\in\mathcal{X}} \|f(x)\|$$

for any $f \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y})$. This function satisfies the usual properties of a norm, and for any $f \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y})$ and $f' \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{Z})$ we have $\|f'\circ f\|_\infty \le \|f'\|_\infty$.

Definition III.2.5 *Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a right linear category. A $\mathfrak{B}$-linearization of $\mathfrak{C}$ is a linear functor*

$$D : \mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}\ ,$$

where $\mathfrak{B}$ is a Banach category. A $\mathfrak{B}$-linearization D of $\mathfrak{C}$ is called a $\mathfrak{B}$-differential on $\mathfrak{C}$ if there exists a sequence $(\| \text{-} \|_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of norms on $\mathfrak{C}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|c\circ c' - c\circ c''\|_n}{\|c' - c''\|_n} \le \|Dc\|$$

for any $c \in \mathfrak{C}(a',a'')$ and any two distinct $c',c'' \in \mathfrak{C}(a,a')$, $a,a',a'' \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{C}$. A differential category is a triple $(\mathfrak{C},D,\mathfrak{B})$, where $\mathfrak{C}$ is a right linear category and D is a $\mathfrak{B}$-differential on $\mathfrak{C}$.

Remark. In Subsection III.2.3 we will show that differential categories naturally arise from the affine manifolds.

Lemma III.2.6 *Let $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ be right linear categories, D a $\mathfrak{B}$-differential on $\mathfrak{D}$ and $F : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{D}$ a faithful linear functor. Then $D\circ F$ is a $\mathfrak{B}$-differential on $\mathfrak{C}$.*

Proof. Since F is faithful, $F|_{\mathfrak{C}(a,a')} : \mathfrak{C}(a,a') \to \mathfrak{D}(Fa,Fa')$ is injective, for any $a,a' \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{C}$. Since D is a $\mathfrak{B}$-differential, there exists a family of norms $(\| \text{-} \|_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ on $\mathfrak{D}$ as in Definition III.2.5. This family induces a family of norms $(\|F \text{-} \|_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ on $\mathfrak{C}$. Now for any $c \in \mathfrak{C}(a',a'')$ and any two distinct $c',c'' \in \mathfrak{C}(a,a')$ we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|F(c\circ c' - c\circ c'')\|_n}{\|F(c' - c'')\|_n} = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|Fc\circ Fc' - Fc\circ Fc''\|_n}{\|Fc' - Fc''\|_n} \le \|DFc\|\ ,$$

and hence $D\circ F$ is a $\mathfrak{B}$-differential.

∎

Example III.2.7 Define a category $\mathfrak{C}$ with $\operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{C} = \operatorname{Ob}\mathbf{Ban}$ such that for any $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathbf{Ban}$, $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is the vector space of functions $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ which are continuously differentiable on some open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathcal{X}$, preserve bounded sets and satisfy $f(0) = 0$. Clearly $\mathfrak{C}$ is a right linear category. Note that a morphism f of $\mathfrak{C}$ is linear if and only if it is a bounded linear operator, so $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{C} = \mathbf{Ban} \subset \mathfrak{C}$.

If $f \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that f is differentiable at x, we denote by $df_x \in \mathbf{Ban}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ the differential of f at x. We have a natural **Ban**-linearization D on $\mathfrak{C}$ given as the identity on objects and by

$$D(f) = df_0$$

for any $f \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$. Observe that $D^2 = D$ and $D(\mathfrak{C}) = \mathbf{Ban}$, i.e. D is a projector on **Ban**.

Now define for any $r \in [0, \infty)$ a seminorm on $\mathfrak{C}$ by

$$\|f\|_r = \sup_{\|x\| \leq r} \|f(x)\|$$

for any $f \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$. This is well-defined since f preserves bounded sets. Since f is continuous on an open neighbourhood of 0, we have

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \|f\|_r = \|f\|_0 = \|f(0)\| = 0 \ .$$

Note that if $f' \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$, then

$$\|f' \circ f\|_r \leq \|f'\|_{\|f\|_r}$$

for all $r \geq 0$. Moreover, if $A \in \mathbf{Ban}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, then $\|A\|_r = r\,\|A\|$. It follows that $\|\text{-}\|_r$ is actually a norm on **Ban**, for any $r > 0$. We can define also $\|f\| = \|D(f)\|$ for any $f \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, obtaining a submultiplicative seminorm on $\mathfrak{C}$ which extends the standard norm on **Ban**. From the definition of differential df_0 one can easily see that

$$\|f\| = \|D(f)\| = \|df_0\| = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\|f\|_r}{r} \ .$$

It follows that $D(f)$ is the unique linear morphism in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ which satisfies

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\|f - D(f)\|_r}{r} = 0 \ .$$

Moreover, if $f', f'' \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $f \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$, the mean value theorem [21] gives us for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$ small enough

$$\|f(f'(x)) - f(f''(x))\| \leq \|f'(x) - f''(x)\| \sup_{x' \in S} \|df_{x'}\| \ ,$$

where S is the segment between $f'(x)$ and $f''(x)$. It follows that for small r

$$\|f \circ f' - f \circ f''\|_r \leq \|f' - f''\|_r \sup_{x' \in K} \|df_{x'}\| \,,$$

where now $K = \{x' \in \mathcal{X} \mid \|x'\| \leq \max(\,\|f'\|_r, \|f''\|_r)\,\}$. If $\mathrm{germ}_0 f' \neq \mathrm{germ}_0 f''$, then $\|f' - f''\|_r > 0$ for any $r > 0$, and by the continuity of the differential $df_{x'}$ around 0 we get

$$\limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\|f \circ f' - f \circ f''\|_r}{\|f' - f''\|_r} \leq \|D(f)\| \,. \tag{III.1}$$

Define now a category $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}_{\mathbf{F}}$ with $\mathrm{Ob}\,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an} = \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathbf{Ban}$ such that for any $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathbf{Ban}$, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is the vector space of germs at 0 of continuously differentiable functions $f : U \to \mathcal{Y}$, defined on an open neighbourhood $U \subset \mathcal{X}$ of 0 with $f(0) = 0$. This is also a right linear category, and the natural functor

$$\mathrm{germ}_0 : \mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}$$

is linear, the identity on objects and full on morphisms. Note also that we can identify $\mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an} = \mathbf{Ban}$. Moreover, D factors through germ_0, so we obtain a **Ban**-linearization of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}$, which we will denote by $\mathcal{D}$.

For any $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathbf{Ban}$, we can choose a linear injection

$$\alpha = \alpha_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}} : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$$

such that $\mathrm{germ}_0(\alpha(\gamma)) = \gamma$ for any $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$. The map α may not be a functor, but if $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $\gamma' \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$, the functions $\alpha(\gamma' \circ \gamma)$ and $\alpha(\gamma') \circ \alpha(\gamma)$ determine the same germ at 0, and hence they coincide on some neighbourhood of 0.

Using α, the seminorm $\|\text{-}\|_r$ induces a seminorm on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}$, which will be denoted again by $\|\text{-}\|_r$, for all $r \geq 0$. But $\|\text{-}\|_r$ is in fact a norm on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}$ for any $r > 0$. Moreover, the inequality (III.1) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\|\gamma \circ \gamma' - \gamma \circ \gamma''\|_r}{\|\gamma' - \gamma''\|_r} &= \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\|\alpha(\gamma) \circ \alpha(\gamma') - \alpha(\gamma) \circ \alpha(\gamma'')\|_r}{\|\alpha(\gamma') - \alpha(\gamma'')\|_r} \\ &\leq \|D(\alpha(\gamma))\| = \|\mathcal{D}(\gamma)\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ and any two distinct $\gamma', \gamma'' \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$. It follows that $\mathcal{D}$ is a **Ban**-differential, so $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}, \mathcal{D}, \mathbf{Ban})$ is a differential category.

III.2.2 Representations of Groups in Differential Categories

If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is a groupoid and $\mathfrak{C}$ a category, we call a functor

$$R : \boldsymbol{G} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$$

a *representation* of $\boldsymbol{G}$ in $\mathfrak{C}$. Such a representation R is called *trivial* if the restriction $R|_{\boldsymbol{G}(a,a')}$ is a constant map, for any $a, a' \in \boldsymbol{G}_0$.

Remark. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a vector space, the underlying abelian group $\mathcal{X}$ can be seen as a category with only one object, so we can speak about $\mathcal{X}$-representations of a groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$. If G is a group and $\mathfrak{C}$ a category, a representation of G in $\mathfrak{C}$ is thus just a homomorphism of groups $R : G \to \mathfrak{C}^{-1}(a, a)$, where $\mathfrak{C}^{-1}(a, a)$ is the group of isomorphisms in $\mathfrak{C}(a, a)$, for some $a \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathfrak{C}$. For example, if $\mathcal{X}$ is a Banach space, then $\mathbf{Ban}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is a Banach category, and the representations of G in $\mathbf{Ban}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ are just the representations of G in the classical sense.

Example III.2.8 Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a Banach space over $\mathbf{R}$ and M a C^1-manifold modeled on $\mathcal{E}$. For any $x \in M$, choose a chart $\varphi_x : U_x \to \mathcal{E}$ on M with $x \in U_x$ and $\varphi_x(x) = 0$. Define a representation $\rho = \rho_M$ of the groupoid $\Gamma_{C^1}(M)$ in the category $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}$ (Example III.2.7) by

$$\rho(\mathrm{germ}_x f) = \mathrm{germ}_0[\varphi_{f(x)} \circ f \circ \varphi_x^{-1}] \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \ ,$$

for any C^1-diffeomorphism f on M defined on an open neighbourhood of x. The representation ρ is clearly well-defined and faithful. Another choice of charts gives a naturally isomorphic representation.

Notation. Let G be a finitely generated group, B a finite basis (i.e. a finite set of generators) for G with $1 \in B = B^{-1}$, and let $\mathcal{X}$ be a normed space. Further, let P be a subset of G with $B \subset P$ and let $\varepsilon \geq 0$. A (P, ε)-*approximate representation* in $\mathcal{X}$ is a function

$$\eta : P \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$$

such that for any $g, g' \in P$ with $g'g \in P$ we have

$$\|\eta(g'g) - \eta(g') - \eta(g)\| \leq \varepsilon \ .$$

We say that η is *normed* if

$$\max_{g \in B} \|\eta(g)\| = 1 \ .$$

Note that a $(G, 0)$-approximate representation in $\mathcal{X}$ is exactly a representation of G in $\mathcal{X}$.

Recall now the following result of Thurston [39, Lemma 1]:

Lemma III.2.9 *Let G be a finitely generated group and let B be a finite basis for G with $1 \in B = B^{-1}$. Then non-trivial representations of G in $\mathbf{F}$ exist if and only if normed (B^l, ε)-approximate representations in $\mathbf{F}$ exist for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any integer $l \geq 1$.*

Theorem III.2.10 *Let G be a finitely generated group, $(\mathfrak{C}, D, \mathfrak{B})$ a differential category over $\mathbf{F}$ and R a representation of G in $\mathfrak{C}$. Then either* *(i) R is trivial, or*

(ii) $D \circ R$ is non-trivial, or

(iii) there exists a non-trivial representation of G in $\mathbf{F}$.

Proof. Assume that R is non-trivial and $D \circ R$ is trivial. Let a be the object of $\mathfrak{C}$ such that $R : G \to \mathfrak{C}^{-1}(a, a)$. Choose a finite basis B for G with $1 \in B = B^{-1}$. Since D is a $\mathfrak{B}$-differential, there exists a sequence of norms

$$(\|\text{-}\|_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

on $\mathfrak{C}$ as in Definition III.2.5. By Lemma III.2.9 it is enough to show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any integer $l \geq 1$, there exists a normed (B^l, ε)-approximate representation in $\mathbf{F}$. Take therefore $\varepsilon > 0$ and $l \geq 1$, and let $\delta > 0$ be so small that $((l-1)\delta + l)\delta \leq \varepsilon$.

If $g, g' \in G$ and $R(g) \neq 1_a$, we have $D(R(g') - 1_a) = 0_{Da,Da}$, and hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|(R(g') - 1_a) \circ R(g) - (R(g') - 1_a)\|_n}{\|R(g) - 1_a\|_n} = 0 \ .$$

Since B^l is finite, we can choose $n \geq 1$ such that

$$\|(R(g') - 1_a) \circ R(g) - (R(g') - 1_a)\|_n \leq \|R(g) - 1_a\|_n \delta$$

for any $g, g' \in B^l$.

Denote $M = \max_{g\in B} \|R(g) - 1_a\|_n$. Since R is non-trivial, we have $M > 0$. Define now $\eta : B^l \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}(a, a)$ by

$$\eta(g') = \frac{1}{M}(R(g') - 1_a) \qquad g' \in B^l \ .$$

First we shall prove by induction that for any integer k, $1 \leq k \leq l$,

$$\|\eta(g')\|_n \leq (k-1)\delta + k \tag{III.2}$$

for any $g' \in B^k$. This is clearly true for $k = 1$. Assume now that the inequality (III.2) holds for some $k < l$. Take any $g'' \in B^{k+1}$ and write $r = g'g$ for some $g' \in B^k$ and $g \in B$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\eta(g'')\|_n &= \frac{1}{M}\|R(g'g) - 1_a\|_n \\
&= \frac{1}{M}\|R(g'g) - R(g) - R(g') + 1_a + R(g') - 1_a + R(g) - 1_a\|_n \\
&\leq \frac{1}{M}\|(R(g') - 1_a) \circ R(g) - (R(g') - 1_a)\|_n \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{M}\|R(g') - 1_a\|_n + \frac{1}{M}\|R(g) - 1_a\|_n \\
&\leq \frac{1}{M}\|R(g) - 1_a\|_n \delta + \frac{1}{M}\|R(g') - 1_a\|_n + \frac{1}{M}\|R(g) - 1_a\|_n \\
&= \|\eta(g)\|_n \delta + \|\eta(g')\|_n + \|\eta(g)\|_n \\
&\leq \delta + (k-1)\delta + k + 1 = k\delta + (k+1) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the inequality (III.2). In particular we have for any $g' \in B^l$

$$\|\eta(g')\|_n \leq (l-1)\delta + l\ .$$

Now take any $g, g' \in B^l$ such that $g'g \in B^l$. The inequality above yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\eta(g'g) - \eta(g') - \eta(g)\|_n \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{M}\|R(g'g) - 1_a - R(g') + 1_a - R(g) + 1_a\|_n \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{M}\|(R(g') - 1_a)\circ R(g) - (R(g') - 1_a)\|_n \\
&\qquad \leq \frac{1}{M}\|R(g) - 1_a\|_n\delta = \|\eta(g)\|_n\delta \\
&\qquad \leq ((l-1)\delta + l)\delta \leq \varepsilon\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus η is a (B^l, ε)-approximate representation in $(\mathfrak{C}(a,a), \|\text{-}\|_n)$. It is clearly normed. Choose now a bounded linear functional

$$f : (\mathfrak{C}(a,a), \|\text{-}\|_n) \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}$$

of norm 1 such that $f(\eta(g)) = 1$ for an element $g \in B$ with $\|R(g) - 1_a\|_n = M$. Then we take $\bar{\eta} = f \circ \eta$. It follows that $\bar{\eta}$ is a normed (B^l, ε)-approximate representation in $\mathbf{F}$.

■

III.2.3 Differential Categories Associated to Affine Manifolds

In this Subsection we show that the germs of C^1-maps of an affine manifold [11, 20] provide a natural example of a differential category.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a fixed Banach space over $\mathbf{F}$. Recall that if $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are Banach spaces and U an open subset of $\mathcal{X}$, a mapping $f : U \to \mathcal{Y}$ is *locally affine* if for any $x \in U$ there exists a bounded linear operator $A \in \mathbf{Ban}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ such that

$$f(x + \xi) = f(x) + A\xi$$

for any $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ small enough. In particular, the map f is analytic (real analytic when $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}$) and A is the differential df_x of f at x.

Definition III.2.11 *An affine $\mathcal{E}$-modeled atlas on a set M is an $\mathcal{E}$-modeled atlas*

$$(\varphi_i : U_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{E})_{i \in I}$$

on M such that the change of coordinates maps

$$\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_i \circ \varphi_j^{-1}|_{\varphi_j(U_i \cap U_j)} : \varphi_j(U_i \cap U_j) \longrightarrow \varphi_i(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is locally affine, for any $i, j \in I$. An affine manifold modeled on $\mathcal{E}$ is a set M together with a maximal affine $\mathcal{E}$-modeled atlas on M.

Remark. In particular, any affine manifold is an analytic (real analytic when $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}$) manifold. If $f : M \to M'$ is a map between affine manifolds, we say that f is *affine* if for any $x \in M$ there exist affine charts $\varphi : U \to \mathcal{E}$ for M and $\varphi' : U' \to \mathcal{E}'$ for M' with $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subset U'$ such that

$$\varphi' \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}|_{\varphi(U)} : \varphi(U) \longrightarrow \varphi'(U')$$

is locally affine. One sees immediately that this condition is in fact independent on the choice of charts.

Example III.2.12 It is easy to see that S^1, T^2 and the Möbius strip can be equipped with an affine structure. Of course, any open subset of $\mathcal{E}$ is an affine manifold modeled on $\mathcal{E}$. A disjoint union or a product of affine manifolds is again an affine manifold.

Notation. Let M be a C^1-manifold modeled on $\mathcal{E}$. If $x, x' \in M$, we denote by $\Delta(M)(x, x')$ the set of germs at x of C^1-maps $f : U \to M$, defined on an open neighbourhood U of x, with $f(x) = x'$. Denote

$$\Delta(M) = \coprod_{x,x' \in M} \Delta(M)(x, x') \ .$$

Clearly $\Delta(M)$ has a structure of a category, given by the composition of germs. There is a natural C^1-structure on $\Delta(M)$ such that *dom* is a local C^1-diffeomorphism.

Definition III.2.13 *Let M be an affine manifold modeled on $\mathcal{E}$, and $x, x' \in M$. Define a structure of a vector space on the set $\Delta(M)(x, x')$ by*

(a) $\mathrm{germ}_x f + \mathrm{germ}_x f' = \mathrm{germ}_x \left[\varphi^{-1}\circ(\varphi \circ f + \varphi \circ f' - \varphi(x'))\right]$,

(b) $\lambda\, \mathrm{germ}_x f = \mathrm{germ}_x \left[\varphi^{-1}\circ(\lambda\, \varphi \circ f + (1 - \lambda)\, \varphi(x'))\right]$,

for any $\mathrm{germ}_x f$, $\mathrm{germ}_x f' \in \Delta(M)(x, x')$*, any* $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ *and any affine chart* $\varphi : U \to \mathcal{E}$ *of M with $x' \in U$.*

Remark. Note that with a fixed chart, this is well defined. We have to check that it is also independent on the choice of a chart. Let $\varphi' : U' \to \mathcal{E}$ be another affine chart of M with $x' \in U'$. Since M is affine, $\varphi \circ \varphi'^{-1}$ is of the form $A + \varphi(x') - A\varphi'(x')$ on a neighbourhood of $\varphi'(x')$ for some bounded linear operator $A \in \mathbf{Ban}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$. Therefore we have on a small neighbourhood of x

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi^{-1}\circ(\varphi \circ f + \varphi \circ f' - \varphi(x')) \\
&\qquad = \quad \varphi^{-1}(\varphi\varphi'^{-1}\varphi' f + \varphi\varphi'^{-1}\varphi' f' - \varphi(x')) \\
&\qquad = \quad \varphi^{-1}(A\varphi' f + \varphi(x') - A\varphi'(x') + A\varphi' f' + \varphi(x') - A\varphi'(x') - \varphi(x')) \\
&\qquad = \quad \varphi^{-1}\varphi\varphi'^{-1}(\varphi' f + \varphi' f' - \varphi'(x')) = \varphi'^{-1}\circ(\varphi' \circ f + \varphi' \circ f' - \varphi'(x')) \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

so the definition of $\operatorname{germ}_x f + \operatorname{germ}_x f'$ is indeed independent on the choice of a chart. In a similar way we can check this also for (b).

With a fixed chart, it is trivial to see that this definition gives a linear structure on $\Delta(M)(x,x')$. The neutral element in $\Delta(M)(x,x')$ is the germ of the constant map.

Observation III.2.14 *Let M be an affine manifold modeled on $\mathcal{E}$. Then $\Delta(M)$ is a right linear category.*

Notation. Let M be an affine manifold, and $x \in M$. Recall that the tangent space T_xM can be described as the space of equivalence classes of triples (U,φ,e), where $\varphi : U \to \mathcal{E}$ is an affine chart of M, $x \in U$ and $e \in \mathcal{E}$, and where (U,φ,e) is equivalent to (U',φ',e') if

$$d(\varphi' \circ \varphi^{-1})_{\varphi(x)} e = e' \ .$$

A chosen chart $\varphi : U \to \mathcal{E}$ determines an isomorphism $\varphi_{*x} : T_xM \to \mathcal{E}$ and hence a norm on T_xM. Another chart gives an equivalent norm, so T_xM is a Banachable space [21].

Now choose one of the equivalent norms $\|\text{-}\|_x$ on T_xM, for any $x \in M$. If M is paracompact (and hence separated), it admits continuous partitions of unity and in this case we can choose these norms such that

$$\|\text{-}\| = \coprod_{x \in M} \|\text{-}\|_x : TM \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$$

is continuous.

Any element $\operatorname{germ}_x f \in \Delta(M)(x,x')$ has the derivative

$$D(\operatorname{germ}_x f) = f_{*x} \in \mathbf{Ban}(T_xM, T_{x'}M) \ .$$

With $D(x) = T_xM$ for any $x \in M$, D is a $\mathbf{Ban}$-linearization of $\Delta(M)$,

$$D : \Delta(M) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ban} \ .$$

Note that another choice of norms on the Banachable tangent spaces gives a naturally isomorphic linearization.

Theorem III.2.15 *Let M be an affine manifold modeled on $\mathcal{E}$. Then*

$$(\Delta(M), D, \mathbf{Ban})$$

is a differential category, for any choice of norms on the Banachable tangent spaces of M.

Proof. Choose a norm on the Banachable tangent space T_xM, for any $x \in M$. We have to prove that the corresponding linearization D is a **Ban**-differential.

Let $\mathrm{germ}_x f \in \Delta(M)(x,x')$, where $f : W \to M$ is a C^1-map defined on an open neighbourhood W of x. Let $\varphi : U \to \mathcal{E}$ and $\vartheta : V \to \mathcal{E}$ be affine charts of M with $x \in U$ and $x' \in V$ such that $\varphi(x) = 0$ and $\vartheta(x') = 0$. We can assume that $W \subset U$ and $f(W) \subset V$. Now we can define $s(f) : \varphi_{*x}^{-1}(\varphi(W)) \to T_xM$ by

$$s(f) = \vartheta_{*x'}^{-1} \circ \vartheta \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi_{*x}|_{\varphi_{*x}^{-1}(\varphi(W))} .$$

The map $s(f)$ is clearly of class C^1, and $0 \in \varphi_{*x}^{-1}(\varphi(W))$ with $s(f)(0) = 0$. Define $F(\mathrm{germ}_x f) \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}(T_xM, T_{x'}M)$ by

$$F(\mathrm{germ}_x f) = \mathrm{germ}_0\, s(f) .$$

Note first that this is well-defined on germs. Secondly, this definition is independent on the choice of charts. To prove this, let $\varphi' : U' \to \mathcal{E}$ and $\vartheta' : V' \to \mathcal{E}$ be another charts with $x \in U'$, $x' \in V'$, $\varphi'(x) = 0$ and $\vartheta'(x') = 0$. We can shrink W further such that $W \subset U \cap U'$ and $f(W) \subset V \cap V'$. Now on a small neighbourhood of $0 \in T_xM$ we have

$$\vartheta_{*x'}^{-1} \circ \vartheta \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi_{*x} = \vartheta_{*x'}^{-1} \circ \vartheta \circ \vartheta'^{-1} \circ \vartheta' \circ f \circ \varphi'^{-1} \circ \varphi' \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi_{*x} .$$

But since M is affine, we have $\varphi' \circ \varphi^{-1} = d(\varphi' \circ \varphi^{-1})_0 = \varphi'_{*x} \circ \varphi_{*x}^{-1}$, and also $\vartheta' \circ \vartheta^{-1} = d(\vartheta' \circ \vartheta^{-1})_0 = \vartheta'_{*x'} \circ \vartheta_{*x'}^{-1}$. Therefore

$$\vartheta_{*x'}^{-1} \circ \vartheta \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi_{*x} = \vartheta'^{-1}_{*x'} \circ \vartheta' \circ f \circ \varphi'^{-1} \circ \varphi'_{*x}$$

on a small neighbourhood of 0. This means exactly that the definition of F is independent on the choice of charts. Extending F on objects of $\Delta(M)$ by $F(x) = T_xM$ for any $x \in M$, we get a functor

$$F : \Delta(M) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an} .$$

It is easy to check that F is linear and faithful. In example III.2.7 we defined the differential $\mathcal{D} : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an} \to \mathbf{Ban}$. But for any $\mathrm{germ}_x f \in \Delta(M)(x,x')$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(F(\mathrm{germ}_x f)) &= \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{germ}_0(\vartheta_{*x'}^{-1} \circ \vartheta \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi_{*x})) \\ &= d(\vartheta_{*x'}^{-1} \circ \vartheta \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi_{*x})_0 \\ &= \vartheta_{*x'}^{-1} \circ \vartheta_{*x'} \circ f_{*x} \circ \varphi_{*x}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{*x} = f_{*x} = D(\mathrm{germ}_x f) , \end{aligned}$$

for any pair of charts φ and ϑ as before. Therefore we have

$$\mathcal{D} \circ F = D .$$

Now Lemma III.2.6 implies that D is a **Ban**-differential.

∎

III.3 Reeb-Thurston Stability for Bibundles

In this Section we use Theorem III.2.10 to generalize the Reeb-Thurston stability to transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundles. First, we define the notion of linear holonomy of a leaf of a transitive bibundle.

For this, we assume that $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an étale C^1-groupoid modeled on a Banach space $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathbf{R}$, i.e. both $\boldsymbol{G}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ are modeled on $\mathcal{E}$. The effect-functor $\mathfrak{e}$ now maps $\boldsymbol{G}$ in the étale C^1-groupoid $\Gamma_{C^1}(\boldsymbol{G}_0)$,

$$\mathfrak{e} : \boldsymbol{G} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{C^1}(\boldsymbol{G}_0) ,$$

and it is of class C^1. In particular, for each $g \in \boldsymbol{G}_1$ we can compute the differential $d\mathfrak{e}(g)$ of the germ $\mathfrak{e}(g)$, which is a continuous linear map between the Banachable tangent spaces of $\boldsymbol{G}_0$

$$d\mathfrak{e}(g) = \mathfrak{e}(g)_{*\ dom\, g} : T_{dom\, g}\boldsymbol{G}_0 \longrightarrow T_{cod\, g}\boldsymbol{G}_0 .$$

Thus $\boldsymbol{G}$ acts continuously on the tangent bundle $T(\boldsymbol{G}_0)$ of $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ with respect to the projection $T(\boldsymbol{G}_0) \to \boldsymbol{G}_0$ by

$$g \cdot \xi = d\mathfrak{e}(g)(\xi) ,$$

for any $g \in \boldsymbol{G}_1$ and any $\xi \in T_{dom\, g}\boldsymbol{G}_0$.

Choose a norm on the Banachable tangent space $T_a\boldsymbol{G}_0$, for any $a \in \boldsymbol{G}_0$. Then

$$d\mathfrak{e} : \boldsymbol{G} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ban}$$

is a representation of $\boldsymbol{G}$ in $\mathbf{Ban}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{H}$ be a topological groupoid and (E, p, w) a transitive $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. If L is a leaf of E, we define the *linear holonomy group* $d\mathcal{H}(L)$ of L to be the image of $\mathcal{H}(L)$ with $d\mathfrak{e}$. It is defined uniquely up to a conjugation.

Assume now that (E, p, w) is principal with locally path-connected fibers. Take $e \in E$ and let L be the leaf of E with $w(e) \in L$. The *linear holonomy homomorphism* of L with respect to the base point e is the composition

$$d\mathcal{H}_e = d\mathfrak{e} \circ \mathcal{H}_e : \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(L), w(e)) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ban}(T_{p(e)}\boldsymbol{G}_0, T_{p(e)}\boldsymbol{G}_0) .$$

In the same way as the holonomy homomorphism, the linear holonomy homomorphism depends up to an isomorphism only on the leaf L. Therefore we can define (up to an isomorphism) the *linear holonomy homomorphism* $d\mathcal{H}_L : \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(L)) \to \mathbf{Ban}$ of L. Clearly, the linear holonomy group $d\mathcal{H}(L)$ is the image of $d\mathcal{H}_L$.

If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is finite-dimensional, we can define (by analogy with foliations on manifolds) that E is transversely orientable around L if all the elements in the linear holonomy group preserve orientation, i.e. they have positive determinant.

Proposition III.3.1 *Let* $\boldsymbol{G}$ *be an effective* C^1*-groupoid modeled on a Banach space* $\mathcal{E}$*,* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *a topological groupoid and* (E,p,w) *a transitive* $\boldsymbol{G}$*-*$\boldsymbol{H}$*-bibundle. Let* L *be a leaf of* (E,p,w) *such that* $\operatorname{Ker} d\mathfrak{e}|_{\mathcal{H}(L)}$ *is finitely generated and*

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Ker} d\mathfrak{e}|_{\mathcal{H}(L)}, \mathbf{R}) = \{0\} .$$

Then $\operatorname{Ker} d\mathfrak{e}|_{\mathcal{H}(L)}$ *is trivial.*

Proof. A choice of charts as in example III.2.8 gives a representation ρ of $\Gamma_{C^1}(\boldsymbol{G}_0)$ in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}$, and the composition

$$\rho \circ \mathfrak{e} : \boldsymbol{G} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}$$

is a faithful representation of $\boldsymbol{G}$ in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}an}$. (Example III.2.7). The composition $\mathcal{D} \circ \rho \circ \mathfrak{e}$ is isomorphic to $d\mathfrak{e}$. The restriction R of the representation $\rho \circ \mathfrak{e}$ to the group $\operatorname{Ker} d\mathfrak{e}|_{\mathcal{H}(L)}$ is now a representation of $\operatorname{Ker} d\mathfrak{e}|_{\mathcal{H}(L)}$, and the composition $\mathcal{D} \circ R$ is trivial. Now Theorem III.2.10 yields that R is trivial. But $\rho \circ \mathfrak{e}$ is faithful, therefore the group $\operatorname{Ker} d\mathfrak{e}|_{\mathcal{H}(L)}$ is trivial.

■

Corollary III.3.2 *Let* $\boldsymbol{G}$ *be an effective* C^1*-groupoid modeled on a Banach space* $\mathcal{E}$*,* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *a topological groupoid and* (E,p,w) *a locally compact transitive* $\boldsymbol{G}$*-*$\boldsymbol{H}$*-bibundle with locally connected* $\boldsymbol{H}$*-Hausdorff fibers. Let L be an* $\boldsymbol{H}$*-compact leaf of* (E,p,w) *such that*

1. $\operatorname{Ker} d\mathfrak{e}|_{\mathcal{H}(L)}$ *is finitely generated,*
2. $\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Ker} d\mathfrak{e}|_{\mathcal{H}(L)}, \mathbf{R}) = \{0\}$*, and*
3. *the linear holonomy group of* L *is finite.*

Then the holonomy group of L is finite, and for any $\boldsymbol{H}$*-invariant open neighbourhood* V *of L in* $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ *there exists an* $\boldsymbol{H}$*-invariant open neighbourhood* $U \subset V$ *of L in* $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ *which is a union of* $\boldsymbol{H}$*-compact leaves of* (E,p,w)*.*

Proof. Proposition III.3.1 implies that the holonomy of L is also finite, and hence the conditions of Theorem III.1.6 are satisfied.

■

Theorem III.3.3 *Let* $\boldsymbol{G}$ *be an effective* C^1*-groupoid modeled on a Banach space* $\mathcal{E}$*,* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *a topological groupoid with* $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ *locally compact and* (E,p,w) *a principal* $\boldsymbol{G}$*-*$\boldsymbol{H}$*-bibundle with locally path-connected* $\boldsymbol{H}$*-Hausdorff fibers. Let* L *be an* $\boldsymbol{H}$*-compact leaf of* (E,p,w) *such that*

1. $\operatorname{Ker} d\mathcal{H}_L$ *is finitely generated,*

2. $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Ker}\, d\mathcal{H}_L, \mathbf{R}) = \{0\}$, *and*
3. *the linear holonomy group of* L *is finite.*

Then the holonomy group of L *is finite, and for any* $\boldsymbol{H}$*-invariant open neighbourhood* V *of* L *in* $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ *there exists an* $\boldsymbol{H}$*-invariant open neighbourhood* $U \subset V$ *of* L *in* $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ *which is a union of* $\boldsymbol{H}$*-compact leaves of* (E, p, w).

Proof. Since $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ is locally compact and w a local homeomorphism, the space E is also locally compact. Note that for the surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_L : \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(L)) \to \mathcal{H}(L)$ we have

$$\mathcal{H}_L(\mathrm{Ker}\, d\mathcal{H}_L) = \mathrm{Ker}\, d\mathfrak{e}|_{\mathcal{H}(L)} \; .$$

This implies that $\mathrm{Ker}\, d\mathfrak{e}|_{\mathcal{H}(L)}$ in finitely generated and

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Ker}\, d\mathfrak{e}|_{\mathcal{H}(L)}, \mathbf{R}) = \{0\} \; .$$

Proposition III.3.1 now yields that the holonomy of L is also finite, and hence we can use Theorem III.1.6.

■

Remark. Theorem III.3.3 generalizes the Reeb-Thurston stability theorem for foliations on manifolds to the Hilsum-Skandalis maps.

Corollary III.3.4 *Let* X *be a locally compact space,* $\boldsymbol{G}$ *an étale* C^1*-groupoid modeled on a Banach space* $\mathcal{E}$*, and* (E, p, w) *a principal* $\boldsymbol{G}$*-bundle over* X *with locally path-connected Hausdorff fibers. Let* L *be a compact leaf of* (E, p, w) *such that*

1. $\mathrm{Ker}\, d\mathcal{H}_L$ *is finitely generated,*
2. $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Ker}\, d\mathcal{H}_L, \mathbf{R}) = \{0\}$, *and*
3. *the linear holonomy group of* L *is finite.*

Then the geometric holonomy group of L *is finite, and for any open neighbourhood* V *of* L *there exists an open neighbourhood* $U \subset V$ *of* L *which is a union of compact leaves of* (E, p, w) *with finite geometric holonomy groups.*

Proof. By Theorem II.3.2 and Theorem II.3.3, we can assume without loss of generality that $\boldsymbol{G}$ is effective. The corollary now follows from Theorem III.3.3 and Theorem III.1.7.

■

Remark. This is the Reeb-Thurston stability theorem for Haefliger structures on topological spaces.

Proposition III.3.5 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale C^1-groupoid of dimension one, $\boldsymbol{H}$ a topological groupoid and (E,p,w) a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle with locally path-connected fibers. Let L be a leaf of (E,p,w) such that*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(L)),\mathbf{R}) = \{0\} \ .$$

Then the linear holonomy group of L has at most two elements.

Proof. Since $\boldsymbol{G}$ is of dimension one, the linear holonomy homomorphism $d\mathcal{H}_L$ of L maps $\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(L))$ into a group isomorphic to the multiplicative group $\mathbf{R}^* = \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Hence we can form the homomorphism

$$\log|d\mathcal{H}_L| : \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(L)) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \ ,$$

which must be trivial by assumption. Therefore the image of $d\mathcal{H}_L$ has at most two elements.

■

Corollary III.3.6 *Let X be a locally compact space, $\boldsymbol{G}$ an étale C^1-groupoid of dimension one, and (E,p,w) a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over X with locally path-connected Hausdorff fibers. Let L be a compact leaf of (E,p,w) such that*

1. *E is transversely orientable around L,*
2. *$\pi_1(H(L))$ is finitely generated, and*
3. $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(H(L)),\mathbf{R}) = \{0\}$.

Then the geometric holonomy group of L is trivial, and for any open neighbourhood V of L there exists an open neighbourhood $U \subset V$ of L which is a union of compact leaves of (E,p,w). Moreover, if L' is a leaf of (E,p,w) with $L' \subset U$, the geometric holonomy group of L' is either trivial or isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$.

Proof. By Proposition III.3.5, the linear holonomy group of L has at most two elements, but because of the transversal orientability around L it is in fact trivial. Now apply Corollary III.3.4. Finally, observe that if the geometric holonomy group of a leaf of E is finite then it has at most two elements. Thus the assumption (1) implies that the geometric holonomy group of L is trivial.

■

Remark. Note that if X is Hausdorff, the assumption that the fibers of E are Hausdorff in Corollary III.3.4 and in Corollary III.3.6, is superfluous.

Chapter IV

Equivariant Foliations

As an application of the results of Chapters II and III, we study in this Chapter the C^1-principal $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-$G(M)$-bibundle associated to a G-equivariant foliation on a C^1-manifold M (Example II.1.8 (3)). We give a geometric interpretation for the holonomy of this bibundle, and show that the stability theorems take a much simpler form in this case. Finally, we give some examples of concrete equivariant foliations.

IV.1 Equivariant Holonomy

Let M be a C^1-manifold of dimension n and $\nu : M \times G \to M$ a right C^1-action of a discrete group G on M. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of codimension q on M which is invariant under the action of G, see Example II.1.8 (3). For any $g \in G$ denote by $\hat{g}$ the C^1-diffeomorphism $\nu(\text{-}\,, g) : M \to M$. As usual, we will write $\nu(x, g) = x \cdot g$.

Let L be a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$. The *isotropy group* G_L of L is the subgroup of G

$$G_L = \{\, g \in G \,|\, L \cdot g \subset L \,\} \,.$$

Note that $L \cdot g \subset L$ implies $L \cdot g = L$. The action of G clearly restricts to a C^1-action of G_L on L, where L has the leaf topology. The immersion of L into M induces a continuous injection of the orbit space L/G_L into the orbit space M/G. As a set, we may identify L/G_L with the corresponding subset of M/G. However, the topology of L/G_L is in general finer than the one inherited from the space M/G.

Let L' be another leaf of $\mathcal{F}$. If there exists $g \in G$ such that $L \cdot g = L'$, then clearly $G_{L'} = g^{-1} G_L\, g$, and the C^1-diffeomorphism

$$\hat{g}|_L : L \longrightarrow L'$$

induces the identity homeomorphisms between the orbit spaces L/G_L and $L'/G_{L'}$. On the other hand, if $L \cdot G \cap L' = \emptyset$ then $L/G_L \cap L'/G_{L'} = \emptyset$. Thus $\mathcal{F}$ induces a partition of the orbit space M/G.

If the action of G on M is properly discontinuous, the orbit space M/G is a C^1-manifold and the induced partition is the induced C^1-foliation $\mathcal{F}/G$ on M/G. In general, the induced partition of M/G may be seen as a generalized foliation on M/G.

Let L be a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ and $x_0 \in L$. We will denote by $\pi_1^G(L, x_0)$ the group of pairs

$$\pi_1^G(L, x_0) = \{\, (g, \varsigma) \,|\, g \in G,\ \varsigma \in \boldsymbol{\pi}_1(L)(x_0, x_0 \cdot g) \,\}$$

with multiplication given by

$$(g', \varsigma')(g, \varsigma) = (g'g, \hat{g}_*(\varsigma')\,\varsigma)\ .$$

We will call this group the *equivariant fundamental group* of L. Recall from Proposition I.4.3 that the equivariant fundamental group of L is isomorphic to the fundamental group $\pi_1(G_L(L), x_0)$ of the étale C^1-groupoid $G_L(L)$ associated to the action of G_L on L, and that there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(L, x_0) \xrightarrow{inc_L} \pi_1^G(L, x_0) \xrightarrow{pr_L} G_L \longrightarrow 1 \qquad \text{(IV.1)}$$

of homomorphisms of groups, where $inc_L(\varsigma) = (1, \varsigma)$ and $pr_L(g, \varsigma) = g$.

Note that if x_0' is another point of L, then a path in L between x_0 and x_0' induces an isomorphism $\pi_1^G(L, x_0) \cong \pi_1^G(L, x_0')$. More precisely, if τ is a homotopy class (with fixed end-points) of a path from x_0 to x_0', then the isomorphism

$$\tau^\diamond : \pi_1^G(L, x_0') \longrightarrow \pi_1^G(L, x_0)$$

is given by

$$\tau^\diamond(g, \varsigma) = (g, \hat{g}_*(\tau)^{-1}\varsigma\tau)\ .$$

Therefore we will write $\pi_1^G(L)$ for the isomorphism class of the equivariant fundamental group of L. Note that if G_L acts properly discontinuously on L, then the covering projection $L \to L/G_L$ induces an isomorphism $\pi_1^G(L) \cong \pi_1(L/G_L)$.

Definition IV.1.1 *Let M be a C^1-manifold of dimension n equipped with a right C^1-action of a discrete group G, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a G-equivariant foliation of codimension q on M. Let L be a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ and let $T : \mathbf{R}^q \to M$ be a transversal section of $\mathcal{F}$ with $T(0) \in L$. The equivariant holonomy homomorphism of L with respect to the transversal section T is the homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hol}_T^G : \pi_1^G(L, T(0)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbf{R}^q)$$

given by

$$\mathrm{Hol}_T^G(g,\varsigma) = \mathrm{Hol}_{\hat{g}\circ T,T}(\varsigma)$$

for any $(g,\varsigma) \in \pi_1^G(L,T(0))$.

Remark. First observe that the equivariant holonomy homomorphism is well-defined. In particular, the composition $\hat{g}\circ T$ is a transversal section with $(\hat{g}\circ T)(0) = T(0)\cdot g$. Note that the restriction of Hol_T^G to the fundamental group $\pi_1(L,T(0))$ is the usual holonomy homomorphism Hol_T of L with respect to T, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Hol}_T^G \circ inc_L = \mathrm{Hol}_T \ .$$

Let T' be another transversal section with $T'(0)\in L$, and choose a homotopy class (with fixed end-points) τ of a path in L from $T(0)$ to $T'(0)$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hol}_{T'}^G(g,\varsigma) &= \mathrm{Hol}_{\hat{g}\circ T',T'}(\varsigma)\\ &= \mathrm{Hol}_{\hat{g}\circ T',\hat{g}\circ T}(\hat{g}_*(\tau))\circ \mathrm{Hol}_{\hat{g}\circ T,T}(\hat{g}_*(\tau)^{-1}\varsigma\tau)\circ \mathrm{Hol}_{T,T'}(\tau^{-1})\\ &= \mathrm{Hol}_{T',T}(\tau)\circ \mathrm{Hol}_T^G(\tau^{\diamond}(g,\varsigma))\circ \mathrm{Hol}_{T',T}(\tau)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

for any $(g,\varsigma)\in\pi_1^G(L,T'(0))$. In other words, the homomorphisms $\mathrm{Hol}_{T'}^G$ and $\mathrm{Hol}_T^G\circ\tau^{\diamond}$ differ by the conjugation by $\mathrm{Hol}_{T',T}(\tau)$ in $\mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbf{R}^q)$, i.e. we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{Hol}_{T'}^G \cong \mathrm{Hol}_T^G\circ\tau^{\diamond}$. Therefore we will write

$$\mathrm{Hol}_L^G : \pi_1^G(L) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbf{R}^q)$$

for the isomorphism class of the equivariant holonomy homomorphism of L. The group $\mathrm{Hol}_L^G(\pi_1^G(L))$ is called the *equivariant holonomy group* of L.

Intuitively, for any $g\in G_L$ the germ

$$\mathrm{Hol}_T^G(g,\varsigma)$$

is the transversal part of the diffeomorphism $\hat{g}$ around L, but determined up to the choice of a homotopy class ς of a path from $T(0)$ to $T(0)\cdot g$ in L. In fact, it is only the holonomy class of ς which matters.

The geometric picture is particularly clear if the group G_L has a fixed point in L, so we can choose T so that $T(0)$ is that fixed point. In this case there is a splitting

$$s : G_L \longrightarrow \pi_1^G(L,T(0))$$

of the sequence (IV.1) given by $s(g) = (g,1)$, and $\pi_1^G(L,T(0))$ is a semi-direct product of G_L and $\pi_1(L,T(0))$. In particular, the transversal part of $\hat{g}$ may be seen as the germ $\mathrm{Hol}_T^G(s(g))$.

In general, since $\pi_1(L,T(0))$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1^G(L,T(0))$, it follows that the holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}_T(\pi_1(L,T(0)))$ of L is a normal subgroup of the equivariant holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}_T^G(\pi_1^G(L,T(0)))$ of L. The quotient

$$\mathrm{Hol}_T^G(\pi_1^G(L,T(0)))/\,\mathrm{Hol}_T(\pi_1(L,T(0)))$$

is thus the contribution of the action of G to the equivariant holonomy of L.

We say that the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is *equivariantly transversely orientable* around a leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$ if all the germs in $\mathrm{Hol}_L^G(\pi_1^G(L))$ preserve the orientation of $\mathbf{R}^q$, i.e. if the equivariant holonomy homomorphism Hol_L^G actually maps the equivariant holonomy group of L into the group $\mathrm{Diff}_0^+(\mathbf{R}^q)$. In particular, if $\mathcal{F}$ is equivariantly transversely orientable around L, then $\mathcal{F}$ is transversely orientable around L. Moreover, note that if $\mathcal{F}$ is transversely orientable around L and $g \in G_L$, then all the germs in $\mathrm{Hol}_L^G(pr_L^{-1}(g))$ either preserve or invert the orientation of $\mathbf{R}^q$.

Let L be a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$. Define the group

$$G_L^\sharp = pr_L(\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{Hol}_L^G) \subset G_L \; .$$

Observe that this group does not depend on the choice of a transversal section through the leaf L. An element $g \in G_L$ belongs to $G_L^\sharp$ if and only if there exists a homotopy class ς of a path in L from a point x to $x \cdot g$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hol}_L^G(g, \varsigma) = 1 \; .$$

With x fixed, the class ς is determined uniquely up to holonomy. According to the previous remark, $G_L^\sharp$ may be regarded as the subgroup of those elements of G_L which act transversely trivially around L.

The important fact about the group $G_L^\sharp$ is that it canonically acts on the holonomy covering space $L^\sharp$ of the leaf L, i.e. on a covering space of L which corresponds to the kernel of the holonomy homomorphism of L. Explicitly, if

$$\zeta : L^\sharp \longrightarrow L$$

is the holonomy covering projection, we define for any $g \in G_L^\sharp$ and $e \in L^\sharp$

$$e \cdot g = \tilde{\sigma}(1) \; ,$$

where σ is a path in L such that $\sigma(0) = \zeta(e)$, $\sigma(1) = \sigma(0) \cdot g$ and

$$\mathrm{Hol}_L^G(g, [\sigma]) = 1 \; ,$$

and where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the unique lift of σ in $L^\sharp$ with $\tilde{\sigma}(0) = e$. Here $[\sigma]$ denotes the homotopy class of the path σ. Since such a path is uniquely determined up to holonomy, the definition makes sense. It gives a right C^1-action of $G_L^\sharp$ on $L^\sharp$ such that ζ is equivariant. Hence ζ induces a continuous map

$$\bar{\zeta} : L^\sharp / G_L^\sharp \longrightarrow L / G_L \; .$$

We say that the action of G is *leafwise separated* if the orbit space $L^\sharp / G_L^\sharp$ is Hausdorff, for any leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$.

Example IV.1.2 Let M and N be finite-dimensional C^1-manifolds (not necessarily Hausdorff), and let $p : M \to N$ be a C^1-submersion. The submersion p induces a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M. The leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are the connected components of the fibers of p.

Assume that M is equipped with a C^1-action of a discrete group G such that

$$p(x \cdot g) = p(x)$$

for any $x \in M$ and $g \in G$. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is clearly invariant under this action. Such an equivariant foliation $\mathcal{F}$ will be referred to as *simple* equivariant foliation. If L is a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$, it is clear that both the holonomy group and also the equivariant holonomy group of L are trivial. Thus $L^\sharp \cong L$ and $G^\sharp_L = G_L$. In particular, the action of G is leafwise separated if and only if the orbit spaces of the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are Hausdorff.

Denote by $r : M \to M/G$ the quotient projection. The submersion p induces a continuous map $p/G : M/G \to N$ such that

$$p = p/G \circ r \ .$$

Let $y \in p(M)$. The restriction

$$r|_{p^{-1}(y)} : p^{-1}(y) \longrightarrow (p/G)^{-1}(y)$$

is an open surjection. Since p is a submersion, the fiber $p^{-1}(y)$ is locally connected, and hence so is the fiber $(p/G)^{-1}(y)$. This implies that if L is a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ then $r(L)$ is a connected component of the corresponding fiber of p/G. Moreover, the restriction $r|_L : L \to r(L)$ is open, hence

$$r(L) \cong L/G_L \ .$$

In other words, the orbit spaces of the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are isomorphic with the connected components of the fibers of p/G.

Now let $(\varphi_i : U_i \to \mathbf{R}^{n-q} \times \mathbf{R}^q)_{i \in I}$ be the maximal atlas for $\mathcal{F}$. If $i \in I$ and $g \in G$, denote by $i \cdot g$ the element of I such that

$$\varphi_i \circ \hat{g}^{-1}|_{U_i \cdot g} = \varphi_{i \cdot g} \ ,$$

as in Example II.1.8 (3). Also denote $s_i = pr_2 \circ \varphi_i$, and let $c = (c_{ij})$ be the $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-cocycle on (U_i) corresponding to the family of submersions (s_i) (see Section I.1). Let $(\Sigma(c), p, w)$ be the principal $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-bundle over M associated to this cocycle. Write $E = \Sigma(c)$. An element of E is of the form $[\gamma, x, i]$, where $\gamma \in \Gamma^q_{C^1}$, $i \in I$ and $x \in U_i$ with $dom\, \gamma = s_i(x)$. The map $p : E \to \mathbf{R}^q$ is a submersion. In particular, the fibers of p, i.e. the fibers if E, are locally path-connected.

Recall from Example II.1.8 (3) that G acts on E by

$$[\gamma, x, i] \cdot g = [\gamma, x \cdot g, i \cdot g] \ .$$

This action induces an action of the étale groupoid $G(M)$ on E, and E becomes a C^1-principal $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-$G(M)$-bibundle. We shall now investigate the correspondence between the equivariant holonomy groups of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ and the holonomy groups of leaves of the $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-$G(M)$-bibundle E.

First note that since p is a submersion, it defines a foliation $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$ on E. Clearly we have

$$p(e \cdot g) = p(e)$$

for any $e \in E$ and $g \in G$, i.e. $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$ is a simple G-equivariant foliation on E (Example IV.1.2). Since the underlying principal $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-bundle of E is just the one which represents the foliation $\mathcal{F}$, the map w maps the leaves of $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$ onto the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, the map w restricted to a leaf of $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$ is the holonomy covering projection onto the image leaf of $\mathcal{F}$. Thus we proved:

Proposition IV.1.3 *Let M be a C^1-manifold of dimension n equipped with a C^1-action of a discrete group G, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a G-equivariant foliation of dimension q on M. There exists a C^1-manifold $M^\sharp$ equipped with a C^1-action of G, a simple G-equivariant foliation $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$ on $M^\sharp$ given by a submersion $p : M^\sharp \to \mathbf{R}^q$, and a G-equivariant local C^1-diffeomorphism $w : M^\sharp \to M$ which maps the leaves of $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$ onto the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ as the holonomy covering projection.*

Let $y \in \mathbf{R}^q$. A $G(M)$-connected component of the fiber E_y is of course a minimal G-invariant union of leaves of $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$. Since the map w is G-equivariant, a leaf of the $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-$G(M)$-bibundle E is a minimal G-invariant union of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$, equipped with the action of G. Each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is open and embedded in the corresponding leaf of E. Therefore a leaf of E is exactly the disjoint union of those leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ which have the same orbit space in M/G. Observe that the leaves of the associated transitive $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-bundle over $M/G = |G(M)|$ are exactly the orbit spaces of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ in M/G.

Choose $x_0 \in M$ and $i \in I$ such that $x_0 \in U_i$ and $\varphi_i(x_0) = 0$. In particular, we have $s_i(x_0) = 0$. We can assume without loss of generality that φ_i is surjective. Let $T : \mathbf{R}^q \to M$ be the transversal section of $\mathcal{F}$ given by

$$T(y) = \varphi_i^{-1}(0, y) \; .$$

Choose $e \in E$ such that $w(e) = x_0$. Hence

$$e = [\gamma, x_0, i]$$

for a unique $\gamma \in \Gamma^q_{C^1}$. Let $L^\sharp$ be the leaf of $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$ with $e \in L^\sharp$. Hence $L^\sharp \cdot G$ is the corresponding $G(M)$-connected component of the fiber $E_{p(e)}$. Let L be the leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ with $x_0 \in L$. The restriction

$$\zeta = w|_{L^\sharp} : L^\sharp \longrightarrow L$$

is the holonomy covering projection. Denote by $L \cdot G$ the leaf of E corresponding to $L^\sharp \cdot G$. Observe that

$$\pi_1(G(M)(L \cdot G), x_0) \cong \pi_1(G_L(L), x_0) \cong \pi_1^G(L, x_0) \ .$$

Denote this isomorphism by $\Phi : \pi_1^G(L, x_0) \to \pi_1(G(M)(L \cdot G), x_0)$. We will show that for any $(g, \varsigma) \in \pi_1^G(L, x_0)$ we have

$$\mathcal{H}_e(\Phi(g, \varsigma)) = \gamma \circ \mathrm{Hol}_T^G(g, \varsigma) \circ \gamma^{-1} \ .$$

In other words, the equivariant holonomy homomorphism of L and the holonomy homomorphism of the corresponding leaf of E differ by the conjugation by γ.

Let $(g, \varsigma) \in \pi_1^G(L, x_0)$, and let σ be a path in L from x_0 to $x_0 \cdot g$ which represents ς. Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be the lift of σ along ζ such that $\tilde{\sigma}(0) = e$. Observe that

$$(\hat{g} \circ T)(y) = \varphi_{i \cdot g}^{-1}(0, y) \ .$$

This and the definition of holonomy imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}(1) &= [\gamma \circ \mathrm{Hol}_{\hat{g} \circ T, T}(\varsigma)^{-1}, x_0 \cdot g, i \cdot g] \\ &= [\gamma \circ \mathrm{Hol}_T^G(g, \varsigma)^{-1}, x_0, i] \cdot g \ . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(\gamma \circ \mathrm{Hol}_T^G(g, \varsigma) \circ \gamma^{-1}) \cdot \tilde{\sigma}(1) \cdot g^{-1} = e \ . \tag{IV.2}$$

On the other hand, the element $\Phi(g, \varsigma)$ is the homotopy class of the $G(M)$-loop

$$\varpi(x_0) \cdot (x_0, g) \cdot \sigma \in \Omega(G(M)(L \cdot G), x_0) \ ,$$

where $\varpi(x_0)$ denotes the constant path with the image point x_0. The lift of this $G(M)$-loop is clearly the $G(M)$-path in E

$$\varpi(\tilde{\sigma}(1) \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot (x_0, g) \cdot \tilde{\sigma} \ ,$$

hence $\tilde{\sigma}(1) \cdot g^{-1}$ is the end-point of this lift. Together with the equation (IV.2) this implies

$$\mathcal{H}_e([\varpi(x_0) \cdot (x_0, g) \cdot \sigma]) = \gamma \circ \mathrm{Hol}_T^G(g, \varsigma) \circ \gamma^{-1} \ .$$

Since another choice of e and T gives isomorphic holonomy homomorphisms, we can conclude that

$$\mathcal{H}_L \circ \Phi = \mathrm{Hol}_L^G \ .$$

Observe that the equation (IV.2) implies that

$$G_L^\sharp = G_{L^\sharp} \ .$$

Indeed, if $g \in G^{\sharp}_{L}$, there exists a path σ in L from x_0 to $x_0 \cdot g$ such that $\mathrm{Hol}^{G}_{T}(g, [\sigma]) = 1$. Therefore by the equation (IV.2) we have $e \cdot g \in L^{\sharp}$, hence $g \in G_{L^{\sharp}}$. Conversely, if $g \in G_{L^{\sharp}}$, there exists a path $\tilde{\sigma}$ in $L^{\sharp}$ from e to $e \cdot g$, and thus the equation (IV.2) gives

$$(\gamma \circ \mathrm{Hol}^{G}_{T}(g, [\zeta \circ \tilde{\sigma}]) \circ \gamma^{-1}) \cdot e = e \; .$$

Since E is principal, this implies that $\mathrm{Hol}^{G}_{T}(g, [\zeta \circ \tilde{\sigma}]) = 1$, and hence $g \in G^{\sharp}_{L}$.

The equation (IV.2) also shows that the action of $G_{L^{\sharp}}$ on $L^{\sharp}$ is precisely the canonical action of $G^{\sharp}_{L} = G_{L^{\sharp}}$ on the holonomy covering space $L^{\sharp}$ of L.

IV.2 Equivariant Stability Theorems

As an application of the stability theorems of Chapter III, we shall give in this Section the equivariant version of the Reeb-Thurston stability theorem. We start with a theorem for the special case of a simple equivariant foliation.

Theorem IV.2.1 *Let M be a C^1-manifold of dimension n equipped with a C^1-action of a discrete group G. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a simple G-equivariant foliation on M given by a submersion $p : M \to N$, where N is a C^1-manifold. Assume that the orbit space of any leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is Hausdorff. If L is a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ with compact orbit space, then for any G-invariant open neighbourhood of L there exists a smaller G-invariant open neighbourhood of L which is a union of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ with compact orbit spaces.*

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that $N = \mathbf{R}^q$. Let L be a leaf with compact orbit space and V an open G-invariant neighbourhood of L. Denote by $r : M \to M/G$ the quotient projection and by $p/G : M/G \to \mathbf{R}^q$ the map induced by p as in Example IV.1.2.

We know from Example IV.1.2 that the fibers of p/G are locally path-connected, and that the connected components of the fibers of p/G are exactly the orbit spaces of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. In particular, the connected components of the fibers of p/G are Hausdorff.

Now $r(V)$ is an open neighbourhood of the compact connected component L/G_L of p/G. Hence we can apply Corollary III.1.4 to find an open neighbourhood $W \subset r(V)$ of L/G_L in M/G which is a union of compact leaves of p/G. Now we take

$$U = r^{-1}(W) \; .$$

Since V is G-invariant, we have $U \subset V$. Clearly U is an open G-invariant neighbourhood of L which is a union of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. If L' is a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ with $L' \subset U$, then

$$r(L') = L'/G_{L'} \subset W \; ,$$

therefore the orbit space of L' is compact.

■

Remark. The condition that the action is leafwise separated is a necessary one. Indeed, take for example $G = 1$ and define M to be the (non-Hausdorff) C^1-manifold

$$M = (S^1 \times \mathbf{R}) \amalg (\mathbf{R} \times (0,\infty))/\sim\ ,$$

where $((\phi, x), 1) \sim ((e^{i\phi}, x), 0)$ if $0 < x < e^{\phi}$. Here $S^1 = \{\, z \in \mathbf{C} \,|\, |z| = 1 \,\}$. The submersion $p : M \to \mathbf{R}$ given by the second projection on both summands in the coproduct has connected fibres. The fiber over 0 is diffeomorphic to S^1, but a fiber over any $x > 0$ in not compact. In other words, the classical Reeb stability theorem does not hold for non-Hausdorff manifolds.

If we assume that M is Hausdorff, this does not really simplify the situation. For example, take $M = \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and let p be the second projection. Define an action of $\mathbf{Z}$ on M along the fibers of p generated by the C^1-diffeomorphism

$$\hat{1}(x, y) = (x + \frac{\sin(xy)}{2y}, y)\ .$$

The orbit spaces of the leaves in $p^{-1}(0)$ are diffeomorphic with S^1, but all the other leaves have non-compact non-Hausdorff orbit spaces.

Theorem IV.2.2 (Equivariant Reeb stability) *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on a finite-dimensional C^1-manifold M, invariant under a leafwise separated C^1-action of a discrete group G on M. Let L be a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ such that*

- *(i) the orbit space of L is compact, and*
- *(ii) the equivariant holonomy group of L is finite.*

Then for any G-saturated open neighbourhood of L there exists a smaller G-saturated open neighbourhood of L which is a union of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ with compact orbit spaces.

Proof. Consider the C^1-principal $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-$G(M)$-bibundle (E, p, w) associated to the equivariant foliation $\mathcal{F}$, as in Section IV.1. Since p is a submersion, the fibers of E are locally path-connected. Let $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$ be the foliation on E given by the submersion p.

Let $L'^\sharp$ be a leaf of $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$. We know that $w(L'^\sharp)$ is the underlying set of a leaf L' of $\mathcal{F}$, and the restriction

$$\zeta' = w|_{L'^\sharp} : L'^\sharp \to L'$$

is the holonomy covering projection. Since

$$G^\sharp_{L'} = G_{L'^\sharp}\ ,$$

and the action of $G_{L'^\sharp}$ on $L'^\sharp$ is precisely the canonical action of $G^\sharp_{L'} = G_{L'^\sharp}$ on the holonomy covering space $L'^\sharp$ of L', we have

$$L'^\sharp / G^\sharp_{L'} = L'^\sharp / G_{L'^\sharp} \ .$$

By the assumption that the action of G on M is leafwise separated this implies that the action of G on E is leafwise separated with respect to $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$. Moreover, since

$$|G(M)(L'^\sharp \cdot G)| = |G_{L'^\sharp}(L'^\sharp)| = L'^\sharp / G_{L'^\sharp} \ ,$$

the leaves of the bibundle E are $G(M)$-Hausdorff.

Next, we saw in Section IV.1 that the leaves of the bibundle E are just the minimal G-invariant (disjoint) unions of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. In particular, if V is an open G-invariant neighbourhood of L, it is also a $G(M)$-invariant neighbourhood of the corresponding leaf of E. Moreover, a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ has compact orbit space if and only if the corresponding leaf of E is $G(M)$-compact. In particular, the leaf $L \cdot G$ of E which correspond to the leaf L is $G(M)$-compact. The holonomy group of $L \cdot G$ is finite since it is isomorphic to the equivariant holonomy group of L. Thus we can apply Theorem III.1.6.

■

Next theorem gives the equivariant version of the Thurston generalization [39] of the Reeb stability theorem for foliations of codimension one:

Theorem IV.2.3 (Equivariant Reeb-Thurston stability) *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of codimension one on a finite-dimensional C^1-manifold M, invariant under a leafwise separated C^1-action of a discrete group G on M. Let L be a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ with finitely generated equivariant fundamental group such that*

(i) the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is equivariantly transversely orientable around L,

(ii) the orbit space of L is compact, and

(iii) the first equivariant cohomology group of L with coefficients in $\mathbf{R}$ is trivial.

Then the equivariant holonomy group of L is trivial, and for any G-saturated open neighbourhood of L there exists a smaller G-saturated open neighbourhood of L which is a union of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ with compact orbit spaces.

Remark. By definition, the first equivariant cohomology group $H^1_{G_L}(L;\mathbf{R})$ is the first ordinary cohomology group of the space $L \times_{G_L} \boldsymbol{E}G_L$, where $\boldsymbol{E}G_L$ is the universal G_L-bundle [1]. Since

$$\pi_1^G(L) \cong \pi_1(L \times_{G_L} \boldsymbol{E}G_L) \ ,$$

the Hurewicz formula implies that the condition (iii) is equivalent to the condition

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1^G(L), \mathbf{R}) = \{0\} \ .$$

In particular, the exact sequence (IV.1) yields that if the first ordinary cohomology group $H^1(L;\mathbf{R})$ is trivial and $\mathrm{Hom}(G_L, \mathbf{R}) = \{0\}$ then the condition (iii) is satisfied. Next note that if $\pi_1(L)$ and G_L are finitely generated, then the equivariant fundamental group $\pi_1^G(L)$ is also finitely generated.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem IV.2.2, we consider the C^1-principal $\Gamma^q_{C^1}$-$G(M)$-bibundle (E, p, w) associated to the equivariant foliation $\mathcal{F}$ (see Section IV.1). With the description of leaves and holonomy of this bundle as in Section IV.1, the theorem follows from Corollary III.3.6.

■

Example IV.2.4 (1) Let $M = \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be given by the submersion $p = pr_2 : M \to \mathbf{R}$. Take $G = \mathbf{Z}$ and define a properly discontinuous C^1-action of G on M by

$$(x, y) \cdot g = (2^g x, 2^g y)$$

for any $(x, y) \in M$ and $g \in G$ (see Example I.1.3 (5)). The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is invariant under this action.

All the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ have trivial fundamental group and trivial holonomy. Also, any leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$ with $0 \notin p(L)$ has trivial isotropy group, therefore trivial equivariant fundamental group and trivial equivariant holonomy group. Now let L be the leaf

$$\{\, (x, 0) \,|\, x > 0 \,\}$$

of $\mathcal{F}$. The isotropy group of L is clearly all G, and also the equivariant fundamental group and the equivariant holonomy group of L are isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}$.

This example shows that we can not expect a stability theorem without assuming that the equivariant holonomy group of a leaf with compact orbit space is finite.

(2) Let $M = \mathbf{R} \times S^1$, where $S^1 = \{\, z \in \mathbf{C} \,|\, |z| = 1 \,\}$, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the foliation on M given by the submersion $p = pr_2 : M \to S^1$. Let $G = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$, let $\alpha \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$, and define a C^1-action of G on M by

$$(x, z) \cdot (k, k') = (x + k, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i \alpha k'} z)$$

for any $(x, z) \in M$ and $(k, k') \in G$. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is invariant under this action. If L is a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$, we have

$$G_L \cong \pi_1^G(L) \cong \mathbf{Z} \ ,$$

but the equivariant holonomy group of L is trivial. The group G_L acts properly discontinuously on L and the orbit space of L is diffeomorphic to S^1, so the action is leafwise separated. Thus the conditions of Theorem IV.2.2 are satisfied.

(3) Let $n \geq 2$, and denote by $\mathcal{M}_n$ the C^1-manifold of $n \times n$-matrices over $\mathbf{R}$. Consider the determinant map $\det : \mathcal{M}_n \to \mathbf{R}$. This map is a submersion on the open submanifold of $\mathcal{M}_n$

$$M = \{\, A \in \mathcal{M}_n \mid \dim \operatorname{Ker} A \leq 1 \,\} ,$$

with connected fibers. Hence the fibers of the determinant map are the leaves of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M. We will denote by L_0 the fiber $\det^{-1}(0)$. We have $M = GL_n(\mathbf{R}) \cup L_0$, where $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$ is open, but not closed in M.

Now take G to be the group $SL_n(\mathbf{R})$ of matrices in $\mathcal{M}_n$ with determinant 1. There is a C^1-action of the group G on M given by

$$A \cdot g = g^{-1} A \qquad A \in M,\, g \in G .$$

This action is along the fibers of the determinant map. In particular,

$$M/G \cong GL_n(\mathbf{R})/G \cup L_0/G \cong \mathbf{R}^* \cup L_0/G ,$$

where $\mathbf{R}^* = \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$. For any $A \in L_0$, the kernel of A is a line in $\mathbf{R}^n$, hence we have a C^1-map $\operatorname{Ker} : L_0 \to \mathbf{R}P^{n-1}$. This map is clearly a surjective submersion. On the other hand, we have

$$\operatorname{Ker}(A \cdot g) = \operatorname{Ker} A$$

for any $A \in L_0$ and $g \in G$, therefore Ker factors as

$$f : L_0/G \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}P^{n-1} .$$

Since for any $A, B \in L_0$ with $\operatorname{Ker} A = \operatorname{Ker} B$ one can find a matrix $g \in G$ such that $gA = B$, the map f is a homeomorphism. In particular, L_0/G is compact.

The orbit spaces of the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ which lie in $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$ are the points in $\mathbf{R}^*$, and the orbit space of L_0 is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}P^{n-1}$. The space L_0/G is not open in M/G. This example satisfies the conditions of Theorem IV.2.1.

(4) Let N be a connected C^1-manifold of dimension n. A family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of C^1-diffeomorphisms of N has a *compact filling* if there exists a compact set $K \subset N$ such that

$$\bigcup \{ (f_{\lambda_1}^{n_1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{\lambda_k}^{n_k})(K) \mid k \geq 1,\ n_i \in \mathbf{Z},\ \lambda_i \in \Lambda \} = N .$$

Observe that $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ has a compact filling if and only if the quotient space $N/G_{(f_\lambda)}$ is compact, where $G_{(f_\lambda)}$ is the group of diffeomorphisms of N generated by $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. The family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is called *separated* if the space $N/G_{(f_\lambda)}$ is Hausdorff.

A C^1-perturbation of a family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ of C^1-diffeomorphisms of N is a family of C^1-maps

$$(P_\lambda : (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon) \times N \longrightarrow N)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$$

such that $P^t_\lambda = P_\lambda(t, \text{-}\,)$ is a C^1-diffeomorphism and $P^0_\lambda = f_\lambda$, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $t \in (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$. The perturbation $(P_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is called *separated* if the family $(P^t_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is separated, for any $t \in (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$.

Assume that $(P_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is a separated C^1-perturbation of a family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ of C^1-diffeomorphisms of N with a compact filling. We will show that Theorem IV.2.1 implies that there exists $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ such that for any $|\,t\,| \le \delta$, the family

$$(P^t_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$$

has a compact filling.

To see this, let $M = (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon) \times N$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the foliation of codimension one on M given by the first projection pr_1. For any λ, we have a C^1-diffeomorphism α_λ of M, given by $\alpha_\lambda(t,x) = (t, P_\lambda(t,x))$, which preserves $\mathcal{F}$. Let G be the group of diffeomorphisms of M generated by $(\alpha_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$. This action of G on M is leafwise separated since the perturbation is separated. Observe that a leaf $L_t = pr_1^{-1}(t)$ has compact orbit space for the action of G precisely if the family $(P^t_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ has a compact filling.

Chapter V

Invariants of Hilsum-Skandalis Maps

In this Chapter we study some algebraic invariants of topological groupoids. First we use the Hilsum-Skandalis maps to introduce (higher) homotopy groups and singular homology groups of topological groupoids. These invariants can be described particularly easy if the groupoids are étale. However, our main example is the groupoid of leaves of a foliation, which is not étale but Morita equivalent to an étale groupoid. It is therefore our primary objective to establish that the higher homotopy groups and the singular homology groups are invariant under Morita equivalence.

In Section II.1 we proved that the Morita equivalent groupoids are exactly the isomorphic groupoids in the category of Hilsum-Skandalis maps. Thus the invariance under Morita equivalence is guaranteed if we define the higher homotopy groups and singular homology groups as functors on this category. Moreover, the definition of these algebraic invariants is formally the same as the classical definitions for topological spaces, provided that we replace the category of topological spaces with that of Hilsum-Skandalis maps.

If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an object-separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoid, the complex C^r_c-functions on $\boldsymbol{G}_1$ with the convolution product form the associative algebra $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$ with local units, see [3, 7, 8, 15, 18, 34, 35]. Moreover, there is a natural norm on $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$ so that the completion of $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$ is a C^*-algebra. In the last Section we associate to a Hilsum-Skandalis C^r-map E between object-separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ an isomorphism class of a $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$-$C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})$-bimodule $C^r_c(E)$. We show that this gives a functor from the category of Hilsum-Skandalis C^r-maps between separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids to the category of isomorphism classes of locally unital bimodules over algebras with local units. This implies, for example, that the algebras associated to C^r-Morita equivalent separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids have isomorphic cyclic homology groups [9, 10, 31].

V.1 Homotopy Groups of Topological Groupoids

In this Section we present a possible definition of higher homotopy groups of topological groupoids. However, before we give the definition, we have to study how the principal bibundles can be amalgamated.

V.1.1 Amalgamations of Principal Bibundles

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ be topological groupoids, and let (E, p, w) be a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. If U is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-invariant subset of $\boldsymbol{H}_0$, then we have the full open subgroupoid $\boldsymbol{H}|_U$ of $\boldsymbol{H}$ with $(\boldsymbol{H}|_U)_0 = U$, which is naturally isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{H}(U)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{i} : \boldsymbol{H}|_U \to \boldsymbol{H}$ the embedding. Define

$$E|_U = w^{-1}(U) \ .$$

Since all the structure maps of E restrict to $E|_U$, it is clear that $E|_U$ is a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}|_U$-bibundle, naturally isomorphic to $E \otimes \langle \mathfrak{i} \rangle$. The bibundle $E|_U$ is called the *restriction* of E to $\boldsymbol{H}|_U$. With this, we get a notion of restriction of a Hilsum-Skandalis map. Observe that if $p : X \to Y$ is a continuous map and $U \subset X$, then $\langle p|_U \rangle = \langle p \rangle|_U$.

Assume now that $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ is a cover of $\boldsymbol{H}_0$, consisting of $\boldsymbol{H}$-invariant subsets of $\boldsymbol{H}_0$, which is either

(i) open, or

(ii) closed and locally finite.

Further assume that we have a family $(E_i)_{i \in I}$, where each $E_i = (E_i, p_i, w_i)$ is a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}|_{U_i}$-bibundle. We would like to amalgamate these bibundles into a single principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle, just like this can be done for continuous maps on topological spaces. Of course, we should assume that the bibundles match on the intersections $U_i \cap U_j$. In our context, this means that we should give a family of equivariant homeomorphisms

$$(\alpha_{ij} : E_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} \longrightarrow E_i|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{i,j \in I}$$

(more precisely, the map α_{ij} is $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}|_{U_i \cap U_j}$-equivariant) which satisfy the cocycle condition

$$\alpha_{ij}(\alpha_{jk}(e)) = \alpha_{ik}(e)$$

for any $e \in E_k|_{U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k}$. In particular, $\alpha_{ii} = id_{E_i}$.

With this, we define a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle (E,p,w) as follows: The space E is the quotient of

$$\coprod_{i\in I} E_i = \{\,(e,i)\,|\,i\in I,\, e\in E_i\,\}$$

by identifying (e,j) with $(\alpha_{ij}(e),i)$, for any $i,j\in I$ and $e\in E_j|_{U_i\cap U_j}$. Denote by $[e,i]\in E$ the equivalence class of an element $(e,i)\in\coprod_{i\in I}E_i$. The quotient projection $\coprod_{i\in I}E_i\to E$ is injective on the closed-open subsets $E_i\times\{i\}$ of $\coprod_{i\in I}E_i$. In fact, observe that the canonical map

$$t_i : E_i \to E\ ,$$

given by $t_i(e)=[e,i]$, is an open embedding in case (i) and closed embedding in case (ii). In particular, $(t_i(E_i))_{i\in I}$ is either an open or a closed locally finite cover of E.

Now we define the structure maps of E by $p([e,i])=p_i(e)$ and $w([e,i])=w_i(e)$. Obviously this is well-defined, and since $p\circ t_i=p_i$ and $w\circ t_i=w_i$, the maps p and w are continuous. Next, observe that w is an open surjection, since $w|_{t_i(E_i)}:t_i(E_i)\to U_i$ is an open surjection for any $i\in I$. Similarly we define the actions of $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ by amalgamating the actions on $t_i(E_i)\cong E_i$, i.e. $g\cdot[e,i]=[g\cdot e,i]$ and $[e,i]\cdot h=[e\cdot h,i]$. Again, these two actions are continuous since they are just the actions on E_i when restricted to $t_i(E_i)\cong E_i$. It is easy to check that E is indeed a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle.

The principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle E is called the *amalgamation* of (E_i) with respect to (α_{ij}). We will denote this bibundle by

$$\Sigma(E_i,\alpha_{ij})\ .$$

Observe that for each $i\in I$ we have the natural $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}|_{U_i}$-equivariant homeomorphism

$$t_i : E_i \longrightarrow \Sigma(E_i,\alpha_{ij})|_{U_i}\ .$$

Moreover, for any $e\in E_j|_{U_i\cap U_j}$ we have

$$t_j(e)=t_i(\alpha_{ij}(e))\ .$$

Example V.1.1 (1) Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid, X a topological space with an open cover $\mathcal{U}=(U_i)_{i\in I}$ and $c=(c_{ij})$ a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle on $\mathcal{U}$. For any $i\in I$ we have the map

$$s_i = \mathit{dom}\circ c_{ii} : U_i \to \boldsymbol{G}_0\ ,$$

which gives a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle

$$\langle s_i\rangle = \boldsymbol{G}_1\times_{\boldsymbol{G}_0} U_i$$

over U_i. Moreover, for any $i, j \in I$ we have the equivariant map $\alpha_{ij} : \langle s_j\rangle|_{U_i \cap U_j} \to \langle s_i\rangle|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ given by

$$\alpha_{ij}(g, x) = (g \circ c_{ji}(x), x) \ .$$

Since c is a cocycle, it follows that $\alpha_{ij}(\alpha_{jk}(g, x)) = \alpha_{ik}(g, x)$, thus we can construct the principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle $\Sigma(\langle s_i\rangle, \alpha_{ij})$. But observe that there is a natural equivariant homeomorphism

$$\Sigma(\langle s_i\rangle, \alpha_{ij}) \cong \Sigma(c) \ ,$$

where $\Sigma(c)$ is the principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle associated to the cocycle c. Thus the construction of $\Sigma(c)$ in Section I.3 is in fact a special case of amalgamation of principal bibundles.

(2) Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid and X a topological space. If $\mathcal{W} = (W_i)_{i\in I}$ is a closed locally finite cover of X, we can define a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle on $\mathcal{W}$ in exactly the same way as a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle on an open cover, i.e. as a family of continuous maps

$$c = (c_{ij} : W_i \cap W_j \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}_1)$$

which satisfy the usual conditions, see Section I.3. We say that c is constant (with the value $a \in \boldsymbol{G}_0$) if $c_{ij}(x) = 1_a$ for any $x \in W_i \cap W_j$. We can also define the families which intertwine $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycles on $\mathcal{W}$, and hence obtain the set $H^1(\mathcal{W}, \boldsymbol{G})$ of cohomology classes of $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycles on $\mathcal{W}$. Finally, we can take the filtered colimit

$$H^1_{cl}(X, \boldsymbol{G}) = \lim_{\to \mathcal{W}} H^1(\mathcal{W}, \boldsymbol{G})$$

with respect to the partially ordered set of closed locally finite covers of X. We call the elements of $H^1_{cl}(X, \boldsymbol{G})$ the *closed Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structures* on X.

If c is a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle on a closed locally finite cover of X, we take s_i and α_{ij} to be defined in the same way as in (1), and we obtain a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle

$$\Sigma(c) = \Sigma(s_i, \alpha_{ij}) \ .$$

It is easy to verify that if c' is another $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle on a closed locally finite cover of X which represents the same closed Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure on X as c, then $\Sigma(c)$ and $\Sigma(c')$ are isomorphic.

In fact, if X is paracompact, Σ induces a bijective correspondence between the closed Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structures on X and the isomorphism classes of principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundles over X. In particular we have $H^1(X, \boldsymbol{G}) \cong H^1_{cl}(X, \boldsymbol{G})$. The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition I.3.1. If X is compact, a closed Haefliger $\boldsymbol{G}$-structure on X can be represented by a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle defined on a closed finite cover of X.

V.1.2 Definition of Homotopy Groups

A *marked topological groupoid* is a pair $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$, where $\boldsymbol{G}$ is a topological groupoid and A is a subset of $\boldsymbol{G}_0$. If $(\boldsymbol{H}, B)$ is another marked topological groupoid, a *marked principal* $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$*-*$(\boldsymbol{H}, B)$*-bibundle* is a pair (E, s), where $E = (E, p, w)$ is a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle and $s : B \to E$ is a continuous section of w such that

$$p(s(B)) \subset A \; .$$

The section s is called the *trivialization* of E over B.

If (E, s) and (E', s') are two marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$-$(\boldsymbol{H}, B)$-bibundles, a continuous map $\alpha : E \to E'$ is called $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$-$(\boldsymbol{H}, B)$-equivariant if it is $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-equivariant (and hence a homeomorphism) and

$$\alpha \circ s = s' \; .$$

If such a map exists, the marked bibundles (E, s) and (E', s') are called isomorphic. An isomorphism class of marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$-$(\boldsymbol{H}, B)$-bibundles is called a *marked Hilsum-Skandalis map* from $(\boldsymbol{H}, B)$ to $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$. As for the principal bibundles, we will denote an isomorphism class of a marked principal bibundle (E, s) again by (E, s).

Let $(\boldsymbol{K}, C)$ be another marked topological groupoid, let $((E, p, w), s)$ be a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$-$(\boldsymbol{H}, B)$-bibundle, and let $((E', p', w'), s')$ be a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{H}, B)$-$(\boldsymbol{K}, C)$-bibundle. Then we define

$$s \otimes s' : C \longrightarrow E \otimes E'$$

by $(s \otimes s')(c) = s(p'(s'(c))) \otimes s'(c)$, for any $c \in C$. It is clear that $(E \otimes E', s \otimes s')$ is a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$-$(\boldsymbol{K}, C)$-bibundle, which we will call the *tensor product* of marked principal bibundles (E, s) and (E', s'), and denote by

$$(E, s) \otimes (E', s') \; .$$

With this tensor product, the marked Hilsum-Skandalis maps between marked topological groupoids form a category, which will be denoted by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{MG}pd}$. For example, the identity in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{MG}pd}((\boldsymbol{G}, A), (\boldsymbol{G}, A))$ is the isomorphism class of the marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$-$(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$-bibundle

$$((\boldsymbol{G}_1, cod, dom), uni\,|_A) \; .$$

The full subcategory of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{MG}pd}$ with objects all marked étale groupoids will be denoted by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{MG}pd}_e$.

A *pointed topological groupoid* is a marked topological groupoid $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$ such that A is a one-point set, i.e. $A = \{a\}$. We will write in this case $(\boldsymbol{G}, a) = (\boldsymbol{G}, \{a\})$. If $(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$ is another pointed topological groupoid and $((E, p, w), s)$ a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$-$(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$-bibundle, the section s is determined by the point $e = s(b)$ such that $p(e) = a$. We shall thus denote $(E, s) = (E, e)$ and call (E, e) a *pointed principal* $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$-$(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$-*bibundle.* An isomorphism class of pointed principal bibundles is called a *pointed Hilsum-Skandalis map.* The full subcategory of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{MG}pd}$ with objects all pointed topological groupoids will be denoted by

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{PG}pd}\ ,$$

and the full subcategory of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{MG}pd}_e$ with objects all pointed étale topological groupoids will be denoted by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{PG}pd}_e$. Observe that the category of pointed topological spaces **PTop** is a full subcategory of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{PG}pd}_e$.

Example V.1.2 (1) A marked topological space is a pair (X, B) with $B \subset X$, i.e. a topological pair. Also, a marked Hilsum-Skandalis map between two marked topological spaces is clearly just a continuous map between topological pairs. Hence the category of topological pairs is a full subcategory of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{MG}pd}_e$. If (X, B) is a marked topological space and $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$ a marked topological groupoid, a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$-(X, B)-bibundle will be referred to as marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$-bundle over (X, B).

(2) Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be a topological groupoid. Then $\langle\langle \boldsymbol{G} \rangle\rangle = (\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G}_0)$ is a marked topological groupoid. Moreover, if $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ is a continuous functor between topological groupoids, the principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle $\langle \phi \rangle$ is canonically marked by $(uni \circ \phi_0, id_{\boldsymbol{H}_0}) : \boldsymbol{H}_0 \to \langle \phi \rangle$, so

$$\langle\langle \phi \rangle\rangle = (\langle \phi \rangle, (uni \circ \phi_0, id_{\boldsymbol{H}_0}))$$

is a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G}_0)$-$(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{H}_0)$-bibundle. This gives a functor

$$\langle\langle\text{-}\rangle\rangle : \mathbf{Gpd} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{MG}pd}\ .$$

This functor is full and faithful. Indeed, Proposition II.1.5 implies that $\langle\langle\text{-}\rangle\rangle$ is full. On the other hand, if $\phi, \psi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ are continuous functors such that there is a $(\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G}_0)$-$(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{H}_0)$-equivariant homeomorphism

$$\alpha : \langle\langle \phi \rangle\rangle \longrightarrow \langle\langle \psi \rangle\rangle\ ,$$

this clearly implies $\phi_0 = \psi_0$, and for any $h \in \boldsymbol{H}_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi(h), dom\, h) &= \alpha(1_{\phi_0(cod\, h)}, cod\, h) \cdot h = \alpha(\phi(h), dom\, h) \\ &= \phi(h) \cdot \alpha(1_{\phi_0(dom\, h)}, dom\, h) = (\phi(h), dom\, h)\ , \end{aligned}$$

hence $\phi = \psi$. Thus $\langle\langle\text{-}\rangle\rangle$ is faithful.

Observe that if (E, s) and (E', s') are isomorphic marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G}_0)$-$(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{H}_0)$-bibundles, then there exists a unique isomorphism between them.

(3) Observe that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}$ is also a full subcategory of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{MG}pd}$ if we identify a topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ with the marked topological groupoid $(\boldsymbol{G}, \emptyset)$, and a principal bibundle E with the marked principal bibundle $(E, \emptyset)$.

(4) Let (E, s) be a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$-$(\boldsymbol{H}, B)$-bibundle, and let U be an $\boldsymbol{H}$-invariant subset of $\boldsymbol{H}_0$. Define $(\boldsymbol{H}, B)|_U = (\boldsymbol{H}|_U, B \cap U)$, and let

$$(E, s)|_U = (E|_U, s|_{B\cap U}) \ .$$

This is clearly a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, A)$-$(\boldsymbol{H}, B)|_U$-bibundle, which we call the *restriction* of (E, s) on $(\boldsymbol{H}, B)|_U$. This gives a notion of restriction of marked Hilsum-Skandalis maps.

Let $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$ be a pointed topological groupoid, and let $n \geq 0$. Denote by $\mathbf{I}^n = [0, 1]^n$ the n-cube and by $\partial\mathbf{I}^n$ its boundary. In particular, $\mathbf{I}^0$ is a one-point space and $\partial\mathbf{I}^0 = \emptyset$. Now put

$$\Theta_n(\boldsymbol{G}, a) = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{MG}pd}((\mathbf{I}^n, \partial\mathbf{I}^n), (\boldsymbol{G}, a)) \ .$$

The elements of $\Theta_n(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$ will be called the *Hilsum-Skandalis n-loops* in $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$.

For any $t \in \mathbf{I}$, denote by $l_t : \mathbf{I}^n \to \mathbf{I}^n \times \mathbf{I}$ the continuous map given by $l_t(x) = (x, t)$. Note that $l_t \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{MG}pd}((\mathbf{I}^n, \partial\mathbf{I}^n), (\mathbf{I}^n \times \mathbf{I}, \partial\mathbf{I}^n \times \mathbf{I}))$. A marked Hilsum-Skandalis map

$$\mathfrak{H} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{MG}pd}((\mathbf{I}^n \times \mathbf{I}, \partial\mathbf{I}^n \times \mathbf{I}), (\boldsymbol{G}, a))$$

is called the *homotopy* between the Hilsum-Skandalis n-loops $\mathfrak{H} \otimes l_0$ and $\mathfrak{H} \otimes l_1$ in $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$. We will denote $\mathfrak{H}_t = \mathfrak{H} \otimes l_t$, for any $t \in \mathbf{I}$. Two Hilsum-Skandalis n-loops in $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$ are *homotopic* if there exists a homotopy between them.

Lemma V.1.3 *Let $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$ be a pointed topological groupoid. Then the homotopy is an equivalence relation on $\Theta_n(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{H}'$ are homotopies such that $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{H}'_0$. We shall define a homotopy between $\mathfrak{H}_0$ and $\mathfrak{H}'_1$ as follows: Represent $\mathfrak{H}$ respectively $\mathfrak{H}'$ by marked principal bibundles (E, s) respectively (E', s'). Define $v : \mathbf{I}^n \times [0, 1/2] \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}^n \times \mathbf{I}$ by

$$v(x, t) = (x, 2t) \ ,$$

and $v' : \mathbf{I}^n \times [1/2, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}^n \times \mathbf{I}$ by

$$v'(x, t) = (x, 2t - 1) \ .$$

Now observe that the composition $(E, s) \otimes v$ is a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$-bundle over $(\mathbf{I}^n \times [0, 1/2], \partial\mathbf{I}^n \times [0, 1/2])$, and $(E', s') \otimes v'$ is a marked principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over $(\mathbf{I}^n \times [1/2, 1], \partial\mathbf{I}^n \times [1/2, 1])$. Moreover, since $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{H}'_0$ there exists an equivariant homeomorphism of marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$-bundles over $(\mathbf{I}^n \times \{1/2\}, \partial\mathbf{I}^n \times \{1/2\})$

$$\alpha : (E, s) \otimes v|_{\mathbf{I}^n \times \{1/2\}} \longrightarrow (E', s') \otimes v'|_{\mathbf{I}^n \times \{1/2\}} \ .$$

The bundles $E \otimes v$ and $E' \otimes v'$ thus amalgamate with respect to α into a single principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle E'' over $\mathbf{I}^n \times \mathbf{I}$, as we described in Subsection V.1.1. Moreover, since α is a homeomorphism of marked bundles, it is easy to see that using s and s' one can define $s'' : \partial\mathbf{I}^n \times \mathbf{I} \to E''$, such that (E'', s'') is a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$-bibundle over $(\mathbf{I}^n \times \mathbf{I}, \partial\mathbf{I}^n \times \mathbf{I})$ which represents a homotopy between $\mathfrak{H}_0$ and $\mathfrak{H}'_1$. This proves the transitivity, and the rest is trivial.

■

Assume now that $n \geq 1$, and let (E, s) and (E', s') be marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$-bundles over $(\mathbf{I}^n, \partial\mathbf{I}^n)$. We shall now define a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$-bundle

$$(E * E', s * s')$$

over $(\mathbf{I}^n, \partial\mathbf{I}^n)$, called the *concatenation* of (E, s) and (E', s'), as follows: Define $u : [1/2, 1] \times \mathbf{I}^{n-1} \to \mathbf{I}^n$ by $u(t, x) = (2t - 1, x)$ and $u' : [0, 1/2] \times \mathbf{I}^{n-1} \to \mathbf{I}^n$ by $u'(t, x) = (2t, x)$. Observe that $(E, s) \otimes u$ is a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$-bundle over $([1/2, 1] \times \mathbf{I}^{n-1}, \partial([1/2, 1] \times \mathbf{I}^{n-1}))$, and $(E', s') \otimes u'$ is a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$-bundle over $([0, 1/2] \times \mathbf{I}^{n-1}, \partial([0, 1/2] \times \mathbf{I}^{n-1}))$. Moreover, there is exactly one equivariant homeomorphism of marked principal bibundles

$$\alpha : (E, s) \otimes u|_{\{1/2\} \times \mathbf{I}^{n-1}} \longrightarrow (E', s') \otimes u'|_{\{1/2\} \times \mathbf{I}^{n-1}} ,$$

since $\{1/2\} \times \mathbf{I}^{n-1} = \partial([0, 1/2] \times \mathbf{I}^{n-1}) \cap \partial([1/2, 1] \times \mathbf{I}^{n-1})$, see Example V.1.2 (2). Thus we can amalgamate the bundles $(E, s) \otimes u$ and $(E', s') \otimes u'$ with respect to α. We take $E * E'$ to be the amalgamation. Using s and s' we can define a section $s * s' : \partial\mathbf{I}^n \to E * E'$ such that $(E * E', s * s')$ is a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$-bibundle over $(\mathbf{I}^n, \partial\mathbf{I}^n)$.

The concatenation of principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$-bundles over $(\mathbf{I}^n, \partial\mathbf{I}^n)$ gives a notion of concatenation of Hilsum-Skandalis n-loops in $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$. Indeed, if $(E, s) \cong (E_1, s_1)$ and $(E', s') \cong (E'_1, s'_1)$, then these two isomorphisms amalgamate into an isomorphism

$$(E * E', s * s') \cong (E_1 * E'_1, s_1 * s'_1) .$$

Moreover, the concatenation on $\Theta_n(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$ induces a concatenation between the homotopy classes of Hilsum-Skandalis n-loops in $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$, as one can check by amalgamating the homotopies. Finally, it is easy to see that with the operation of concatenation, the set of homotopy classes of Hilsum-Skandalis n-loops in $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$ becomes a group, called the *n-th homotopy group* of $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$. We will denote this group by

$$\Pi_n(\boldsymbol{G}, a) .$$

For example, the unit element in $\Pi_n(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$ is the homotopy class of the marked principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$-bundle $(\langle \varpi(a) \rangle, s)$, where $\varpi(a) : \mathbf{I}^n \to \boldsymbol{G}_0 \subset \boldsymbol{G}$ is the constant map with the image point a, and $s(x) = (1_a, x)$ for any $x \in \partial\mathbf{I}^n$. Observe that we can also define $\Pi_0(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$ as the set of homotopy classes of 0-loops in $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$.

Now let $(E, e) \in \mathcal{PGpd}((\boldsymbol{H}, b), (\boldsymbol{G}, a))$. We can define for any $n \geq 0$ a function

$$(E, e)_{\#} = \mathcal{MGpd}((\mathbf{I}^n, \partial\mathbf{I}^n), (E, e)) : \Theta_n(\boldsymbol{H}, b) \longrightarrow \Theta_n(\boldsymbol{G}, a) \ ,$$

i.e. $(E, e)_{\#}$ is given by the tensor product with (E, e). The function $(E, e)_{\#}$ preserves homotopy, because one can compose a homotopy with (E, e). Therefore there is an induced map

$$(E, e)_* = \Pi_n(E, e) : \Pi_n(\boldsymbol{H}, b) \longrightarrow \Pi_n(\boldsymbol{G}, a) \ .$$

It is straightforward to check that this is a homomorphism of groups if $n \geq 1$. We get a functor Π_0 from $\mathcal{PGpd}$ to the category of sets, and a functor Π_n from $\mathcal{PGpd}$ to the category of groups, for any $n \geq 1$. One can prove that $\Pi_n(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$ is in fact abelian if $n \geq 2$, using exactly the same argument as in the proof of this fact for the higher homotopy groups of topological spaces.

Example V.1.4 (1) Let (X, b) be a pointed topological space. In this case the definition of homotopy groups of (X, b) as a pointed groupoid is exactly the classical definition of homotopy groups for the pointed space (X, b), hence

$$\Pi_n(X, b) = \pi_n(X, b) \qquad n \geq 0 \ .$$

(2) Let G be a discrete group. As an étale groupoid, G is canonically pointed. A principal G-bundle over $\mathbf{I}^n$ is a covering space, hence isomorphic to the product $G \times \mathbf{I}^n$. A marked principal G-bundle over $(\mathbf{I}^n, \partial\mathbf{I}^n)$ is thus isomorphic to

$$(G \times \mathbf{I}^n, s) \ ,$$

where $s : \partial\mathbf{I}^n \to G \times \mathbf{I}^n$ is a section of the second projection. In other words, s may be represented by a locally constant function from $\partial\mathbf{I}^n$ to G. Now if $n \geq 2$ such a function has to be constant, therefore

$$\Pi_n(G) = 1 \qquad n \geq 2 \ .$$

Also it is clear that $\Pi_0(G) = 1$. Finally, for $n = 1$, any marked principal G-bundle over $(\mathbf{I}, \partial\mathbf{I})$ is isomorphic to $(G \times \mathbf{I}, s)$ with $s(0) = (1, 0)$, hence uniquely characterized by $g \in G$ such that $s(1) = (g, 1)$. Moreover, this element characterize the homotopy class of the bundle as well. It is then straightforward that

$$\Pi_1(G) = G \ .$$

V.1.3 Elementary Properties of Homotopy Groups

Proposition V.1.5 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ be Morita equivalent topological groupoids. Then for any $b \in \boldsymbol{H}_0$ there exists $a \in \boldsymbol{G}_0$ such that*

$$\Pi_n(\boldsymbol{H}, b) \cong \Pi_n(\boldsymbol{G}, a) \qquad n \geq 0 \ .$$

In particular, if $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ *is an essential equivalence, then*

$$(\langle\phi\rangle, (1_{\phi_0(b)}, b))_* : \Pi_n(\boldsymbol{H}, b) \longrightarrow \Pi_n(\boldsymbol{G}, \phi_0(b))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Choose $b \in \boldsymbol{H}_0$. By Corollary II.1.7 there exists a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle (E, p, w) which represents an isomorphism between $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ in $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$. Let (E', p', w') be a bundle which represents the inverse of E. In particular, there exists an equivariant homeomorphism $\alpha : \boldsymbol{H}_1 \to E' \otimes E$. Let $\alpha(1_b) = e' \otimes e$. Put $a = p(e) = w'(e')$. Hence (E, e) is a pointed principal $(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$-$(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$-bibundle and (E', e') is a pointed principal $(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$-$(\boldsymbol{G}, a)$-bibundle. We claim that (E, e) and (E', e') are inverse to each other in the category $\mathcal{PG}\boldsymbol{pd}$.

To see this, observe first that $(E', e') \otimes (E, e) \cong (\boldsymbol{H}_1, a)$. Next, we know that there exists an equivariant homeomorphism $\beta : E \otimes E' \to \boldsymbol{G}_1$, hence $(E, e) \otimes (E', e') \cong (\boldsymbol{G}_1, g)$ for some $g \in \boldsymbol{G}_1$ with $\mathit{dom}\, g = \mathit{cod}\, g = a$. But since $(\boldsymbol{G}_1, g)$ is clearly invertible in $\mathcal{PG}\boldsymbol{pd}$ with the inverse given by $(\boldsymbol{G}_1, g^{-1})$, this yields that $(\boldsymbol{G}_1, g) \cong (\boldsymbol{G}_1, 1_a)$ and that (E, e) is the inverse of (E', e'). The first part of the proposition now follows from the functoriality of Π_n on the category $\mathcal{PG}\boldsymbol{pd}$. For the second part, we can take $E = \langle\phi\rangle$ and $e = (1_{\phi_0(b)}, b)$.

■

In Section I.4 we defined the fundamental group of a topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{H}$ in terms of $\boldsymbol{H}$-loops in $\boldsymbol{H}_0$. We shall now prove that the fundamental group $\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$ of $\boldsymbol{H}$ with a base-point b is isomorphic to the first homotopy group $\Pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$ of the pointed groupoid $(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$ in case that $\boldsymbol{H}$ is a suitable étale groupoid.

Theorem V.1.6 *Let* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *be an étale groupoid such that* $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ *is locally path-connected, and let* $b \in \boldsymbol{H}_0$*. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\Phi : \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b) \longrightarrow \Pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b) \ .$$

Remark. The isomorphism Φ is natural in the sense that if $\boldsymbol{G}$ is another étale groupoid and $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ is a continuous functor, then

$$\Phi \circ \phi_* = (\langle\phi\rangle, (1_{\phi_0(b)}, b)_* \circ \Phi \ .$$

Proof. Define a function $\bar{\Phi} : \Omega(\boldsymbol{H}, b) \longrightarrow \Theta_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$ as follows: Let

$$\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0$$

be an element of $\Omega(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$. Put $t_i^n = \frac{i}{n+1}$ and let $J_i^n : [t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n] \to \mathbf{I}$ be the affine map with $J_i^n(t_i^n) = 0$ and $J_i^n(t_{i+1}^n) = 1$, for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\tau_i = \sigma_i \circ J_i^n$. Now for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ we define the equivariant homeomorphism of principal $\boldsymbol{H}$-bundles over the point $\{t_i^n\}$

$$\alpha_i : \langle\tau_{i-1}\rangle|_{\{t_i^n\}} \longrightarrow \langle\tau_i\rangle|_{\{t_i^n\}}$$

by $\alpha_i(h, t_i^n) = (h \circ h_i^{-1}, t_i^n)$. With respect to (α_i) we can now amalgamate the bundles $\langle \tau_i \rangle$ into a principal $\boldsymbol{H}$-bundle E over $\mathbf{I}$. In other words, we view the $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop $\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0$ as a closed $\boldsymbol{H}$-cocycle on $\mathbf{I}$, and we take E to be the bundle associated to this cocycle (see Example V.1.1 (2)). We can define $s : \partial\mathbf{I} \to E$ by $s(0) = (1_b, 0) \in \langle \tau_0 \rangle \subset E$ and $s(1) = (1_b, 1) \in \langle \tau_n \rangle \subset E$. Thus (E, s) is a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$-bundle over $(\mathbf{I}, \partial\mathbf{I})$, and we take $\bar{\Phi}(\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0)$ to be the isomorphic class of (E, s).

Now it is obvious that the equivalent $\boldsymbol{H}$-loops in $\Omega(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$ gives homotopic Hilsum-Skandalis 1-loops in $(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$. Moreover, a deformation between two $\boldsymbol{H}$-loops $\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0$ and $\sigma'_n \cdot h'_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h'_1 \cdot \sigma'_0$ in $\Omega(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$ can be seen as a closed $\boldsymbol{H}$-cocycle on the finite cover $(\mathbf{I} \times [t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n])$ of $\mathbf{I}^2$. The associated principal $\boldsymbol{H}$-bundle over $\mathbf{I}^2$ (which is naturally marked) provides a homotopy between $\bar{\Phi}(\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0)$ and $\bar{\Phi}(\sigma'_n \cdot h'_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h'_1 \cdot \sigma'_0)$. Therefore $\bar{\Phi}$ induces a map

$$\Phi : \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b) \longrightarrow \Pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$$

which is clearly a homomorphism of groups. We shall prove that it is an isomorphism.

Let (E, s) be a Hilsum-Skandalis n-loop in $(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$. Since $E = (E, p, w)$ is principal and $\boldsymbol{H}$ étale, the map w is a local homeomorphism. Thus we can choose $n \geq 1$ and sections $s_i : [t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n] \to E$ of w, for $0 \leq i \leq n$. We can choose this sections so that $s_0(0) = s(0)$ and $s_n(1) = s(1)$. By composing these sections with p, we get paths in $\boldsymbol{H}_0$

$$\sigma_i = p \circ s_i \circ (J_i^n)^{-1} .$$

Moreover, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ there is a uniquely determined h_i such that $s_i(t_i^n) = h_i \cdot s_{i-1}(t_i^n)$. Now $\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0 \in \Omega(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$, and clearly

$$\bar{\Phi}(\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0) = (E, s)$$

in $\Theta_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$. This proves that Φ is surjective.

To prove that Φ is also injective, assume that $\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0 \in \Omega(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$ is such that $\bar{\Phi}(\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0)$ represents the unit in $\Pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$. The unit in $\Pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$ may be represented by the marked principal $(\boldsymbol{H}, a)$-bundle over $(\mathbf{I}, \partial\mathbf{I})$

$$(dom^{-1}(b) \times \mathbf{I}, u) ,$$

where $u(0) = (1_b, 0)$ and $u(1) = (1_b, 1)$. Therefore there is a homotopy $\mathfrak{H}$ with $\mathfrak{H}_0 = \bar{\Phi}(\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0)$ and $\mathfrak{H}_1 = (dom^{-1}(b) \times \mathbf{I}, u)$. If (E', s') is a marked principal $(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$-bundle over $(\mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{I}, \partial\mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{I})$ which represents $\mathfrak{H}$, we can find $k \geq 1$ and a multiple m of n big enough so that E can be represented by an $\boldsymbol{H}$-cocycle $c = (c_{(i,j)(i',j')})$ defined on the finite closed cover of $\mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{I}$

$$([t_i^m, t_{i+1}^m] \times [t_j^k, t_{j+1}^k])_{0 \leq i \leq m,\, 0 \leq j \leq k} \cdot$$

Moreover, we choose c such that its restriction on $\mathbf{I} \times \{0\}$ (viewed as an $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop) is equivalent to $\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0$, and its restriction on the rest of the boundary of $\mathbf{I}^2$ is constant with the value b. Hence c provides a chain of deformations and equivalences between $\sigma_n \cdot h_n \cdot \ldots \cdot h_1 \cdot \sigma_0$ and the $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop

$$\varpi(b) \cdot 1_b \cdot \ldots \cdot 1_b \cdot \varpi(b) \ ,$$

which obviously represents the unit in $\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$. Here $\varpi(b)$ denotes the constant path in $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ with the image point b. This completes the proof.

■

Remark. Thus an $\boldsymbol{H}$-loop in $\Omega(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$ can be viewed as a $\boldsymbol{G}$-cocycle on a closed finite cover of $\mathbf{I}$ which represents a Hilsum-Skandalis 1-loop in $(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$, while equivalences and deformations between the elements of $\Omega(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$ identify the cocycles which represents the same homotopy class of Hilsum-Skandalis 1-loops in $(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$. In other words, we have a description of $\Pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$ by $\boldsymbol{H}$-cocycles on closed finite covers. One can easily generalize this theorem by representing a Hilsum-Skandalis n-loop in $(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$ by an $\boldsymbol{H}$-cocycle on a closed finite cover of $\mathbf{I}^n$ which is constant (with value b) when restricted on $\partial\mathbf{I}^n$, and by representing a homotopy by an $\boldsymbol{H}$-cocycle on a closed finite cover of $\mathbf{I}^n \times \mathbf{I}$ which is constant (with value b) when restricted on $\partial\mathbf{I}^n \times \mathbf{I}$.

Theorem V.1.6 implies that $\Pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b)$ coincides with the fundamental group of $\boldsymbol{H}$ as described by W. T. van Est [13] if $\boldsymbol{H}$ is effective and $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ simply-connected, as well as with the fundamental group of the classifying space and of the classifying topos of $\boldsymbol{H}$ [24, 25]. In fact, the results in [24] indicate that the same is true for all the higher homotopy groups as well.

Corollary V.1.7 *Let $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ be an essential equivalence between étale groupoids, and let $b \in \boldsymbol{H}_0$. Then*

$$\phi_* : \pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}, b) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\boldsymbol{G}, \phi_0(b))$$

is an isomorphism.

V.2 Singular Homology of Topological Groupoids

In this Section we introduce a homology theory of topological groupoids which we call the singular homology. This homology is the classical singular homology when restricted to the topological spaces. Moreover, the general definition is literally the same as the definition of the singular homology of topological spaces if one replace the category of topological spaces with that of Hilsum-Skandalis maps. Since the singular homology is a functor on the category $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}$, it is invariant under Morita equivalence.

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid. Then there is a chain homomorphism

$$\omega : S(\boldsymbol{G}_1) \longrightarrow S(\boldsymbol{G}_0)$$

between the singular chain complexes of the topological spaces $\boldsymbol{G}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{G}_0$, given by

$$\omega = cod_\sharp - dom_\sharp \ .$$

We shall prove that the singular homology groups of $\boldsymbol{G}$ are exactly the homology groups of the cokernel of ω. Finally, we shall prove that the effect-functor induces isomorphisms between the singular homology groups of $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\mathrm{Eff}(\boldsymbol{G})$.

V.2.1 Definition of Singular Homology

For each $n \geq 0$ denote by Δ_n the standard n-simplex, i.e. the convex hull of the standard basis in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$. For $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$, denote by $d_i = d_i^n : \Delta_{n-1} \to \Delta_n$ the affine map given on the basis by

$$d_i(e_k) = \begin{cases} e_k & ; \ k < i \\ e_{k+1} & ; \ k \geq i \end{cases} \qquad 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \ .$$

A *singular n-simplex* in a topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ is a Hilsum-Skandalis map $u \in \mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}(\Delta_n, \boldsymbol{G})$. For any $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$ we have the map

$$\partial^i = \partial^i_n = \mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}(d_i, \boldsymbol{G}) : \mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}(\Delta_n, \boldsymbol{G}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}(\Delta_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{G}) \ .$$

It maps a singular n-simplex u to its i-th face $\partial^i u = d_i^* u = u \otimes d_i$.

The *group of n-chains* $\mathfrak{S}_n(\boldsymbol{G})$ in $\boldsymbol{G}$ is the free abelian group generated by the set of singular n-simplexes in $\boldsymbol{G}$,

$$\mathfrak{S}_n(\boldsymbol{G}) = \mathbf{Z}\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}(\Delta_n, \boldsymbol{G}) \ .$$

We define the boundary homomorphism

$$\partial = \partial_n : \mathfrak{S}_n(\boldsymbol{G}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{G})$$

on the generators by

$$\partial u = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \partial^i u \ .$$

Since the face maps satisfy the equation $d_i \circ d_j = d_{j+1} \circ d_i$ if $i \leq j$, we have $\partial^2 = 0$. Hence $\mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{G}) = (\mathfrak{S}_n(\boldsymbol{G}), \partial)$ is a chain complex of abelian groups.

Let $E \in \mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{G})$. The maps

$$\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}(\Delta_n, E) : \mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}(\Delta_n, \boldsymbol{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}(\Delta_n, \boldsymbol{G})$$

extend to a chain homomorphism

$$E_\sharp = \mathfrak{S}(E) : \mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{H}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{G}) \ ,$$

and this gives a functor $\mathfrak{S}$ from $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$ to the category of (non-negative) chain complexes of abelian groups.

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be a topological groupoid, A an abelian group and $n \geq 0$. Define the *n-th singular homology group* $H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; A)$ of the topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ with coefficients in A to be the n-th homology group of the chain complex $\mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{G}) \otimes A$,

$$H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) = H_n(\mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{G}) \otimes A) \qquad n \geq 0 \ .$$

Further, we define the *n-th singular cohomology group* $H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; A)$ of the topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ with coefficients in A to be the n-th cohomology group of the chain cocomplex $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{G}), A) = (\mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{S}_n(\boldsymbol{G}), A), \delta)$,

$$H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) = H^n(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{G}), A)) \qquad n \geq 0 \ .$$

Let $E \in \mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{G})$. Then denote

$$E_* = H_n(E; A) = H_n(E_\sharp \otimes A) : H_n(\boldsymbol{H}; A) \longrightarrow H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \ ,$$

and

$$E^* = H^n(E; A) = H^n(\mathrm{Hom}(E_\sharp, A)) : H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow H^n(\boldsymbol{H}; A) \ .$$

If $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ is a continuous functor, we denote $\phi_* = \langle \phi \rangle_*$ and $\phi^* = \langle \phi \rangle^*$. Further, if $f : A \to B$ is a homomorphism of abelian groups, write

$$H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; f) = H_n(\mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{G}) \otimes f) : H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; B) \ ,$$

and

$$H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; f) = H^n(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{G}), f)) : H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; B) \ .$$

This gives the sequences of functors

$$H_n : \mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd} \times \mathbf{Ab} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ab} \qquad n \geq 0$$

and

$$H^n : \mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}^{op} \times \mathbf{Ab} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ab} \qquad n \geq 0 \ .$$

Example V.2.1 (1) Let X be a topological space. Since the category **Top** is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}$, the chain complex $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ is exactly the singular chain complex $S(X)$ of the topological space X. The singular homology and cohomology groups of the étale groupoid X are thus just the singular homology and cohomology groups of the topological space X.

(2) Let G be a discrete group. In paricular, G is an étale groupoid with $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ an one-point space. Any principal G-bundle over Δ_n is isomorphic to the trivial bundle $G \times \Delta_n$ since Δ_n is simply connected. Therefore $\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{pd}(\Delta_n, G)$ has exactly one element, and

$$H_n(G; A) = H^n(G; A) = \begin{cases} A & ; \quad n = 0 \\ 0 & ; \quad n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

for any abelian group A.

Theorem V.2.2 *If $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ are Morita equivalent topological groupoids, then*

$$H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \cong H_n(\boldsymbol{H}; A)$$

and

$$H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \cong H^n(\boldsymbol{H}; A) \ ,$$

for any abelian grop A and any $n \geq 0$.

Proof. By Corollary II.1.7 the groupoids $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ are isomorphic in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}$. The theorem thus follows from the functoriality of H_n and H^n.

■

Proposition V.2.3 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be a topological groupoid. A short exact sequence of abelian groups*

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

induces the long exact sequences

$$\ldots \longrightarrow H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; B) \longrightarrow H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; C) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow \ldots$$

and

$$\ldots \longrightarrow H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; B) \longrightarrow H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; C) \longrightarrow H^{n+1}(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow \ldots$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{G})$ is a free complex.

■

Example V.2.4 Let X be a topological space equipped with a properly discontinuous action of a discrete group G. In particular,

$$p : X \longrightarrow X/G$$

is a covering projection. The groupoid $G(X)$ is étale and Morita equivalent to the space X/G. Theorem V.2.2 thus implies that

$$H_n(G(X); A) \cong H_n(X/G; A) \qquad \text{and} \qquad H^n(G(X); A) \cong H^n(X/G; A) \ ,$$

for any abelian group A and $n \geq 0$.

V.2.2 Singular Homology of Étale Groupoids

In this Subsection we give a simpler description of the singular homology groups in the case where the groupoid is étale.

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid. The domain and the codomain map $dom, cod : \boldsymbol{G}_1 \to \boldsymbol{G}_0$ of $\boldsymbol{G}$ induce the chain homomorphisms

$$dom_\sharp, cod_\sharp : S(\boldsymbol{G}_1) \longrightarrow S(\boldsymbol{G}_0)$$

between the singular chain complexes of the topological spaces $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{G}_1$. Put

$$\omega = cod_\sharp - dom_\sharp \ .$$

We denote by $S(\boldsymbol{G}) = (S_n(\boldsymbol{G}), \partial)$ the cokernel of ω,

$$S(\boldsymbol{G}_1) \xrightarrow{\omega} S(\boldsymbol{G}_0) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} S(\boldsymbol{G}) \longrightarrow 0 \cdot$$

If $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ is a continuous functor between étale groupoids, it induces a chain homomorphism $S(\phi) : S(\boldsymbol{H}) \to S(\boldsymbol{G})$, as in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S(\boldsymbol{H}_1) \arrow[r, "\omega"] \arrow[d, "S(\phi_1)"'] & S(\boldsymbol{H}_0) \arrow[r, "\epsilon"] \arrow[d, "S(\phi_0)"] & S(\boldsymbol{H}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "S(\phi)"] & 0 \\
S(\boldsymbol{G}_1) \arrow[r, "\omega"] & S(\boldsymbol{G}_0) \arrow[r, "\epsilon"] & S(\boldsymbol{G}) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

This gives a functor S from the category $\mathbf{Gpd}_e$ of étale groupoids and continuous functors to the category of (non-negative) chain complexes of abelian groups. It extends the usual functor S defined on the full subcategory **Top** of topological spaces, i.e. the notation is justified. Note that $S(\mathfrak{u}) = \epsilon$, where $\mathfrak{u} : \boldsymbol{G}_0 \to \boldsymbol{G}$ is the canonical functor.

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid. We define the *balanced chain complex* $BS(\boldsymbol{G})$ of $\boldsymbol{G}$ to be the kernel of the chain homomorphism ϵ, so we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow BS(\boldsymbol{G}) \xrightarrow{\iota} S(\boldsymbol{G}_0) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} S(\boldsymbol{G}) \longrightarrow 0 \cdot \tag{V.1}$$

The chain complex $BS(\boldsymbol{G})$ is free. For an abelian group A, the homology of the chain complex $BS(\boldsymbol{G}) \otimes A$ will be called the *balanced homology* of $\boldsymbol{G}$ with coefficients in A, and denoted by

$$BH_n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) = H_n(BS(\boldsymbol{G}) \otimes A) \qquad n \geq 0 \ .$$

Analogously we define the *balanced cohomology* of $\boldsymbol{G}$ with coefficients in A as $BH^n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) = H^n(\mathrm{Hom}(BS(\boldsymbol{G}), A))$.

Let us describe $BS_n(\boldsymbol{G})$ explicitly. We say that two singular n-simplexes $u, v : \Delta_n \to \boldsymbol{G}_0$ are *similar* or *$\boldsymbol{G}$-similar* if there exists a singular n-simplex $f : \Delta_n \to \boldsymbol{G}_1$ such that $dom \circ f = u$ and $cod \circ f = v$. This is clearly an equivalence relation, which will be denoted by $\Leftrightarrow$ or $\Leftrightarrow_{\boldsymbol{G}}$. Since $BS(\boldsymbol{G})$ is also the image of ω, it is clear that $BS_n(\boldsymbol{G})$ is generated by the elements

$$u - v \in S_n(\boldsymbol{G}_0) \;,$$

where $u, v \in \mathbf{Top}(\Delta_n, \boldsymbol{G}_0)$ are similar. Furthermore, we have a natural isomorphism

$$S_n(\boldsymbol{G}) \cong \mathbf{Z}\{\mathbf{Top}(\Delta_n, \boldsymbol{G}_0)/\Leftrightarrow\} \;.$$

In particular, the chain complex $S(\boldsymbol{G})$ is free and hence the short exact sequence (V.1) splits.

Observe that the singular n-simplexes in $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ can be identified with the continuous functors in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\Delta_n, \boldsymbol{G})$. Let u be a singular n-simplex in $\boldsymbol{G}_0$. Then $\langle \mathfrak{u} \circ u \rangle$ is a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle over Δ_n. Let v be a singular n-simplex in $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ similar to u, and let f be a singular n-simplex in $\boldsymbol{G}_1$ such that $\mathit{dom} \circ f = u$ and $\mathit{cod} \circ f = v$. Then there is a $\boldsymbol{G}$-equivariant map $\alpha : \langle \mathfrak{u} \circ v \rangle \to \langle \mathfrak{u} \circ u \rangle$ given by

$$\alpha(g, x) = (g \cdot f(x), x) \;,$$

hence $\langle \mathfrak{u} \circ v \rangle$ and $\langle \mathfrak{u} \circ u \rangle$ are isomorphic. Conversely, if $\alpha : \langle \mathfrak{u} \circ v \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \mathfrak{u} \circ u \rangle$ is a $\boldsymbol{G}$-equivariant map, there is a unique singular n-simplex f in $\boldsymbol{G}_1$ such that

$$f(x) \cdot (1_{u(x)}, x) = \alpha(1_{v(x)}, x) \;,$$

therefore $u \Leftrightarrow v$. In other words, we proved that there is natural bijective correspondence

$$\mathbf{Top}(\Delta_n, \boldsymbol{G}_0)/\Leftrightarrow \; \cong \langle \mathbf{Gpd}(\Delta_n, \boldsymbol{G}) \rangle \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}(\Delta_n, \boldsymbol{G}) \;.$$

In this view, we will consider $S(\boldsymbol{G})$ as a subcomplex of $\mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{G})$. A singular n-simplex $u = (E, p, w)$ in $\boldsymbol{G}$ is in $S(\boldsymbol{G})$ if and only if there exists a global section of w. Moreover, if $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ is a continuous functor, we have $\mathfrak{S}(\langle \phi \rangle)|_{S(\boldsymbol{H})} = S(\phi)$.

Theorem V.2.5 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid. The chain inclusion $S(\boldsymbol{G}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{G})$ is a chain equivalence. In particular, $H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \cong H_n(S(\boldsymbol{G}) \otimes A)$ and $H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \cong H^n(\mathrm{Hom}(S(\boldsymbol{G}), A))$, for any abelian group A and any $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. First note that we can apply the classical construction of the barycentric subdivision [38] to obtain a chain map

$$\mathfrak{sd} : \mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{G}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{G})$$

and a chain homotopy

$$D = D_n : \mathfrak{S}_n(\boldsymbol{G}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{G})$$

such that $\partial \circ D + D \circ \partial = 1 - \mathfrak{sd}$. The map $\mathfrak{sd}$ sends a singular n-simplex u into a sum of the restrictions of u on the n-simplexes of the barycentric subdivision of Δ_n, with the appropriate signs. Observe that both $\mathfrak{sd}$ and D are natural in $\boldsymbol{G}$ and restrict to the subcomplex $S(\boldsymbol{G})$.

Let u be a singular n-simplex in $\boldsymbol{G}$, represented by a principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-bundle (E, p, w) over Δ_n. Since w is a local homeomorphism and Δ_n is compact, there exists a finite open cover $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)$ of Δ_n with sections $s_i : U_i \to E$ of w. Now we can barycentrically subdivide Δ_n sufficiently many times such that each simplex of the subdivision lies in an element of $\mathcal{U}$. The restriction of E on such a simplex is therefore in $S_n(\boldsymbol{G})$. This implies that for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{S}_n(\boldsymbol{G})$ there exists $j \geq 0$ such that

$$\mathfrak{sd}^j \xi \in S_n(\boldsymbol{G}) \; .$$

The standard argument (see for example [38]) now implies that the inclusion $S(\boldsymbol{G}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}(\boldsymbol{G})$ is a chain equivalence.

■

Proposition V.2.6 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid. There are long exact sequences*

$$\ldots \longrightarrow BH_n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} H_n(\boldsymbol{G}_0; A) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{u}_*} H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow BH_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow \ldots$$

and

$$\ldots \longrightarrow H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{u}^*} H^n(\boldsymbol{G}_0; A) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} BH^n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow H^{n+1}(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow \ldots$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem V.2.5 and the short exact sequence (V.1).

■

Proposition V.2.7 (Mayer-Vietoris sequence) *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid and A an abelian group. Let U and V be open $\boldsymbol{G}$-invariant subsets of $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ such that $U \cup V = \boldsymbol{G}_0$. Then there are long exact sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} \ldots \longrightarrow H_n(\boldsymbol{G}|_{U\cap V}; A) &\longrightarrow H_n(\boldsymbol{G}|_U; A) \oplus H_n(\boldsymbol{G}|_V; A) \longrightarrow H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \\ &\longrightarrow H_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{G}|_{U\cap V}; A) \longrightarrow \ldots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ldots \longrightarrow H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) &\longrightarrow H^n(\boldsymbol{G}|_U; A) \oplus H^n(\boldsymbol{G}|_V; A) \longrightarrow H^n(\boldsymbol{G}|_{U\cap V}; A) \\ &\longrightarrow H^{n+1}(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow \ldots \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We will adapt the standard proof to our case. Write $S^{\{U,V\}}(\boldsymbol{G}_0)$ for the subcomplex of $S(\boldsymbol{G}_0)$ generated by those simplexes in $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ which lie in U or in V. Put $U' = (\boldsymbol{G}|_U)_1$ and $V' = (\boldsymbol{G}|_V)_1$, and denote by $S^{\{U',V'\}}(\boldsymbol{G}_1)$ the subcomplex of $S(\boldsymbol{G}_1)$ generated by the simplexes in $\boldsymbol{G}_1$ which lie in U' or in V'. Observe that the chain homomorphism ω restricts to a map $S^{\{U',V'\}}(\boldsymbol{G}_1) \to S^{\{U,V\}}(\boldsymbol{G}_0)$. Denote by $S^{\{U,V\}}(\boldsymbol{G})$ the cokernel of this restriction,

$$S^{\{U',V'\}}(\boldsymbol{G}_1) \longrightarrow S^{\{U,V\}}(\boldsymbol{G}_0) \longrightarrow S^{\{U,V\}}(\boldsymbol{G}) \longrightarrow 0 \,.$$

One can easily see that $S^{\{U,V\}}(\boldsymbol{G})$ is a subcomplex of $S(\boldsymbol{G})$ generated by the similarity classes of simplexes in $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ which lie in U or in V. Moreover, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow S(\boldsymbol{G}|_{U\cap V}) \xrightarrow{(\mathfrak{i}_\sharp, -\mathfrak{j}_\sharp)} S(\boldsymbol{G}|_U) \oplus S(\boldsymbol{G}|_V) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{i}'_\sharp + \mathfrak{j}'_\sharp} S^{\{U,V\}}(\boldsymbol{G}) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and splits. Here $\mathfrak{i}$, $\mathfrak{j}$, $\mathfrak{i}'$ and $\mathfrak{j}'$ are the obvious inclusions of étale groupoids. Using the barycentric subdivision $\mathfrak{sd}$ as in the proof of Theorem V.2.5 we can see that the inclusion of $S^{\{U,V\}}(\boldsymbol{G})$ into $S(\boldsymbol{G})$ is a chain equivalence.

■

Theorem V.2.8 *Let $\phi : \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{G}$ be a continuous functor between étale groupoids which is an isomorphism on objects and surjective on morphisms. Then ϕ induces isomorphisms*

$$H_n(\boldsymbol{H}; A) \longrightarrow H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; A)$$

and

$$H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow H^n(\boldsymbol{H}; A) \,,$$

for any abelian group A and $n \geq 0$.

Proof. First we can identify $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{G}_0$. Since $\epsilon = S(\phi) \circ \epsilon$, we have $BS_n(\boldsymbol{H}) \subset BS_n(\boldsymbol{G})$. Now because of the long exact sequences in Corollary V.2.6 it is enough to prove that the inclusion

$$BS(\boldsymbol{H}) \longrightarrow BS(\boldsymbol{G})$$

is a chain equivalence.

As in the proof of Theorem V.2.5 we will use the barycentric subdivision. Because of the naturality of the chain homomorphism $\mathfrak{sd}$ and the chain homotopy D both of these maps restrict from $S(\boldsymbol{G}_0)$ on $BS(\boldsymbol{G})$ and also on $BS(\boldsymbol{H})$. In particular, the restrictions of $\mathfrak{sd}$ on $BS(\boldsymbol{G})$ and $BS(\boldsymbol{H})$ are chain equivalences.

Let u and v be similar singular n-simplexes in $\boldsymbol{G}_0$. Hence there exists $f : \Delta_n \to \boldsymbol{G}_1$ such that $dom \circ f = u$ and $cod \circ f = v$. Now $\phi : \boldsymbol{H}_1 \to \boldsymbol{G}_1$ is a surjective local homeomorphism, hence we can choose a finite open cover (U_i) of $f(\Delta_n)$ and sections $s_i : U_i \to \boldsymbol{H}_1$ of ϕ. We can barycentrically subdivide Δ_n sufficiently many times so that each simplex in the subdivision lies in an element of the cover $(f^{-1}(U_i))$ of Δ_n. This implies that the restrictions of u and v on a simplex in the subdivision are $\boldsymbol{H}$-similar. In other words, there exists $j \geq 0$ such that

$$\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{d}^j(u - v) \in BS_n(\boldsymbol{H}) \ .$$

Since $BS(\boldsymbol{G})$ is free, the standard argument yields that $BS(\boldsymbol{H}) \to BS(\boldsymbol{G})$ is a chain equivalence.

■

Corollary V.2.9 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid. Then*

$$\mathfrak{e}_* : H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow H_n(\mathrm{Eff}(\boldsymbol{G}); A)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{e}^* : H^n(\mathrm{Eff}(\boldsymbol{G}); A) \longrightarrow H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; A)$$

are isomorphisms, for any abelian group A and $n \geq 0$.

Example V.2.10 (1) Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale C^1-groupoid of dimension q. There exists a Morita equivalent groupoid $\boldsymbol{H}$ such that $\boldsymbol{H}_0 = \coprod_{i \in I} \mathbf{R}^q$. Using Theorem V.2.5 and the long exact sequence from Corollary V.2.6 we get

$$H_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \cong BH_n(\boldsymbol{H}; A) \qquad \text{and} \qquad H^{n+1}(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \cong BH^n(\boldsymbol{H}; A) \ ,$$

for any abelian group A and any $n \geq 1$.

(2) Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid with $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ locally path-connected. Denote by $\mathfrak{r} : \boldsymbol{G} \to |\boldsymbol{G}|$ the canonical continuous functor. Then $\mathfrak{r}_* : H_0(\boldsymbol{G}; \mathbf{Z}) \to H_0(|\boldsymbol{G}|; \mathbf{Z})$ is clearly surjective. The group $H_0(|\boldsymbol{G}|; \mathbf{Z})$ is the free abelian group on the set of $\boldsymbol{G}$-connected components of $\boldsymbol{G}_0$. For $a \in \boldsymbol{G}_0$ denote by $\varpi(a)$ the 0-simplex in $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ with the image point a.

If a and a' are points in the same $\boldsymbol{G}$-connected component of $\boldsymbol{G}_0$, there exists a $\boldsymbol{G}$-path in $\boldsymbol{G}_0$

$$\sigma_n \cdot g_n \cdot \ldots \cdot g_1 \cdot \sigma_0$$

from a to a', see Proposition I.4.2. Now

$$\partial(\epsilon(\sigma_0 + \ldots + \sigma_n)) = \epsilon\varpi(a') - \epsilon\varpi(a) \ .$$

This yields that $\mathfrak{r}_*$ is injective. Thus

$$\mathfrak{r}_* : H_0(\boldsymbol{G}; \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H_0(|\boldsymbol{G}|; \mathbf{Z})$$

is an isomorphism.

(3) Let G be a subgroup of $\mathbf{R}^n$. Put the discrete topology on G, and let G acts on $\mathbf{R}^n$ by translations, i.e. $y \cdot g = y + g$, for any $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $g \in G$. Define a homomorphism of groups $f : S_0(\mathbf{R}^n) \to \mathbf{R}^n$ on generators by

$$f(\varpi(y)) = y \qquad y \in \mathbf{R}^n \ .$$

Since $G(\mathbf{R}^n)_0 = \mathbf{R}^n$, we have $BS_0(G(\mathbf{R}^n)) \subset S_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Observe that

$$f(BS_0(G(\mathbf{R}^n))) = G \ .$$

Moreover, if σ and σ' are $G(\mathbf{R}^n)$-similar 1-simplexes in $\mathbf{R}^n$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $\sigma(x) = \sigma'(x) + g$. Hence we have

$$f(\partial(\sigma - \sigma')) = 0 \ ,$$

so f induces a surjective homomorphism of groups

$$\alpha : BH_0(G(\mathbf{R}^n); \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow G \ .$$

We will show that α is in fact an isomorphism.

For any $g \in G$, put

$$\tau(g) = \varpi(g) - \varpi(0) \in BS_0(G(\mathbf{R}^n)) \ .$$

Note that $f(\tau(g)) = g$. The group $BS_0(G(\mathbf{R}^n))$ is generated by the elements of the form

$$\varpi(y + g) - \varpi(y)$$

with $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $g \in G$. For $y, y' \in \mathbf{R}^n$, let $\sigma(y', y) : \mathbf{I} \to \mathbf{R}^n$ be the singular 1-simplex in $\mathbf{R}^n$ given by $\sigma(y', y)(t) = ty' + (1 - t)y$. For any $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $g \in G$ we have $\sigma(y + g, g) - \sigma(y, 0) \in BS_1(G(\mathbf{R}^n))$ and

$$\partial(\sigma(y + g, g) - \sigma(y, 0)) = (\varpi(y + g) - \varpi(y)) - \tau(g) \ .$$

Hence $BH_0(G(\mathbf{R}^n); \mathbf{Z})$ is generated by the homology classes of $\tau(g)$, $g \in G$.

Next observe that for any $g, g' \in G$ we have $\sigma(g + g', g') - \sigma(g, 0) \in BS_1(G(\mathbf{R}^n))$ and

$$\partial(\sigma(g + g', g') - \sigma(g, 0)) = \tau(g + g') - \tau(g) - \tau(g') \ .$$

This implies that α is an isomorphism.

Finally, from (2) we know that the map $\mathfrak{u}_* : H_0(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{Z}) \to H_0(G(\mathbf{R}^n); \mathbf{Z})$ is an isomorphism. The long exact sequence in Corollary V.2.6 thus implies

$$H_1(G(\mathbf{R}^n); \mathbf{Z}) \cong BH_0(G(\mathbf{R}^n); \mathbf{Z}) \cong G \ .$$

(4) Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an étale groupoid such that the quotient map

$$\boldsymbol{G}_0 \longrightarrow |\boldsymbol{G}|$$

is a local homeomorphism. Then we can define an étale groupoid $\boldsymbol{H}$ with $\boldsymbol{H}_0 = \boldsymbol{G}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_1 = \boldsymbol{G}_0 \times_{|\boldsymbol{G}|} \boldsymbol{G}_0$, and with the obvious structure maps. The map $\boldsymbol{G}_0 \to |\boldsymbol{G}|$ can now be extended to a continuous functor $\psi : \boldsymbol{H} \to |\boldsymbol{G}|$ which is an essential equivalence.

Observe that the canonical functor $\mathfrak{r} : \boldsymbol{G} \to |\boldsymbol{G}|$ factors through ψ as $\mathfrak{r} = \psi \circ \phi$, where $\phi : \boldsymbol{G} \to \boldsymbol{H}$ is given as the identity on objects and by

$$\phi(g) = (cod\, g, dom\, g)$$

for any $g \in \boldsymbol{G}_1$. Since ϕ is surjective on morphisms, Theorem V.2.8 implies that it induces isomorphisms on homology and cohomology. We can thus conclude that the functor $\mathfrak{r}$ induces isomorphisms

$$H_n(\boldsymbol{G}; A) \longrightarrow H_n(|\boldsymbol{G}|; A)$$

and

$$H^n(|\boldsymbol{G}|; A) \longrightarrow H^n(\boldsymbol{G}; A)\ ,$$

for any abelian group A and any $n \geq 0$.

(5) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on a finite-dimensional C^1-manifold M. For any $n \geq 0$ we define the singular homology groups $H_n((M, \mathcal{F}); \mathbf{Z})$ of the transverse structure of $\mathcal{F}$ as the singular homology groups of the holonomy groupoid $\mathrm{Hol}(M, \mathcal{F})$,

$$H_n((M, \mathcal{F}); \mathbf{Z}) = H_n(\mathrm{Hol}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathbf{Z})\ .$$

By Theorem V.2.2 we have $H_n((M, \mathcal{F}); \mathbf{Z}) \cong H_n(\mathrm{Hol}_T(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathbf{Z})$ for any complete transversal $T : N \to M$ of $\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, the principal $\mathrm{Hol}_T(M, \mathcal{F})$-bundle over M which corresponds to $\mathcal{F}$ (Example I.3.3 (2)) induces a homomorphism

$$H_n(M; \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H_n((M, \mathcal{F}); \mathbf{Z})\ ,$$

for any $n \geq 0$.

V.3 Bimodule Associated to a Principal Bibundle

The aim of this section is to associate to a Hilsum-Skandalis C^r-map E between object-separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ an isomorphism class of a $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$-$C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})$-bimodule $C^r_c(E)$. Here $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$ denotes the convolution algebra of C^r-functions on $\boldsymbol{G}_1$ as introduced by A. Connes [3, 7, 8, 34]. We show that C^r_c is a functor from the category of Hilsum-Skandalis C^r-maps between separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids to the category of locally unital bimodules over the algebras with local units. In particular, this implies that the C^r-Morita equivalent separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids have Morita equivalent algebras – a result announced in a more general form in [3].

Notation. (1) A topological groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ is called *object-separated* if $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ is Hausdorff. It is called *separated* (or *Hausdorff*) if $\boldsymbol{G}_1$ (and hence also $\boldsymbol{G}_0$) is Hausdorff. We shall denote by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}^r_{sfe}$ the full subcategory of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}^r_e$ with objects all the separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids. Observe that if $(E,p,w) \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}^r_{sfe}(\boldsymbol{H},\boldsymbol{G})$, then the space E is Hausdorff.

(2) Recall that an (associative complex) algebra $\mathcal{A}$ has local units if for any $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $x_i x = x\,x_i = x_i$, $1 \le i \le n$. If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are algebras with local units, an $\mathcal{A}$-$\mathcal{B}$-bimodule $\mathcal{M}$ is called locally unital if for any $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n \in \mathcal{M}$ there exist $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $y \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \cdot m_i = m_i \cdot y = m_i$, $1 \le i \le n$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is another algebra with local units, $\mathcal{M}$ a locally unital $\mathcal{A}$-$\mathcal{B}$-bimodule and $\mathcal{N}$ a locally unital $\mathcal{B}$-$\mathcal{C}$-bimodule, then

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{N}$$

is a locally unital $\mathcal{A}$-$\mathcal{C}$-bimodule. Moreover, $\mathcal{B}$ itself can be viewed as a locally unital $\mathcal{B}$-$\mathcal{B}$-bimodule, and there are canonical isomorphisms of bimodules

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{M}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{N} \, .$$

Hence we have a category $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}lg}$ with the associative complex algebras with local units as objects, with the isomorphism classes of locally unital $\mathcal{A}$-$\mathcal{B}$-bimodules as morphisms in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A})$, and with the composition induced by the tensor product. We shall denote the isomorphism class of a locally unital $\mathcal{A}$-$\mathcal{B}$-bimodule $\mathcal{M}$ again by $\mathcal{M}$.

(3) For a Hausdorff finite-dimensional C^r-manifold M, denote by $C^r_c(M)$ the vector space of complex C^r-functions on M with compact support.

(4) Let M be a finite-dimensional C^r-manifold, not necessarily Hausdorff. If $U \subset M$ is (the domain of) a chart for M and $f' \in C^r_c(U)$, we will denote by f'^M the extension of f' on M by zero. A function f on M is called a basic C^r_c-function on M with support in a chart U of M if $f|_U \in C^r_c(U)$ and $f = (f|_U)^M$. In this case we write

$$\operatorname{supp}_U(f) = \operatorname{supp}(f|_U) \, .$$

A C^r_c-function on M is a function on M which is a sum of basic C^r_c-functions on M, and the vector space of such functions will be denoted by $C^r_c(M)$ (this coincide with (3) if M is Hausdorff). Using partitions of unity we can see that if $\mathcal{U}$ is a cover of charts of M, we have

$$C^r_c(M) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} C^r_c(U)^M \, ,$$

i.e. any C^r_c-function on M is a sum of basic C^r_c-functions with supports in the elements of $\mathcal{U}$.

(5) Let $u : N \to M$ be a local C^r-diffeomorphism of finite-dimensional C^r-manifolds. There is an induced linear map $u_+ : C^r_c(N) \longrightarrow C^r_c(M)$, which is given by

$$u_+(f)(x) = \sum_{y \in u^{-1}(x)} f(y) \ .$$

We say that an open subset $U \subset N$ is *elementary* for u if $u|_U$ is injective. Observe that if U is a chart of N, $i : U \to N$ the open embedding and $f \in C^r_c(U)$, we have

$$i_+(f) = f^N \ .$$

Definition V.3.1 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$, $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{K}$ be object-separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids. Let (E, p, w) be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle, and let (E', p', w') be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{H}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle. Define a bilinear map*

$$\wp = \wp_{E,E'} : C^r_c(E) \times C^r_c(E') \longrightarrow C^r_c(E \otimes E')$$

by

$$\wp(m, m')(e \otimes e') = \sum_{cod\, h = w(e)} m(e \cdot h) m'(h^{-1} \cdot e') \ .$$

Remark. Since m' is a C^r_c-function and the fibers of w' are discrete, the value $m'(h^{-1} \cdot e')$ is zero for all but finitely many h with $cod\, h = w(e)$, so the sum is always finite. It is clearly invariant on the choice of a representable for the class $e \otimes e'$ in $E \otimes E'$. Thus $\wp(m, m')$ is a well-defined function on $E \otimes E'$. We have to check that it is a C^r_c-function.

To see this, we can assume that m and m' are basic, with supports in the charts U and U' respectively. We can further assume that U is elementary for w and U' is elementary for w'.

The open subset $U \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} U'$ of $E \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} E'$ is a chart, and elementary for the quotient projection $q : E \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} E' \to E \otimes E'$ (which is a local C^r-diffeomorphism). In particular, $q(U \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} U') = U \otimes U'$ is a chart. It is C^r-diffeomorphic to $V = U' \cap p'^{-1}(w(U))$, and the diffeomorphism $\delta : V \to U \otimes U'$ is given by

$$\delta(e') = (w|_U)^{-1}(p'(e')) \otimes e' \ .$$

We will show that $\wp(m, m')$ is a basic C^r_c-function with support in $U \otimes U'$.

First, it is clear that $\wp(m, m')$ is zero outside $U \otimes U'$. Next, let $e' \in V$. Then we have

$$\wp(m, m')(\delta(e')) = m((w|_U)^{-1}(p'(e'))) m'(e') = w_+(m)(p'(e')) m'(e') \ .$$

Note that the right hand side, which we will denote by $f'(e')$, is well-defined for any $e' \in U'$, and $f' \in C^r_c(U')$. Put $S = \operatorname{supp} w_+(m)$. Now $(p'|_{U'})^{-1}(S)$ is closed in U', therefore

$$W = (p'|_{U'})^{-1}(S) \cap \operatorname{supp}_{U'}(m')$$

is compact. But clearly $W \subset V$ and f' is zero outside W. This proves that

$$f'|_V = \wp(m, m') \circ \delta \in C_c^r(V) ,$$

hence $\wp(m, m') \in C_c^r(E \otimes E')$.

Proposition V.3.2 *Assume that $\boldsymbol{G}$, $\boldsymbol{H}$, $\boldsymbol{K}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}$ are object-separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids. Let (E, p, w) be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle, let (E', p', w') be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{H}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle, and let (E'', p'', w'') be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{K}$-$\boldsymbol{L}$-bibundle. Then for any $m \in C_c^r(E)$, $m' \in C_c^r(E')$ and $m'' \in C_c^r(E'')$ we have*

$$\wp(\wp(m, m'), m'') = \wp(m, \wp(m', m'')) .$$

Remark. Here we have identified $(E \otimes E') \otimes E''$ and $E \otimes (E' \otimes E'')$ in the natural way.

Proof. Take any $e \otimes e' \otimes e'' \in (E \otimes E') \otimes E'' \cong E \otimes (E' \otimes E'')$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\wp(\wp(m, m'), m'')(e \otimes e' \otimes e'') \\
&\qquad = \sum_{cod\, k = w'(e')} \wp(m, m')(e \otimes e' \cdot k) m''(k^{-1} \cdot e'') \\
&\qquad = \sum_{cod\, k = w'(e')} \sum_{cod\, h = w(e)} m(e \cdot h) m'(h^{-1} \cdot e' \cdot k) m''(k^{-1} \cdot e'') \\
&\qquad = \sum_{cod\, h = w(e)} m(e \cdot h) \wp(m', m'')(h^{-1} \cdot e' \otimes e'') \\
&\qquad = \wp(m, \wp(m', m''))(e \otimes e' \otimes e'') .
\end{aligned}$$

■

Remark. The algebra $C_c^r(\boldsymbol{G})$ of C_c^r-functions on an object-separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoid $\boldsymbol{G}$ [8] is defined as the vector space $C_c^r(\boldsymbol{G}_1)$, equipped with the product

$$(xx')(g) = \sum_{g = g' \circ g''} x(g') x'(g'') ,$$

for any $x, x' \in C_c^r(\boldsymbol{G}_1)$ and $g \in \boldsymbol{G}_1$. The algebra $C_c^r(\boldsymbol{G})$ is clearly associative with local units. But note that

$$(xx')(g) = \wp_{\boldsymbol{G}_1, \boldsymbol{G}_1}(x, x')(1 \otimes g) = \wp_{\boldsymbol{G}_1, \boldsymbol{G}_1}(x, x')(g \otimes 1) .$$

In an analogous way we can define a structure of a $C_c^r(\boldsymbol{G})$-$C_c^r(\boldsymbol{H})$-bimodule on $C_c^r(E)$, for any C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle E, where $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ are object-separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids, as follows:

Definition V.3.3 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ be object-separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids, and let (E, p, f) be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle. Define a $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$-$C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})$-bimodule structure on $C^r_c(E)$ by*

$$(x \cdot m)(e) = \wp(x, m)(1 \otimes e) = \sum_{\mathit{cod}\, g = p(e)} x(g) m(g^{-1} \cdot e)$$

and

$$(m \cdot y)(e) = \wp(m, y)(e \otimes 1) = \sum_{\mathit{cod}\, h = w(e)} m(e \cdot h) y(h^{-1})$$

for any $x \in C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$, $m \in C^r_c(E)$, $y \in C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})$ and $e \in E$.

Remark. Proposition V.3.2 implies that this gives indeed a bimodule structure on $C^r_c(E)$. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} ((a \cdot m) \cdot b)(e) &= \wp(\wp(a, m), b)(1 \otimes e \otimes 1) \\ &= \wp(a, \wp(m, b))(1 \otimes e \otimes 1) \\ &= (a \cdot (m \cdot b))(e) \ . \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $C^r_c(E)$ is locally unital.

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$, $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{K}$ be object-separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids, let E be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle, and let E' be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{H}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle. Proposition V.3.2 implies

$$\wp(\wp(m, y), m')(e \otimes 1 \otimes e') = \wp(m, \wp(y, m'))(e \otimes 1 \otimes e')$$

for any $m \in C^r_c(E)$, $y \in C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})$, $m' \in C^r_c(E')$ and $(e, e') \in E \times_{\boldsymbol{H}_0} E'$. In other words, after identifications $E \otimes \boldsymbol{H}_1 \cong E$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_1 \otimes E' \cong E'$, we have

$$\wp(m \cdot y, m') = \wp(m, y \cdot m') \ .$$

Therefore $\wp$ induces a linear map

$$\mho = \mho_{E,E'} : C^r_c(E) \otimes_{C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})} C^r_c(E') \longrightarrow C^r_c(E \otimes E') \ .$$

If the groupoids are separated, this map turns out to be an isomorphism:

Theorem V.3.4 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$, $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{K}$ be separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids, let (E, p, w) be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle and let (E', p', w') be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{H}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle. Then the map $\mho : C^r_c(E) \otimes_{C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})} C^r_c(E') \to C^r_c(E \otimes E')$ given by*

$$\mho(m \otimes m')(e \otimes e') = \sum_{\mathit{cod}\, h = w(e)} m(e \cdot h) m'(h^{-1} \cdot e')$$

is an isomorphism of $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$-$C^r_c(\boldsymbol{K})$-bimodules.

Before we prove the theorem, we have to study the elements of the tensor product $C^r_c(E) \otimes_{C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})} C^r_c(E')$ more closely.

Notation. Let $\boldsymbol{G}$, $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{K}$ be separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids, let (E, p, w) be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle and let (E', p', w') be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{H}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle. If $m \in C^r_c(E)$ and $m' \in C^r_c(E')$, we denote by $m \otimes m'$ the tensor in $C^r_c(E) \otimes_{C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})} C^r_c(E')$. Let U be a chart of E elementary for w and U' a chart of E' elementary for w'. A *basic pair* (of C^r_c-functions) on (U, U') is a pair (m, m'), with $m \in C^r_c(E)$ and $m' \in C^r_c(E')$, such that m has support in U, m' has support in U' and $p'(U') \subset w(U)$. A basic pair (m, m') on (U, U') is called normalized if

$$w_+(m)|_{p'(\operatorname{supp} m')} \equiv 1 \; .$$

Lemma V.3.5 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$, $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{K}$ be separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids, let (E, p, w) be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle and let (E', p', w') be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{H}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle. Let U and U' be charts of E respectively E', elementary for w respectively w'.*

(i) Let m and m' be C^r_c-functions on E respectively E' with supports in U respectively U'. Then there exists an open subset $V \subset U'$ and a C^r_c-function m'_1 on E' with support in V such that (m, m'_1) is a basic pair on (U, V) and

$$m \otimes m' = m \otimes m'_1 \; .$$

(ii) For every basic pair (m, m') on (U, U') there exists a normalized basic pair (m_1, m'_1) on (U, U') such that

$$m \otimes m' = m_1 \otimes m'_1 \; .$$

(iii) If (m, m') and (m_1, m') are normalized basic pairs on (U, U'), then

$$m \otimes m' = m_1 \otimes m' \; .$$

Proof. (i) Take $V = U' \cap p'^{-1}(w(U))$, and choose $y \in C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})$ with $\operatorname{supp} y \subset w(U)$ and $y|_{\operatorname{supp}(w_+(m))} \equiv 1$. First observe that $m \cdot y = m$, hence

$$m \otimes m' = m \cdot y \otimes m' = m \otimes y \cdot m' \; .$$

For any $e' \in E'$ we have

$$(y \cdot m')(e') = y(p'(e'))m'(e') \; .$$

As in the remark to Definition V.3.1 we can conclude that $m'_1 = y \cdot m'$ has the support in V.

(ii) Choose $m_1 \in C^r_c(E)$ with support in U such that $m_1|_{\operatorname{supp} m} \equiv 1$, and put $y = (uni \circ w)_+(m)$. Then we have $m_1 \cdot y = m$ and hence

$$m \otimes m' = m_1 \cdot y \otimes m' = m_1 \otimes y \cdot m' \; .$$

Observe that $(m_1, y \cdot m')$ is a normalized basic pair on (U, U').

(iii) Let $y = (uni \circ w)_+(m)$ and $y_1 = (uni \circ w)_+(m_1)$. Note that $m \cdot y_1 = m_1 \cdot y$ and $y \cdot m' = y_1 \cdot m' = m'$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m \otimes m' &= m \otimes y_1 \cdot m' = m \cdot y_1 \otimes m' \\ &= m_1 \cdot y \otimes m' = m_1 \otimes y \cdot m' \\ &= m_1 \otimes m' \; . \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma V.3.6 *Let $\boldsymbol{G}$, $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{K}$ be separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids, let (E, p, w) be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{G}$-$\boldsymbol{H}$-bibundle and let (E', p', w') be a C^r-principal $\boldsymbol{H}$-$\boldsymbol{K}$-bibundle. Let U and U' be charts of E respectively E', elementary for w respectively w'. Let (m, m') be a basic pair on (U, U') and let V be a chart of E', elementary for w', such that $w'(U') \subset w'(V)$. Then there exist charts U_i of E elementary for w, open subsets U'_i of V and normalized basic pairs (m_i, m'_i) on (U_i, U'_i), $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, such that*

$$m \otimes m' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \otimes m'_i \; .$$

Proof. Since E' is principal there is a continuous map $\theta : U' \to \boldsymbol{H}_1$ such that $cod\, \theta(e') = p'(e')$ and $\theta(e')^{-1} \cdot e' \in V$ for any $e' \in U'$. Put $S = \operatorname{supp} m' \subset U'$.

First we assume that $\theta(S) \subset W$ for a chart W of $\boldsymbol{H}_1$ elementary for dom. Choose $y \in C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})$ with support in W such that $y|_{\theta(S)} \equiv 1$. Note that $\alpha = (w'|_V)^{-1} \circ w'|_{U'} : U' \to V$ is an open C^r-embedding, and

$$\alpha(e') = \theta(e')^{-1} \cdot e'$$

for any $e' \in U'$. Define now $f \in C^r_c(E')$ with support in $\alpha(U')$ by $f(e') = m'(\alpha^{-1}(e'))$, for any $e' \in \alpha(U')$. It is now easy to see that

$$y \cdot f = m' \; .$$

Now we can write $m \cdot y$ as a sum of C^r_c-functions m_i on E with supports in U_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, where U_i are charts elementary for w. Hence we have

$$m \otimes m' = m \otimes y \cdot f = m \cdot y \otimes f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \otimes f \; .$$

Finally we use Lemma V.3.5 (i) to find open subsets $U'_i \subset \alpha(U')$ and C^r_c-functions m'_i with supports in U'_i such that (m_i, m'_i) is a basic pair on (U_i, U'_i) and $m_i \otimes f = m_i \otimes m'_i$, for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. By Lemma V.3.5 (ii) we can normalize those basic pairs. This completes the proof in the special case.

Now we will deal with the general case. Since $\theta(S)$ is a compact subset of $\boldsymbol{H}_1$, we can choose a finite cover $(W_j)_{j=1}^k$ of $\theta(S)$ consisting of charts of $\boldsymbol{H}_1$ elementary for *dom*. Put $V_j = \theta^{-1}(W_j)$. Now $(V_j)_{j=1}^k$ is an open cover of U', and we can choose a partition of unity $(u_j)_{j=1}^k$ on $S \subset U'$ such that $u_j : E' \to [0,1]$ is a C^r_c-function with support in $V_j \cap U'$. In particular, $\sum_{j=1}^k u_j(e') = 1$ for any $e' \in S$. Put $u = \sum_{j=1}^k u_j$. Now we have

$$m' = m' \cdot (uni \circ w')_+(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} m' \cdot (uni \circ w')_+(u_j) \ ,$$

hence

$$m \otimes m' = \sum_{j=1}^{k} m \otimes m' \cdot (uni \circ w')_+(u_j) \ . \tag{V.2}$$

For each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, the function $m' \cdot (uni \circ w')_+(u_j)$ has support in $V_j \cap U'$, and

$$\theta(\mathrm{supp}(m' \cdot (uni \circ w')_+(u_j))) \subset W_j \ .$$

We can now use the first part of the proof on each of the summands of the equation (V.2).

■

Proof of Theorem V.3.4. Proposition V.3.2 implies that $\mho$ is a homomorphism of $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$-$C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})$-bimodules. First we shall prove that the map $\mho$ is surjective. Let m'' be a C^r_c-function on $E \otimes E'$. We have to prove that m'' is in the image of $\mho$. We can assume without loss of generality that m'' has support in $U \otimes U'$, where U and U' are charts of E respectively E' elementary for w respectively w', and such that $p'(U') \subset w(U)$. Thus we have the C^r-diffeomorphism $f : U' \longrightarrow U \otimes U'$ given by $f(e') = (w|_U)^{-1}(p'(e')) \otimes e'$. Define $m' \in C^r_c(E')$ with support in U' by $m'(e') = m''(f(e'))$ for $e' \in U'$. Since

$$S = (w|_U)^{-1}(p'(\mathrm{supp}\, m'))$$

is a compact subset of U, we can choose $m \in C^r_c(E)$ with support in U such that $m|_S \equiv 1$. It is easy to see that

$$\mho(m \otimes m') = m'' \ .$$

Finally, we have to prove that $\mho$ is injective. Let $\eta \in C^r_c(E) \otimes_{C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})} C^r_c(E')$ such that $\mho(\eta) = 0$. By Lemma V.3.5 we can write

$$\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \otimes m'_i \ ,$$

where (m_i, m'_i) is a normalized basic pair on (U_i, U'_i), for some charts U_i and U'_i for E respectively E' elementary for w respectively w'. We have to prove that $\eta = 0$.

(a) First assume that $n = 1$. Then

$$0 = \mho(\eta)(e \otimes e') = m_1(e)m'_1(e')$$

for any $e' \in U'_1$ and $e \in E$ such that $w(e) = p'(e')$. Now if $m'_1(e') \neq 0$ for some $e' \in U'_1$, we can choose $e \in w^{-1}(p'(e'))$ such that $m_1(e) = 1$ since (m_1, m'_1) is normalized. This is a contradiction, hence we must have $m'_1 = 0$ and thus $\eta = 0$.

(b) Assume now that there exists a chart V of E elementary for w such that $U'_i \subset V$ for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. We will now prove that $\eta = 0$ by induction on n. The case $n = 1$ is checked with (a). For the induction step, denote $S'_i = \operatorname{supp} m'_i$, $S_i = (w|_{U_i})^{-1}(p'(S'_i))$, $A_i = U_n \cap U_i$ and $C_i = S_n \cap S_i$, for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Put $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i$ and $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} C_i$. First we will prove that

$$p'(S'_n) \subset w(C) \subset w(A) \; . \tag{V.3}$$

Since E is separated, the set C is compact and hence $w(C)$ is closed in $\boldsymbol{H}_0$. Thus it is enough to see that $p'(e') \in w(C)$ for any $e' \in S'_n$ with $m'_n(e') \neq 0$. Take such an $e' \in S'_n$, and let $e = (w|_{U_n})^{-1}(p'(e'))$. Observe first that $e \in S_n$. Now since $\mho(\eta) = 0$ we have

$$\mho(\eta)(e \otimes e') = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i(e)m'_i(e') = 0 \; .$$

The assumption $m'_n(e') \neq 0$ and the fact that the pair (m_n, m'_n) is normalized imply $m_n(e) = 1$. Thus there exists $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that

$$m_i(e)m'_i(e') \neq 0 \; .$$

Therefore $e \in A_i$, and moreover, since $m'_i(e') \neq 0$, we should have $e \in S_i$. This yields $e \in C$, and proves the equation (V.3).

Using Lemma V.3.5 (iii) we can thus assume without loss of generality that $\operatorname{supp} m_n \subset A \subset U_n$. Choose now a partition of unity $(u_i)_{i=1}^{n-1}$ on $p'(S'_n) \subset w(A)$ such that $u_i : \boldsymbol{H}_1 \to [0,1]$ is a C^r_c-function with support in $w(A_i)$. In particular, $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i(b) = 1$ for any $b \in p'(S'_n)$. Put $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i$. We have

$$m'_n = u \cdot m'_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i \cdot m'_n \; ,$$

and hence

$$m_n \otimes m'_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_n \cdot u_i \otimes m'_n \; .$$

Note that $m_n \cdot u_i$ has support in A_i. Using Lemma V.3.5 (i) and (ii) we can find open subsets $B_i \subset U'_n$ and normalized basic pairs (f_i, f'_i) on (A_i, B_i) such that

$$f_i \otimes f'_i = m_n \cdot u_i \otimes m'_n \ ,$$

for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. But since $A_i \subset U_i$, (f_i, f'_i) is a normalized basic pair on $(U_i, B_i \cup U'_i)$ as well. By Lemma V.3.5 (iii) we can assume without loss of generality that $m_i|_{\operatorname{supp} f_i} \equiv 1$, by changing m_i if necessary. In particular, $f_i \otimes f'_i = m_i \otimes f'_i$. Now we have

$$\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i \otimes m'_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i \otimes f'_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i \otimes (m'_i + f'_i) \ .$$

Observe that $(m_i, m'_i + f'_i)$ is a normalized basic pair on $(U_i, B_i \cup U'_i)$, and $B_i \cup U'_i \subset V$. The induction hypothesis thus gives $\eta = 0$.

(c) Assume that there exists a chart V of E' elementary for w' such that $w'(U'_i) \subset w'(V)$. Using Lemma V.3.6 we can then reduce the proof to the case in (b).

(d) Finally we deal with the general case. Choose a partition of unity $(v_i)_{i=1}^{n}$ on

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} w'(\operatorname{supp} m'_i) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} w'(U'_i)$$

of C^r_c-functions $v_i : \boldsymbol{K}_1 \to [0,1]$ with supports in $w'(U'_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. In particular, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i(c) = 1$ for any $c \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} w'(\operatorname{supp} m'_i)$. Put $v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \in C^r_c(\boldsymbol{K})$. Hence we have

$$\eta = \eta \cdot v = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \eta \cdot v_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \otimes m'_i \cdot v_j \tag{V.4}$$

and $\mho(\eta \cdot v_j) = \mho(\eta) \cdot v_j = 0$. Let $0 \le j \le n$. Now $m'_i \cdot v_j$ has support in $U'_{ij} = U'_i \cap w'^{-1}(w'(U'_j))$, and $w'(U_{ij}) \subset w'(U'_j)$, for any i. Therefore the summand

$$\eta \cdot v_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \otimes m'_i \cdot v_j$$

of the equation (V.4) satisfies the condition of the case (c), by taking $V = V_j = U'_j$. Thus $\eta \cdot v_j = 0$ for any $0 \le j \le n$, hence $\eta = 0$.

■

Theorem V.3.7 *The map $C^r_c : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}pd}^r_{sfe} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}lg}$ is a functor. In particular, if $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ are C^r-Morita equivalent separated finite-dimensional étale C^r-groupoids, then the algebras $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$ and $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})$ are Morita equivalent.*

Proof. The functoriality of C^r_c follows from Theorem V.3.4.

■

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, The moment map and equivariant cohomology. *Topology* 23 (1984), 1–28.

[2] R. Bott, Characteristic classes and foliations. *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* 279 (1972), 1–94.

[3] J.-L. Brylinski, V. Nistor, Cyclic cohomology of étale groupoids. *K-Theory* 8 (1994), 341–365.

[4] R. Brown, From groups to groupoids: a brief survey. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 19 (1987), 113–134.

[5] M. Bunge, An application of descent to a classification theorem. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 107 (1990), 59–79.

[6] C. Camacho, A. Neto, *Geometric Theory of Foliations.* Birkhäuser, Boston (1985).

[7] A. Connes, The von Neumann algebra of a foliation. *Springer Lecture Notes in Physics* 80 (1978), 145–151.

[8] A. Connes, A survey of foliations and operator algebras, Operator algebras and applications. *Proc. Symposia Pure Math.* 38 Part I (1982), 521–628.

[9] A. Connes, Non-commutative differential geometry. *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* 62 (1985), 41–144.

[10] A. Connes, Cyclic Cohomology and the Transverse Fundamental Class of a Foliation. *Pitman Research Notes in Math.* 123, (1986), 52–144.

[11] C. Ehresmann, Sur les espaces localement homogènes. *Enseignement Math.* 35 (1936), 317–333.

[12] C. Ehresmann and G. Reeb, Sur les champs d'éléments de contact de dimension p complètement intégrables. *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris* 218 (1944), 995–997.

[13] W. T. van Est, Rapport sur les S-atlas. *Astérisque* 116 (1984), 235–292.

[14] W. T. van Est, Algèbres de Maurer-Cartan et holonomie. *Ann. Faculté Sci. Toulouse* 97 (1989), 93–134.

[15] T. Fack and G. Skandalis, Some properties of the C^*-algebra associated with a foliation. *Proc. Symposia Pure Math.* 38 Part I (1982), 629–635.

[16] A. Haefliger, Structures feuilletées et cohomologie à valeur dans un faisceau de groupoïdes. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 32 (1958), 248–329.

[17] A. Haefliger, Groupoïdes d'holonomie et classifiants. *Astérisque* 116 (1984), 70–97.

[18] M. Hilsum and G. Skandalis, Stabilité des algèbres de feuilletages. *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble* 33 (1983), 201–208.

[19] M. Hilsum and G. Skandalis, Morphismes K-orientes d'espaces de feuilles et functorialite en theorie de Kasparov. *Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup.* 20 (1987), 325–390.

[20] N. H. Kuiper, Sur les surfaces localement affines. *Géométrie Différentielle, Colloques Internationaux du Centre National de la Recherche Scietifique, Strasbourg* (1953), 79–87.

[21] S. Lang, *Differential Manifolds.* Addison-Wesley, Reading (1972).

[22] J.-L. Loday, *Cyclic Homology.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1992).

[23] I. Moerdijk, The classifying topos of a continuous groupoid, I. *Transactions A.M.S.* 310 (1988), 629–668.

[24] I. Moerdijk, Toposes and groupoids. *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* 1348 (1988), 280–298.

[25] I. Moerdijk, Classifying toposes and foliations. *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* 41, 1 (1991), 189–209.

[26] P. Molino, *Riemannian Foliations.* Birkhäuser, Boston (1988).

[27] J. Mrčun, On stability of foliations invariant under a group action. *Utrecht University, Preprint* 900 (1995).

[28] J. Mrčun, Lipschitz spectrum preserving mappings on algebras of matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 215 (1995), 113–120.

[29] J. Mrčun, An extension of the Reeb stability theorem. *Topology Appl.* 70 (1996), 25–55.

[30] J. Pradines, Morphisms between spaces of leaves viewed as fractions. *Cahiers Top. Géom. Diff. Cat.* XXX-3 (1989), 229–246.

[31] D. Quillen, Algebra cochains and cyclic cohomology. *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* 68 (1988), 139–174.

[32] G. Reeb, Sur certaines propriétés topologiques des variétés feuilletées. *Actual. Sci. Ind.* 1183, Hermann, Paris (1952).

[33] G. Reeb and P. Schweitzer, Un theoreme de Thurston etabli au moyen de l'analyse non standard. *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* 652 (1978), 138–138.

[34] J. Renault, A groupoid approach to C^*-algebras. *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* 793 (1980).

[35] J. Renault, P. S. Muhly and D. Williams, Equivalence and isomorphism for groupoid C^*-algebras. *J. Operator Theory* 17 (1987), 3–22.

[36] W. Schachermayer, Une modification standard de la demonstration non standard de Reeb at Schweitzer. *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* 652 (1978), 139–140.

[37] G. Segal, Classifying spaces and spectral sequences, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* 34 (1968), 105–112.

[38] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology.* McGraw-Hill, New York (1966).

[39] W. P. Thurston, A generalization of the Reeb stability theorem. *Topology* 13 (1974), 347–352.

[40] H. Winkelnkemper, The graph of a foliation. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 1 (1983), 51–75.

Samenvatting

In dit proefschrift bestuderen wij Hilsum-Skandalis-afbeeldingen, in het bijzonder Reeb-stabiliteit en algebraïsche invarianten van deze afbeeldingen.

Het eerste hoofdstuk is een overzicht van enkele basisbegrippen betreffende foliaties, topologische groepoïden en Haefliger-structuren, die de achtergrond voor ons werk vormen. Het belangrijkste voorbeeld van een Haefliger-structuur is een foliatie op een variëteit, maar elke Haefliger-structuur op een topologische ruimte X met waarden in een étale groepoïde kan worden gezien als een gegeneraliseerde foliatie op X: het induceert een verdeling van X in bladen voorzien van een fijnere topologie (bladtopologie) en met de holonomiegroep. De holonomiegroepoïde, of zijn Morita-equivalentie klasse, is een goede representant voor de transversale structuur van een foliatie, in tegenstelling tot de bladenruimte die te weinig informatie bevat. Een foliatie $\mathcal{F}$ op een variëteit M kan worden voorgesteld door een Haefliger-structuur op M met waarden in de holonomiegroepoïde van $\mathcal{F}$ gereduceerd tot een complete transversaal. Deze structuur neemt de rol over van de quotiënt-projectie van M op de transversale structuur van $\mathcal{F}$, en is een voorbeeld van een Hilsum-Skandalis-afbeelding tussen topologische groepoïden. De Hilsum-Skandalis-afbeeldingen vormen een categorie waarin twee topologische groepoïden isomorf zijn precies indien zij Morita-equivalent zijn.

In het tweede hoofdstuk laten wij zien dat elke Hilsum-Skandalis-afbeelding tussen topologische groepoïden $\boldsymbol{H}$ en $\boldsymbol{G}$ kan worden gezien als een gegeneraliseerde foliatie op $\boldsymbol{H}$: het induceert een verdeling van de ruimte $\boldsymbol{H}_0$ van de objecten van $\boldsymbol{H}$ aan $\boldsymbol{H}$-invariante deelverzamelingen – bladen – toegerust met de bladentopologie, holonomiegroep en een werking van $\boldsymbol{H}$. Indien $\boldsymbol{G}$ étale is, is de holonomiegroep van een blad L het beeld van een (holonomie-) homomorfisme gedefinieerd op de fundamentaalgroep $\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(L))$ van de groepoïde $\boldsymbol{H}(L)$ verbonden met de werking van $\boldsymbol{H}$ op L.

De Reeb-stabiliteitsstelling zegt dat een compact blad van een foliatie met eindige

holonomiegroep een omgeving van compacte bladen heeft. In het derde hoofdstuk breiden wij deze stelling uit, en ook zijn generalisaties van Thurston en Haefliger, tot Hilsum-Skandalis-afbeeldingen. Om de Reeb-Thurston-stelling uit te breiden introduceren wij het lineaire holonomie-homomorfisme $d\mathcal{H}_L$ van een blad L van een Hilsum-Skandalis-afbeelding E, van een topologische groepoïde $\boldsymbol{H}$, naar een étale C^1-groepoïde $\boldsymbol{G}$ gemodelleerd op een Banachruimte. Dit homomorfisme is gedefinieerd als een representatie van de fundamentaalgroep $\pi_1(\boldsymbol{H}(L))$ aan de raakruimte van de variëteit $\boldsymbol{G}_0$ in een geschikte punt. Indien E voldoet aan geschikte voorwaarden, bewijzen wij: Laat L een blad van E zijn met compacte banenruimte, zodat

(i) $\operatorname{Ker} d\mathcal{H}_L$ een eindig voortgebrachte groep is,

(ii) $\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Ker} d\mathcal{H}_L, \mathbf{R}) = \{0\}$, en

(iii) het beeld van $d\mathcal{H}_L$ eindig is.

Dan is de holonomiegroep van L eindig, en L heeft een $\boldsymbol{H}$-invariante omgeving van bladen van E met compacte banenruimten.

In het vierde hoofdstuk illustreren wij de resultaten van het derde hoofdstuk voor het geval van een equivariante foliatie op een variëteit M met een werking van een discrete groep G. Aan een zodanige foliatie verbinden wij een Hilsum-Skandalis-afbeelding van $G(M)$ naar de groepoïde van kiemen van diffeomorfismen van $\mathbf{R}^q$. Op deze manier verkrijgen wij de equivariante versies van de Reeb- en de Reeb-Thurston-stabiliteitsstelling.

In het laatste, vijfde hoofdstuk presenteren wij een aantal algebraïsche invarianten van Hilsum-Skandalis-afbeeldingen. Eerst geven wij een definitie van de hogere homotopiegroepen van topologische groepoïden met behulp van de Hilsum-Skandalis-afbeeldingen, en bewijzen wij dat die invariant zijn onder de Morita-equivalentie. Onder bepaalde voorwaarden op een topologische groepoïde $\boldsymbol{G}$ zijn deze groepen precies de hogere homotopiegroepen van de classificerende ruimte en de classificerende topos van $\boldsymbol{G}$. Dan laten wij zien dat de singuliere homologie van topologische ruimten met behulp van Hilsum-Skandalis-afbeeldingen op een natuurlijke manier uitgebreid kan worden tot de topologische groepoïden. Deze homologie is invariant onder de Morita-equivalentie en is veel eenvoudiger dan de singuliere homologie van de classificerende ruimten van de groepoïden. Voor een étale groepoïde $\boldsymbol{G}$ drukken wij de singuliere homologie van $\boldsymbol{G}$ uit als de homologie van een quotiënt van de singuliere complex van de ruimte $\boldsymbol{G}_0$, en wij laten zien dat de "effect-functor" een isomorfisme induceert tussen de singuliere homologie van $\boldsymbol{G}$ en die van $\operatorname{Eff}(\boldsymbol{G})$. Tot besluit construeren wij voor elke Hilsum-Skandalis-afbeelding E tussen Hausdorff étale eindig-dimensionale C^r-groepoïden $\boldsymbol{H}$ en $\boldsymbol{G}$ een $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$-$C^r_c(\boldsymbol{H})$-bimodule $C^r_c(E)$, waarin $C^r_c(\boldsymbol{G})$ de Connes-algebra van C^r_c-functies op $\boldsymbol{G}$ is. Op deze manier krijgen wij een functor van een deelcategorie van Hilsum-Skandalis-C^r-afbeeldingen naar de categorie van bimodulen over de algebra's met locale eenheden. Eén van de gevolgtrekkingen is dat de C^r-Morita-equivalente Hausdorff étale eindig-dimensionale C^r-groepoïden Morita-equivalente algebra's hebben.

Curriculum Vitae

The author of this thesis was born on April 13, 1966 in Ljubljana, Slovenia. In 1986 he began the study of theoretical mathematics at the University of Ljubljana, and he got his master degree in 1990. For his master thesis he received the Prešeren award of the Faculty of Natural Science and Technology, University of Ljubljana. As a "Young Researcher" of the Mathematical Institute, University of Ljubljana, he completed his post-graduate "magister" study in 1993 at the Mathematical Department, University of Ljubljana.

In 1992 he started his research as an "Assistent in Opleiding" at the Mathematical Department, Utrecht University under the supervision of Prof. dr. I. Moerdijk, which has led to this thesis.